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## §[1] Introduction

In order to clarify some of the concepts and terminology used in this Appendix, the following section contains a partial summary of relevant parts of the introductory section $\S 1$ : The Compilation 'notitia dignitatum' (Cnd) - definitions and summary that is printed at the beginning of the edition of the Cnd.
The name Compilation 'notitia dignitatum' (Cnd) identifies the entity that was the immediate common exemplar of all those available graphic entities that were derived from a compilation of lists and 89 pictures that began with the list item Notitia dignitatum [...] in partibus orientis and ended with the list item Ceteri praesides [...] dalmatiae officium habent and occupied 164 pages in the codex $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ that existed in the library of the Speyer cathedral chapter, at least between $1426 / 27-1550 / 51$. The existence of a codex that was certainly $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ was last attested at Neuburg a.d. Donau on 1.Dec. 1566 and no part of the codex $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ has been identified certainly as still being available now.
Each list in the Cnd consisted of one or more items. ${ }^{1}$ All the intelligible items referred to entities (imperial service positions and service units, regions, places, factories, estates and official travel

[^0]permits), or related matters, including some duties, and many of these entities and related matters are attested to have existed in the Roman state before c.500, although not all simultaneously. And no list item and no drawing in any picture in the Cnd referred to, or represented, any entity, or related matter, that can be shown to have been created or to have existed for the first time after c. 500 .
The Cnd was produced at some time between the mid-8thC and $1426 / 7$ and, when it was created, the Cnd existed as part of a more extensive compilation of lists and pictures than the fragmentary remainder which comprised the Cnd in 1426/7. Moreover, the contents of the Cnd were derived, to an unknown extent, from an earlier (pre-Cnd) compilation (defined below) that was wholly or partly written in the same Carolingian minuscule script that was used in the production of the Cnd.

From what is known about the texts that were available to writers between the mid-8thC and 1426/7, it is improbable that they had the textual sources that would be required to create lists that for the first time referred to all the entities and related matters that were listed in the Cnd and that existed in the Roman state for the first time before c. 500 .
It is concluded, therefore, that each of the $C n d$ lists which contained items referring to those entities and matters was derived from a pre-Cnd list by the scribe(s) who produced the Cnd. A pre-Cnd list can be defined as: a list that contained, in whatever form of their words or numbers, or their sequence, at least all those items of which a copy (that is, a derivative, whether a reproduction or imitation or adaptation, or excerpt or abridgement), whether direct or indirect, existed in a list in the Cnd by 1426/7. The earliest pre-Cnd list could be referred to as a source list.

It is also concluded that at least some pre-Cnd lists and pictures co-existed or were combined in a preCnd compilation that was copied, either wholly or partly, by the scribe(s) who produced the Cnd. A preCnd compilation is defined as the compilation of lists and pictures that contained or comprised, in whatever form or sequence of its lists and pictures, at least that combination of lists and pictures of which a copy, whether direct or indirect, existed in the Cnd by 1426/7. The earliest pre-Cnd compilation could be referred to as the original compilation, or $\boldsymbol{\Omega} .{ }^{2}$ Some of the lists and their related pictures in the Cnd were derived from lists and pictures that demonstrably already co-existed in some manner, or in some compilation, not much later than c.500.
But apart from the fact that this original compilation must, as defined, have existed as a logical construct, nothing else is known about it: that is, it is not known where, when, why, how (in what manner and from what sources), by whom, or for whom, it was produced; or where, when, how (for what purpose), by whom, how often and for how long it was used; or whether and, if so, how its contents were changed during such use; or how often and how accurately and through how many successive copies an unknown amount of its contents were transmitted before they were copied, either wholly or partly, by the scribe(s) who produced the Cnd. On the basis of all the evidence that is available now, any statement purporting to be an answer to any of these questions is only a speculation and, as such, provides no historical evidence about the original compilation.
But it is possible to draw some inferences about pre-Cnd lists and pre-Cnd compilations from what is known about the contents of the Cnd. And at least one inference that may reasonably be drawn is that at least some lists in the Cnd were ultimately derived, either wholly or partly, from one or several official lists which may be defined as: lists that were created by some officer $(\mathrm{s})^{3}$ within the Roman imperial service pursuant to their duties and used for functions within the service.

The inference, that at least some of the lists in the Cnd were ultimately derived, either wholly or partly, from official lists, is based on these observations:
a The Cnd contained 1167 list items that referred to 992 military units, ${ }^{4}$ and 555 list items that named service positions in the officium (secretariat) lists, ${ }^{5}$ and there is no evidence to prove, or any analogy

[^1]to suggest that these numbers of items could have been ultimately derived from lists that were not official lists.
b one complete officium list that is contained in the copy of an imperial law was demonstrably derived from the same list of which an excerpt existed in an officium list in the Cnd; but no other copy, whether of the complete list in the copy of the law, or of an excerpt like the one in the Cnd, exists in any available text that is not derived either from that law or from the Cnd;
c some list items in the Cnd referred to imperial service positions, and to some related matters, that are attested elsewhere only in copies of imperial laws.
The value of the $C n d$ as historical evidence about entities and related matters that are attested to have existed in the Roman state before c. 500 depends upon the extent to which the items in the Cnd were an accurate copy of the items in official lists and texts. In order to determine that, it is necessary to compare the forms of the items (the spelling of words, their number, sequence and arrangement) that existed in the Cnd, insofar as the contents of the Cnd can be accurately represented from the primary copies of the Cnd, with those items that referred to the same entities or related matters in official lists and texts produced in the Roman state, or in copies of such texts that are independent of the Cnd.
The largest number of available official texts, or copies of them, that contain information about most of the entities and related matters that were mentioned in the Cnd, are the copies of Roman imperial laws and some administrative texts in inscriptions.
Since those texts contain a substantial number of references to the service position that was mostly named magister militum, and since the Cnd contained a greater number of names for that position - and more information about it - than for any other position in its lists, the position of that magister will be the subject of the following comparison between the Cnd and the official texts that are represented in copies of Roman imperial laws that were written between 344 and $534,{ }^{6}$ and in inscriptions that were produced during the same period within the Roman state, or in copies of some that are no longer available. And, since the lists in the Cnd were entirely written in Latin, only texts that were written in that language (or a text in which a Latin name for the position magister was used) are considered.
In official texts represented in imperial laws and inscriptions, the position magister is variously named:
magister equitum et peditum (master of cavalry and infantry)=MEP, or
magister utriusque militiae (master of both $<$ the cavalry and the infantry $>$ service) $=\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$, or
magister militum (master of soldiers) $=\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$, or, referring to the jurisdiction of the service position, as: magisteria potestas (magisterial authority and/or power) $=\boldsymbol{M a P}$.
In this appendix, these four names are collectively represented by the abbreviation $\boldsymbol{M}$ - unless a particular context requires that any of the individual names be used.

The Cnd did not use the name $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ but added two others in its western lists: ${ }^{7}$ namely, magister equitum (master of cavalry)=ME and magister peditum (master of infantry)=MP.

## §[2] Official texts from 344-534 and copies of them that are independent of the Cnd

In the Roman state, between 344 and 534, many official texts were produced that contained Latin names for the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and various statements about that position. Some of these texts are available as either untransmitted or as transmitted texts. ${ }^{8}$

5 See Index 6: Civil and military officia at: https://www.notitiadignitatum.org/
6 The year 344 marks the date of the earliest available official text containing a name for, or representing, the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$-, while 534 dates the sanctioning of the publication of the second edition of the compilation of Roman imperial laws in the Codex Iustinianus.
7 The lists in the Cnd were arranged in two compilations whose items refer to the civil and military services in the two parts into which the Roman state was divided, initially in 364 and, with modifications, permanently after 395-97. The lists among its first 82 pages (Cnd.1-82), referred exclusively to the eastern part of the Roman state and those among its last 80 pages (Cnd.85-164), to the western part. Of the 89 pictures, 43 were interspersed among the eastern lists, 44 among the western lists and, between them, 2 pictures (Cnd.83-84) whose relationship to the two compilations of lists is unknown.
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The untransmitted texts include inscriptions on stone, containing declarations relating to the construction of, or repairs to, forts, walls and an aqueduct, or honorific dedications on pedestals for the statues of dignitaries. Other inscriptions exist on a missorium (metal plate) and on ivory diptychs (each of two hinged tablets), celebrating consular appointments. In addition, there is an administrative order written on papyrus.
The transmitted texts include a few manuscript copies of inscriptions on objects that are no longer available, or texts in mosaic pictures that no longer exist. But the greatest number of available transmitted texts representing official texts are the available manuscript copies of Roman imperial laws. ${ }^{9}$ These copies are demonstrably derived from official texts and contain most of the available evidence about the use of the name $M$ - in official texts that were produced between 344-534 and are independent of the Cnd. Consequently, these copies of laws, supplemented by inscriptions referring to the name $\boldsymbol{M}$-, will provide most of the evidence that is considered in this appendix and this evidence is simply referred to as "laws and inscriptions".

## §[2a] The compilations of Roman imperial laws

Copies of some imperial laws exist in inscriptions, but most copies exist in manuscripts whose texts were indirectly derived from the two official compilations of those laws: namely, from the Codex Theodosianus (sanctioned for publication in 438 by the eastern emperor Theodosius 2), ${ }^{10}$ and from the Codex Iustinianus (whose second edition was sanctioned for publication in 534 by the eastern emperor Iustinianus 1). ${ }^{11}$ Smaller compilations were made of some additional new laws (novellae constitutiones), that were enacted between 438-468 to supplement the Codex Theodosianus; ${ }^{12}$ and similar compilations were intended to follow the Codex Iustinianus after 534. ${ }^{13}$
Neither the original compilations of the two Codices and Novellae, nor officially authorised copies of them, are available; but a representation of the immediate common exemplar of the primary copies of each compilation is represented in separate editions, ${ }^{14}$ and the most recent edition of each compilation is

8 untransmitted texts are those written, inscribed or carved on available objects, such as papyrus, metal, stone or ivory, or depicted in mosaic pictures; transmitted texts are those derived, through one or several successive manuscript copies, from an untransmitted text that is no longer available.
9 The term "imperial law" (hereafter simply "law") is used throughout this appendix to denote any written instruction or decision by the emperor as defined by the jurists Ulpianus, institutionum lib. I (Iustinianus: Digesta seu Pandectae(533):1.4.1) and Gaius (Institutionum commentarii 1.5) and in Cod.Theod.1.1(438) and Cod.Iust.1.14(534): De legibus et constitutionibus principum et edictis. Texts containing these judicially enforceable decisions were referred to by several terms, including: lex, constitutio (decretum, edictum, epistula or litterae with subscriptio), rescriptum (to preces, consultationes, suggestiones), codicilli (of appointment) and any imperial mandatum, oratio, adnotatio, iussio, dispositio, praeceptio, iudicium or similar.
10 The title Codex Theodosianus is attested in several laws such as Cod.Theod.1.1.6(435), Nov.Anth.3(468) and in the constitution Haec quae(528)§pr which also contains the description Novellae constitutiones for the new laws from the period 438-468.
11 The title Codex Iustinianus is attested in the constitution Summa rei(529)§2 and in the constitution Cordi nobis(534) §5.
12 The constitution Haec quae(528) refers to: [.] multitudine quidem constitutionum, quae tribus codicibus Gregoriano et Hermogeniano atque Theodosiano continebantur, illarum etiam, quae post eosdem codices a Theodosio divinae recordationis aliisque post eum retro principibus, a nostra etiam clementia positae sunt, [.] in [.] codicibus [.], in quibus novellae constitutiones receptae sunt, [.].
13 The constitution Cordi nobis(534)§4 refers to a compilation novellarum constitutionum that may be needed after 534. No official compilation of these Novellae is known to have been made but derivatives of unofficial copies of some of them are available.
14 The most recent representations of the contents of the archetypes of the available primary copies of these Codices and Novellae exist in the following editions: Cod.Theod. = Mommsen, T. (ed.), Theodosiani libri XVI cum constitutionibus Sirmondianis (Berlin, Weidmann, 1904); Nov. (etc). =
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used in the following appendix. In order to distinguish the original Codices and Novellae from the copies of them in these editions, these copies will be referred to as Cod.Theod., Cod.Iust., and Nov.(followed by an abbreviation for the name of the emperor who issued the original: for example, Nov.Theod. refers to the copy of a novella constitutio, or new law, issued by Theodosius 2).
The laws in the two Codices and in the Novellae were almost all in the form of letters sent by the emperor, mostly to directors of agencies in the imperial service. Such letters usually began with an address, comprising the name(s) of the issuing emperor(s) and of the intended recipient, including the name of his service position. This address was followed by the text of the letter, followed by a subscript usually indicating the date when, and place from which, the letter was issued and, occasionally, the names of other officers to whom copies were to be sent. Most of the various names for the service position $M$ - were contained in either the address or in the contents of the letters, and only a few in the subscripts.

While the Novellae were compilations of complete letters, the two Codices consisted only of edited excerpts from the letters and deliberately so. The Codex Theodosianus comprised 16 books, each of which was divided into a number of sections, each under a different titulus (title or heading), referring to a different category of imperial laws. The compilers of the Codices were instructed to collect all relevant available letters containing laws and then, from each of these letters, to excerpt any parts that were relevant to any of the titles that had been created for the sections of the Codex. All excerpts under the same title were to be in chronological order, beginning with the earliest. If different parts of a single letter were relevant to two or more different titles, those excerpted parts were arranged under those tituli in whatever parts of the Codex they existed. Where only one part of a letter was excerpted, the address and subscript of the letter were mostly retained with the excerpt. Where several parts were excerpted and placed under different titles in the Codex, the address and subscript were intended to be added to each excerpt, especially to enable their prescribed chronological arrangement. ${ }^{15}$

The Codex Iustinianus, which comprised 12 books, was compiled according to the same principles and its compilers incorporated, from the Codex Theodosianus, and from the subsequent Novellae, those laws that either were still valid in $528,{ }^{16}$ or that could be adapted to suit the circumstances of that time. But, while the compilers of the Codex Theodosianus had been instructed to initially incorporate excerpts of any obsolete laws invalidated by later ones in the same Codex (to serve the needs of historians), the Codex Iustinianus was to include only laws that were valid at the time of its compilation.
Much is known about the compilation of the official and authorised Codices from which Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust. are derived. Among the available copies of laws there are several that contain the imperial orders prescribing why, how, where, by whom and for whom the Codices were compiled and how they were to be used. The laws also indicate when the project began and when the compilation was completed. ${ }^{17}$ And the minutes of the meeting at which the Roman senate received its copy of the Codex Theodosianus in 438 , refer to the existence of three authorised copies; indicate by whom further copies were made; and identify who was responsible for their accuracy. Moreover, during acclamations at that meeting, specific requests and suggestions were made to ensure that such accuracy was achieved. ${ }^{18}$

Meyer, P. (ed.), Leges novellae ad Theodosianum pertinentes (Berlin, Weidmann, 1905); Cod.Iust. = Krueger, P. (ed.), Codex Iustinianus (Berlin, Weidmann, 1877, and later reprinted with amendments); Nov.Iust. = Schoell, R. \& Kroll, W. (eds.), Iustiniani Novellae (Berlin, Weidmann, 1895).

18 The untitled texts, referred to as the Gesta senatus populi Romani of which a copy is printed in Mommsen, Cod.Theod., 1904 pp.1-4. The acclamations included requests that many copies should be made available within the imperial service (plures codices fiant habendi officiis) and in public departments (in scriniis publicis sub signaculis habeantur), especially to prevent the introduction of interpolations (ne interpolentur constituta, plures codices fiant ) which would additionally be avoided if copies avoided the use of abbreviations (ne constituta interpolentur, omnes codices litteris conscribantur) or legal annotations (notae iuris non adscribantur).
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By comparison, no such information is available about the source lists and original compilation (as defined above) from which an unknown amount of the contents in the Cnd was ultimately derived. As stated above, there is evidence that at least some of the contents in the Cnd were ultimately derived, either wholly or partly, from one or from several official lists produced by, and for, the Roman imperial service. The difference between the compilations of laws in Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust., and the compilation of lists and pictures in the $C n d$, is that it is known that those compilations of laws were ultimately derived from official compilations of laws, compiled for official purposes, by officers within the imperial service, in a prescribed manner, in a known place and at a known time.

## §[2b] The accuracy of copies of the laws

An assessment of the accuracy with which the names of service positions mentioned in the Codices were transmitted to, and represented in, Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust. can be based to some degree on independent evidence.
Firstly, as stated above, the Codex Iustinianus contained copies and adaptations of many laws from the Codex Theodosianus so that, for each of the laws that existed in both Codices, the copy in Cod.Iust. can provide text that is independent of the one in Cod.Theod. for the corresponding law that existed in the Codex Theodosianus (in those cases where it is certain that the tradition of the copies derived from one Codex have not been contaminated from copies of the other Codex). Where such independence exists and where the same name for the service position exists in the same part of the same law in both Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust. that form may be regarded as being an accurate copy of the corresponding one that existed in both Codices. ${ }^{19}$ A similar conclusion can be drawn where the same name exists in the complete laws in Nov.Theod. and in excerpts from those laws in Cod.Iust. ${ }^{20}$

Secondly, the accuracy of the names used for the service position $M$ - can also be assessed by comparing the name contained in those laws with the ones existing in inscriptions. A direct comparison is possible where the name of the position used in a law, and the one used in an inscription, both refer to the same named officer. ${ }^{21}$
A comparison between the names used in the laws and inscriptions is particularly useful in considering the names used for service positions in the addresses of the laws. As mentioned previously, where excerpts from the same law were placed under different titles in a Codex, the compilers needed to include the address and subscript of the complete law with each excerpt. Consequently, it could arise that, while one excerpt had the address that was actually used in the law, which should also have also been repeated for any other excerpt from the same law, such other excerpts may have received, instead of a copy of the original address, an adaptation that incorporated the form of the service position name that was most commonly used when the Codex was being compiled. ${ }^{22}$ But the service position names in the contents of the law (that is, the text excluding any address and subscript) would have been less likely to result from such potential changes and, therefore, could be considered as being a more reliable representation of the names used in the Codices than those in the addresses.

## $\S[2 \mathrm{c}]$ The importance of the laws

The importance of the laws in Cod.Theod., Cod.Iust., and Nov., as evidence for the names of the service position $M$ - and of matters related to that position, exists primarily in the fact that their contents are derived ultimately from the official compilations of official texts.
As indicated in Table 1 below, there are 232 references to the various names for the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ during the period 344-534 and 187 of these references exist in Cod.Theod., Cod.Iust., and Nov. Equally important as their number is the fact that these names are contained in laws issued throughout that period

[^2]of nearly two centuries; and that these laws represented both the eastern and the western part of the Roman state. Important for this study is not only the fact that the compilers of the Codex Theodosianus were instructed to include obsolete laws in their initial compilation, but also that, in doing so, they did not standardise the names for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in their excerpts: that is, they did not replace the various names that they found in the earlier laws with the name $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ that was common when they produced the Codex. ${ }^{23}$ And, similarly, those earlier names were mostly not replaced by $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ a century later when many laws from the Codex Theodosianus were copied or adapted into the Codex Iustinianus. ${ }^{24}$.
In attesting both the existence of the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and the various names that were officially used to refer to it, one category of laws is of particular importance. This category comprises the laws prescribing, or referring to, the ranks of the most senior service positions, and the dignity attached to each of those positions, and the order of precedence among those to whom the dignities were awarded. The importance of dignities in the Roman state, and of precedence among dignitaries, is indicated not least by the observation that the each of the compilations Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust. contains an entire book of laws on that subject. ${ }^{25}$

## §[3] The Master of soldiers

## §[3a] The service position $M$ -

At any particular time, during most of the period 344-534 there were usually two or more contemporary officers each of whom was appointed by the emperor to a separate service position named $\boldsymbol{M}$-. Some of these names were later occasionally distinguished from each other by the addition of a regional designation, such as per orientem, to the name $\boldsymbol{M}$ - as, for example, in the name $\boldsymbol{M M}$ 位r orientem.
An appointment to serve in the position $M$-, and the length of service in that position, were solely at the discretion of the emperor. The position was not a progressional career position in which there was promotion from one position to another, or from a junior to a senior military grade or rank.
The emperor was the supreme commander of the army. The functionary who was appointed by the emperor to serve in the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - was responsible, to the emperor, for the command of an allocated number of army units that operated in a specified area. The $\boldsymbol{M}$ - commanded that army, and exercised jurisdiction over its soldiers, as delegated by the emperor. ${ }^{26}$

Different names were used to refer to the types of units, or sections, comprising the army allocated to a $\boldsymbol{M}$ - but, whenever that army was described as consisting of only two sections, these most commonly comprised the comitatenses (imperial escort units) ${ }^{27}$ and the limitanei (boundary units, being mostly on the frontiers). ${ }^{28}$ All soldiers were mostly referred to simply as milites. ${ }^{29}$ The sons of veterans were obligated to serve in the army, ${ }^{30}$ and after c. 474 enlistment by others required imperial authorisation. ${ }^{31}$
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Unless his position was located at or near the court, an appointed $\boldsymbol{M}$ - assumed command of the army units which, in the area allocated to him, were under the immediate command of regional directors of soldiers, named comites or duces either militum or limitum. ${ }^{32}$ The units comprised both comitatenses and limitanei and the comites and duces became subordinate to any $\boldsymbol{M}$ - appointed to their region. ${ }^{33}$ Comites and duces were not permitted to move units from their forts, boundaries or other locations without permission of the emperor or the $\boldsymbol{M}-.^{34}$ They were also prohibited from moving soldiers between units of different classes since this involved changes in the status of soldiers. ${ }^{35}$

28 Cod.Theod.8.4.17(389?): Cum ante placuisset, ut a primipilaribus secundum dispositionem divi Gratiani species horreis erogandae comitatensibus militibus ex more deferrentur, limitaneis vero pretia darentur, [.].

32 Cod.Theod.1.15.7(377): comites militum; Cod.Theod.1.7.3(398): comites et duces diversarum provinciarum et limitum; Cod.Theod.7.20.13(407): comites et duces militum; Cod.Theod.15.11.2(417): duces limitum; Nov.Theod.24(443): duces limitum = Cod.Iust.1.46.4(443): duces militum.
33 Nov.Theod.24(443) Impp. Theodosius et Valentinianus AA. Nomo magistro officiorum. || [.] §1: Inprimis itaque duces limitum [..] in ipsis plerumque limitibus commorari et milites ad antiquum redigere numerum, inminentibus magisteriis potestatibus diurnisque eorum exercitationibus inhaerere praecipimus. Castrorum quin etiam ipsis lusoriarumque pro antiqua dispositione curam refectionemque mandamus. (there is another excerpt of the same provision in Cod.Iust.1.46.4).
Cod.Iust.12.59.8(c.468): - see note 285.
Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492): Anastasius A. Iohanni magistro militum praesentalium || §pr: [.] necessarium esse perspeximus dicatissimos milites, qui de diversis praesentalibus numeris per Orientis partes noscuntur consistere, virorum spectabilium ducum iussionibus oboedire, [.] §8: duces, quibus fortissimi praesentales milites parare praecepti sunt, [.].
Cod.Iust.1.29.5(528-30): Iustinianus A. Zetae viro illustri magistro militum per armeniam et pontum polemoniacum et gentes. || [.] perspeximus oportere etiam partibus Armeniae et Ponto Polemoniaco et gentibus proprium magistrum militum per hanc legem constituere, [.] certasque provincias, [.] tuae curae cum suis ducibus commisimus, [.] - see note 132.
Cod.Iust.1.27.2(534): Iustinianus A. Belisario magistro militum per orientem || [.] §8: necessarium nobis esse videtur, ut extra comitatenses milites per castra milites limitanei constituantur, [.] ut, si forsitan commotio aliqua fuerit, possint ipsi limitanei sine comitatensibus militibus una cum ducibus suis adiuvare loca, ubi dispositi fuerint, [.]
§17: [.] decernimus, ut duces [.] per Africanos limites nihil amplius in sacratissimo palatio [.] vel in praetorio per Africam praefecturae vel magisteriae potestati praebeant, nisi quantum subter adnexa declarat notitia. [.]: the fees are listed in §35.
Other laws include: Cod.Theod.7.1.9(367), Cod.Theod.1.7.3(398), Cod.Theod.7.20.139(407), Cod.Iust. 12.35.17(c.474), Cod.Iust.1.29.4(491-518).

34 Cod.Theod.1.7.1(359): Imp. Constantius a. et Iulianus Caes. Hermogeni praefecto praetorio. || [.] quotiens aliqua necessitas depoposcerit transferri de loco milites ad alium locum, communicato tractatu cum magistro equitum ac peditum id fieri oportebit, ut ad ea loca, quae publica utilitas depoposcerit, transferantur. And Cod.Iust.1.29.4(491-518): Imperator Anastasius. || Milites de locis, in quibus consistunt, ad alia loca sine speciali nostrae serenitatis auctoritate nullatenus transferri praecipimus, nec eorum expensae in locis, in quibus consistunt, minuantur. [.].
35 Cod.Theod.7.1.18(400): Idem AA. Stilichoni magistro militum. I| [.] nolumus a numeris ad alios numeros milites nostros transferri. Sciant igitur comites vel duces, quibus regendae militiae cura commissa est, non solum de comitatensibus ac palatinis numeris ad alios numeros militem transferri non licere, sed ne de ipsis quidem pseudocomitatensibus legionibus seu de ripariensibus castricianis ceterisque cuiquam eorum transferendi militem copiam adtributam, quia honoris augmentum non ambitione, sed labore ad unumquemque convenit devenire. [.].
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The command and delegation structure that existed between an $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and the army is indicated in several laws, including those in the following sample:

```
Cod.Theod.7.1.2(349/52) MEP \(\rightarrow\) tribuni, praepositi \(\rightarrow\) miles
Cod.Theod.7.1.9(367) \(\quad \mathrm{ME}\) ? \(/ \mathrm{MM} \rightarrow\) duces, comites (quibus rheni est mandata custodia)
Cod.Theod.7.1.10(367) \(\quad \mathrm{MM} \rightarrow\) tribuni, praepositi \(\rightarrow\) milites
Cod.Theod.12.1.113(386) MEP \(\rightarrow\) duces \(\rightarrow\) tribuni, praepositi
Cod.Theod.7.1.13(391) \(\quad\) MVM \(\rightarrow\) tribuni \(\rightarrow\) multitudo legionum
Cod.Theod.12.1.128(392) MVM \(\rightarrow\) comes, dux \(\rightarrow\) tribunus \(\rightarrow\) viri militares
Cod.Theod.7.4.23(396) Illustres comites \(\{=\boldsymbol{M}-\} \rightarrow\) tribuni numerorum sive vexillationum aut etiam scholarum \(\rightarrow\) milites
Cod.Theod.7.1.17(398) \(\quad\) Illustres comites \(\{=\boldsymbol{M}\) - \(\} \rightarrow\) tribunus \(\rightarrow\) numerus, legiones \(\rightarrow\) miles
Cod.Theod.1.7.3(398) \(\quad\) MM \(\rightarrow\) comites, duces diversarum provinciarum et limitum
Cod.Theod.7.13.18(407) \(\quad \mathrm{MM} \rightarrow\) tribuni, praepositi
Cod.Theod.7.20.13(407) \(\quad\) MVM \(\rightarrow\) comites et duces militum (iudices militares) \(\rightarrow\) tribuni, praepositi
Cod.Theod.7.17.1(412) \(\quad \mathrm{MaP} \rightarrow\) MMper thracias \(\rightarrow\) dux mysiaco limite \(\mid\) dux scythico limite
Cod.Theod.7.4.36(424) \(\quad \mathrm{M}\) militiae p.or. \(\rightarrow\) comites \(\rightarrow\) tribuni, praepositi numerorum per provincias
                            \(\rightarrow\) duces \(\rightarrow\) tribuni \(\rightarrow\) milites
Nov.Theod.4(438) MVMper orientem \(\rightarrow\) duciani milites, limitanei milites per totum tractum orientis
Nov.Theod.24(443) \(\quad\) MaP \(\rightarrow\) duces \(\rightarrow\) princeps \(\rightarrow\) praepositi castrorum \(\rightarrow\) milites limitanei
Cod.Iust.9.39.2(451)§1a \(\quad \mathrm{MM} \rightarrow\) tribuni seu primates numerorum
Cod.Iust.12.59.8(c.468) \(\quad \mathrm{MM}\) ad limites orientis, thraciarum, illyrici \(\rightarrow\) duces \(\rightarrow\) apparitores, limitanei, praepositi
castrorum
Cod.Iust.12.35.17(474) \(\quad \mathrm{MM} \rightarrow\) duces \(\rightarrow\) milites in numeris (equitum vel peditum) vel in limitibus
```

In c. 400 , the ranks within the units, in descending order from that of tribunus, were named as: primicerius, senator, ducenarius, biarchus, circitor, eques (in the militia equestris) and tiro (recruit). ${ }^{36}$

## §[3b] The rank, dignity and precedence of the service position M- 37

The service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - was one of the nine most senior positions in the imperial service. By the beginning of the 5 thC these nine positions were mostly arranged in the following rank order (from highest to lowest):

```
praefectus praetorio (director of the civil service) usually two contemporary officers, each in a separate position,
praefectus urbis romae (director city administrations),
M- {MEP MVM MM MaP} (master of soldiers) mostly two or more contemporary officers, each in a separate position,
praepositus sacri cubiculi (manager of the imperial household),
quaestor sacri palatii (chief legal officer at court),
magister officiorum (master of court services & imperial agents),
comes sacrarum largitionum (director of finance & treasury)
comes rerum privatarum (director of imperial private estates & revenues)
comes domesticorum (director of the corps of imperial household guards) - this position was not mentioned sufficiently
often in relation to positions 5-8 to indicate its usual place within the sequence of the ranks of those positions.
```

This rank order is not stated in any law, but it can be constructed from a combination of:
a the sequence in which the tituli naming service positions are arranged in Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust.; and
b the sequence in which the position names in a group of names are listed both in any titulus and in individual laws; and
c the dignity (grade and division) attached to each service position or group of positions.

36 Listed in this order in a copy of the letter written in c. 398 by Eusebius Sophronius Hieronymus (St.Jerome) to Pammachius at Roma: §19 [.] finge aliquem tribunitiae potestatis suo vitio regradatum, per singula militiae equestris officia, ad tironis vocabulum devolutum, [.] ex tribuno [.] fit [.] primicerius, deinde senator, ducenarius, centenarius, biarchus, circitor, eques, dein tiro; [.]. (S.Eusebii Hieronymi Stridonensis presbyteri, Contra Ioannem Hierosolymitanum, ad Pammachium, liber unus, in Migne, J.P. (ed.), Patrologiae cursus completus, seu bibliotheca universalis [...] omnium ss. patrum, doctorum scriptorumque ecclesiasticorum, sive latinorum sive graecorum. Series latina, vol. 20 (Paris 1865), cols.386-387.
There is no reason to suppose that the ranks tribunus - circitor, associated in this letter with the militia equestris differed from those in the infantry, where eques would be replaced by pedes.
37 The following paragraphs summarise details from Appendix 14: Dignities and dignitaries in the main compilations of Roman imperial laws at: https://www.notitiadignitatum.org/

A dignity was a legislated degree of status that defined the position of its holder in the order of precedence (the order of priority observed in ceremonies and in social formalities) among the important members of society, and in the receipt of privileges and benefits that were attached to the dignity, such as the order in which dignitaries were admitted into the presence of the emperor, or in receiving acclamations and salutations in public, or in seating, speaking and making decisions at official functions and in the senate. Dignitaries received significant financial and taxation benefits, exemptions from obligations and certain immunities.

The dignities were mostly, but not exclusively, attached to all positions in the imperial service. Each such dignity was awarded either:
a as an actual dignity:
1 that was obtained by an officer on appointment to serve in the position to which the dignity was attached (who would be referred to, for example, as vir illustris magister militum); and
2 was retained by a person retired from serving in such a position (v.i. ex-magistro militum); or
b as an honorary dignity that awarded the dignity that was attached to a service position, without an appointment to the position,
1 as if that person was being appointed to serve in the position (v.i.magister militum vacans); or
2 as if that person had retired from having served in the position (also v.i. ex-magistro militum).
The dignities were divided into grades, and the three most senior grades were (from highest to lowest) the grades illustris (or inlustris), spectabilis and clarissimus. Each of these grades was partitioned into divisions that were defined according to the ranks of the service positions to which each grade of dignity was attached.
In the important order of precedence among serving, retired and honorary officers, holders of actual dignities preceded those with honorary dignities. Precedence among serving officers was determined by the ranks of the service positions to which they were appointed; and, among retired officers, by seniority (that is, priority in the date of the appointment) among all the officers retired from all those service positions to which the same dignity (grade and division) was attached. A similar determination by seniority was used in the two categories of honorary awards.

The first available reference to a law prescribing a rank order of positions, and the dignities attached to them, and the order of precedence among those to whom they had been awarded, was to a law that was later attributed to Valentinianus 1 (364-375). ${ }^{38}$ It is not known whether his prescriptions were contained in a single law, but some features of them may be reflected in his law, dated 372, concerning the order of ranks of some service positions and the order of precedence among those to whom the dignity attached to each of those positions had been awarded. Five excerpts of different parts of this law are available, each under a different titulus in Cod.Theod. ${ }^{39}$
In that law of 372 , the dignity attached to the service position $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ was raised to the same division of the illustris grade as the one that was already attached to the service positions praefectus praetorio and praefectus urbis. ${ }^{40}$ And so, from 372 onwards, the service position MEP was not only the highest ranking military position in the imperial service, but also one of the only three service positions to which the highest division of the highest grade of dignity was attached.
Including the law of 372 , there are at least 14 significant laws that refer to aspects of the order of ranks of some or all of the nine service positions to which the illustris grade of dignity was attached, ${ }^{41}$ and among these 14 laws, 11 refer to the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - under various names. ${ }^{42}$

[^4]
## §[4] The Latin names for the service position magister (M-) in laws and inscriptions

## §[4a] Summary of the names for M-

In Cod.Theod., Cod.Iust., and Nov., there are 133 laws that contain 187 references to the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ (several laws refer to the same name more than once, or use more than one name in the same law to refer to the same position), ${ }^{43}$ and these include 7 references to the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$.
There are 42 inscriptions that contain 44 references to the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - (including 2 references to a retired or honorary officer: ex-magistro). These references include 6 manuscript copies of texts on objects that are no longer available. In addition, a text written on papyrus contains one reference to the name $\boldsymbol{M P}$.

These names in the laws and inscriptions, compared with the same names that existed in the eastern and western lists in the Cnd, are tabulated as follows:

| Table 1 |  | Laws |  | Inscriptions |  | Papyrus |  | Cnd |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| magister peditum | MP | 0 |  | 0 |  | 1 | E1 w0 | 4 | E0 w 4 |
| magister equitum | ME | 7 | E2 w5 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 7 | E2 w5 |
| magister equitum et peditum | MEP | 23 | E15 w8 | 10 | E5 w5 | 0 |  | 4 | E4 w0 |
| magister utriusque militiae | MVM | 26 | E18 w8 | 23 | E1 w22 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| magister militum | MM | 101 | E82 w19 | 11 | E9 w2 | 0 |  | 27 | E15 w11 |
| magisteria potestas | MaP |  | E25 w2 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 6 | E5 w1 |
| Other (culmen militare, vir illustris comes) |  |  | E1 w2 | 0 |  | 0x |  | 0 |  |
|  |  |  | 187 |  | 44 |  | 1 |  | 47 |

The texts are classified as either $\mathbf{E}$ or $\mathbf{W}$ according to their association with, or reference to, either the $\mathbf{E}$ (astern) or $\mathbf{W}$ (estern) of the two parts into which the Roman state was divided, initially in 364 and, with modifications, permanently after 395-7.

In this table, the name magister (master) also denotes both the plural magistri (masters) and magisterium (mastership: domain, or sphere of activity of the position). The table does not include several alternative names that were used for those in the table, ${ }^{44}$ nor the regional designations that are sometimes part of the names MVM, MM, MaP. ${ }^{45}$ These additional names are listed in Attachments 1-3.
Table 1 indicates that, during the period 344-534, the laws and inscriptions mostly refer to the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - as $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ or $\boldsymbol{M V}$ ( or $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$, which are the only three service positions that are mentioned in both those categories of texts. The sequence in which these three names are listed corresponds to the
6.8.1(422), Cod.Theod.7.8.16(435), Cod.Iust.12.8.2(440-41), Cod.Iust.12.40.10(450-55), Cod.Iust. 10.32.64(475-84) and the tituli in:Cod.Theod.6(438): 6. De consulibus, praefectis, magistris militum et patriciis; 7. De praefectis praetorio sive urbis et magistris militum, 8. De praepositis sacri cubiculi, 9. De quaestoribus, magistris officiorum, comite sacrarum largitionum et rerum privatarum and in Cod.Iust.12(534): 3. De consulibus [.] et de praefectis et magistris militum et patriciis; 4. De praefectis praetorio sive urbis et magistris militum in dignitatibus exaequandis, 5. De praepositis sacri cubiculi [..]. 6. De quaestoribus, magistris officiorum, comitibus sacrarum largitionum et rei privatae.
42 Cod.Theod.6.7.1(372)w: MEP; Cod.Theod.6.7.2(380)E: MEP; Cod.Theod.6.6.1(382)W: culmen militare; Cod. Theod.7.8.3(384)E: ex-MEP; Cod.Iust.2.12.25(392)E: magisterium militare; Cod.Theod.11.18.1(409/12)W: MM; Cod.Theod. 6.8.1(422)E: magisteria militaris potestas, magisterium militare; Cod.Theod.7.8.16(435)E: magisteria militaris potestas; Cod.Iust.12.8.2(440-41)E: MM; Cod.Iust.12.40.10(450-55)E: MM; Cod.Iust. 10.32.64(475-84)E: MM.

43 As described in Attachments 1-3.
44 Such as, for example, the alternatives for the name MEP listed in $\S$ [4b].
45 For example, magister [.] -per diversas regiones, -per africam, -per armeniam, -per dalmatiae, -per illyricum, -per orientem, -per thracias, - per gallias, -galliarum.
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chronological order, from earliest to latest, in which the names were mostly used. It may be useful, therefore, to consider those three names individually in that order before considering the remaining two names - $\boldsymbol{M E}$ and $\boldsymbol{M P}$ - about which there are some problems.

## $\S[4 \mathrm{~b}]$ Magister equitum et peditum (MEP)

In the laws and inscriptions, the service position abbreviated here as $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ is variously named: magister equitum et peditum, magister equitum ac peditum, magister peditum et equitum, magister equitum peditumque, and magister equitum peditum. These names identify the service position as being that of a magister (master) of equites (cavalry) and of pedites (infantry). There is no explanation, in any of those texts, why the words equites $\mid$ pedites were used in the name of the position.
The combination of the words equites $\mid$ pedites occurs only in the possessive plural form equitum and peditum, and almost exclusively in combination with some form of magister (or, once, magisterium). This combination exists 23 times in Cod.Theod./Cod.Iust. ${ }^{46}$ (but not Nov.) and 10 times in inscriptions. ${ }^{47}$

Except in conjunction with the word magister or magisterium, the combination of the two words, again in the possessive form equitum $\mid$ peditum, occurs in a law of c. 474 addressed to a $\boldsymbol{M M}$ and prohibiting him and any subordinate from enlisting, into any numerus equitum vel peditum or into a limes unit, any person who did not have a probatoria (imperial authorisation permitting enlistment). ${ }^{48}$ While this law appears to distinguish numeri equitum vel peditum from units in limite or in limitibus, a law addressed in 534 to Belisarius, MMper orientem, describes the army stationed along a limes as comprising milites pedites sive equites who, in another part of the same law, are identified as being the milites comitatenses and milites limitanei. ${ }^{49}$
Apart from the service position $\boldsymbol{M E P}$, there were, however, two other positions each of whose names incorporated the possessive form equitum or peditum. These two positions were those of officers commanding the scholae domesticorum (corps of imperial household guards), which comprised a schola domesticorum equitum and a schola domesticorum peditum. These scholae were almost always commanded by a single officer in the service position named comes domesticorum. ${ }^{50}$ But in c.450, a law regulating leave provisions and seniority grades among the domestici was addressed to Sporacius as comes domesticorum peditum. ${ }^{51}$ And the inscription in a diptych produced for Anastasius in 517 refers to him as comes domesticorum equitum. Two years later, a law dated 519 mentions comites domesticorum, one equitum and the other peditum, commanding domestici in the $<$ schola $>$ equitum and in the schola peditum. ${ }^{52}$
see Attachment 2.
47 See Attachment 3.
48 Cod.Iust.12.35.17(474?): Imp. Zeno A. Marciano magistro militum. || Neminem in ullo numero equitum vel peditum vel in quolibet limite sine nostri numinis sacra probatoria in posterum sociari concedimus, consuetudine quae hactenus tenuit antiquata, quae magisteriae potestati vel ducibus probatorias militum facere vel militibus adiungere licentiam tribuebat, ut ii tantum in numeris vel in limitibus militent, qui a nostra divinitate probatorias consequuntur. [.].
49 Cod.Iust.1.27.2(534): Iustinianus A. Belisario magistro militum per orientem || [.] §5: Quantos autem milites sive pedestres sive equites per unumquemque limitem qui debent ad custodiendas provincias et civitates, tua magnitudo, prout consideraverit, ordinet et nobis referat, [.] and §8: Pro limitaneis vero ordinandis (quia necessarium nobis esse videtur, ut extra comitatenses milites per castra milites limitanei constituantur, qui possint et castra et civitates limitis defendere et terras colere, [.]) exemplum fecimus unius numeri limitaneorum, ut secundum exemplum, quod nos misimus, per castra et loca, quae providerit tua magnitudo, eos ad similitudinem nostri exempli ordinet, [.].

## $50 \quad$ See Attachment 7.

51 In the list of dignitaries who were present with the emperor Marcianus at Session 6 of the Council of Chalcedon on 25 October 451, Sporacius was named comes domesticorum and, in the same list, Aetius was named comes domesticorum et sacrorum stabulorum. (See Appendix 14: Dignities and dignitaries in the main compilations of Roman imperial laws, Attachment 8: Lists of dignitaries at: https://www.notitiadignitatum.org/

These two service positions do not, however, provide an analogy for the use of the words equites $\mid$ pedites in the name MEP. The position name of each comes related to a named section of the domestici (that is, the comes domesticorum equitum commanding the schola domesticorum equitum, and the comes domesticorum peditum commanding the schola domesticorum peditum). But when the scholae of the domestici equites and domestici pedites were commanded by a single comes, as mostly occurred, the position name of the latter contained no combination of the words equites and pedites as it did in the position name $\boldsymbol{M E P}$. Moreover, there is no evidence in the laws and inscriptions that any officer serving as $\boldsymbol{M}$ - was allocated an army command over only cavalry units or only infantry units in a manner in which that command could be referred to as being that of either a magister equitum or a magister peditum. And there is no evidence in any law or inscription, for the official use of the name magister peditum that existed in an administrative text, dated 344, written on papyrus. ${ }^{53}$
The earliest available reference to the service position name $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ occurs in a law, probably from 360 , which mentions the domus (estate) of Eusebius, an eastern consul in 347,54 and refers to him as exconsule et exmagistro equitum et peditum. ${ }^{55}$ The title ex-magistro equitum et peditum could refer either to a retired officer who had served as $\boldsymbol{M E P}$, or to an honorary officer. ${ }^{56}$ But it is assumed that Eusebius had actually served as $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ and that he would have done so either before or during his consulship. In either case, whether as the title of a serving officer, or of an honorary officer, the title indicates that the position $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ existed already by c.347. A further reference to retired officers who had served as $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ is made in a law, dated 384, exempting any retiring MEP from the obligation to provide quarters to personnel in the imperial service. ${ }^{57}$
The name $\boldsymbol{M E P}$, in relation to a serving officer, is mentioned in a law dated $349 / 52$, of which there are two excerpts in Cod.Theod., that are both addressed to the $M$ - Silvanus. The first excerpt is addressed: $a d$ Silvanum comitem et magistrum equitum et peditum and the second one: ad Silvanum comitem et magistrum militum. ${ }^{58}$ This law exhibits the problem, previously mentioned in connection with the

[^5]54 Flavius Eusebius is identified as consul in 347 in the inscription EDCS-11400561: Vulcacio Rufino et Fl(avio) Eusebio conss(ulibus) [.]
This inscription and all others in this appendix are copied from the database: Clauss, M., Slaby, W.A., Kolb, A. \& Woitas, B. (eds.), Epigraphik-Datenbank Clauss / Slaby EDCS in which each inscription is identified by a number added to the notation $E C D S$ - as in the reference to the inscription cited here. The database is available at: (http://db.edcs.eu/)
55 Cod.Theod.11.1.1(360): Imp. Constantinus A. ad Proclianum. || Praeter privatas res nostras et ecclesias catholicas et domum clarissimae memoriae Eusebii exconsule et exmagistro equitum et peditum et Arsacis regis Armeniorum nemo ex nostra iussione praecipuis emolumentis familiaris iuvetur substantiae. [.].
56 See Appendix 14: Dignities and dignitaries in the main compilations of Roman imperial laws, §[7] at: https://www.notitiadignitatum.org/
57 Cod.Theod.7.8.3(384): Imppp. Gratianus, Valentinianus et Theodosius aaa. Palladio mag(istro) officiorum. \| Ab hospitalitatis munere domum privatorum nullus excuset praeter eos, qui ex praefectis summum sibi fastigium dignitatis agendo pepererunt, et ex magistris equitum ac peditum, quos decursi actus illustrat auctoritas, [.]
58 Cod.Theod.7.1.2(?349/52): Imp. Constantius A. ad Silvanum com(item) et magist<um> equitum et peditum. || Si quis miles per commeatu $<m>$ dimissus fuerit a tribuno vel praeposito aut inconsulto eodem ab obsequio militari signisque discesserit, per singulos milites tribuni et praepositi quina pondo auri fisco inferant. [.].
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process used in compiling the Codices, where two or more excerpts from the same law were extracted and placed under different tituli in the compilation: that is, the name MEP presumably existed in the complete law and was retained with the first excerpt, while the name $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$, in the address that was added to the second excerpt, was either a genuine contemporary synonym for $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ in $\mathbf{c} .350$, or represented the name that was most commonly used for the position $M$ - in $429-438$ when the Codices were being compiled (that name being $\boldsymbol{M M}$ ). These dual possibilities also explain the difficulty that exists in attempting to identify the earliest law in which the name $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ was used officially.
Among the inscriptions, the service position name $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ was first used in two that are dated c.371. One inscription refers to the construction of a castra (fort), in the West, ordered by Equitius, comes magister equitum peditumque and managed by Augustianus, dux limitis Valeriae in c.371-2. ${ }^{59}$ The other inscription mentions the construction of a burgus (fort), in the East in 371, by order of Iulius, comes magister equitum et peditum and constructed by the equites VIIII dalmatae commanded by the tribunus Vahalus. ${ }^{60}$

An earlier inscription, related to the construction of a church at Reims, initiated by Iovinus between 361369, was mentioned by Flodoard in whose copy of the text of the inscription Iovinus is named magister equitum peditumque. ${ }^{61}$
The position name MEP was used in laws and inscriptions in both the eastern and western part of the Roman state during the period c.349-409 and was used in a western inscription, at some time during the 5thC, in reference to an un-named MEP. ${ }^{62}$ Thereafter, it next occurred in an eastern law, dated 491-518, and in an eastern inscription on the pedestal for a statue honouring Basiliscus in c.470. ${ }^{63}$

The name MEP was last used in an inscription carved on three ivory diptychs that celebrated the appointment of the later emperor Iustinianus 1 as eastern consul in 521 and referred to him as magister equitum et peditum praes. (the abbreviation denotes either praesentalis or praesentalium). ${ }^{64}$ The use of the name $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ by Iustinianus, at a time when the name $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ had commonly supplanted both $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ and $\boldsymbol{M V M}$, appears to confirm $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ as being the earliest of the three names since it is known that Iustinianus had a significant interest in restoring the use of earlier traditional positions, or at least their names, even though appointments to the position of consul lapsed after 541. ${ }^{65}$ At about that same time, another western inscription praising an un-named $\boldsymbol{M}$ - possibly refers to his position as MEP. ${ }^{66}$
and
Cod.Theod.8.7.3(349/52): Imp. Constantius a. ad Silvanum comitem et magistrum militum. || Post alia: universi officiales diversorum officiorum, qui ex protectoribus epistulas meruerunt, officiis pristinis militiaeque reddantur nec opponant quaesitae sibi vocabulum dignitatis. [.].

EDCS-29000580: Imperatores Caesares dd(omini) nn(ostri) Valentinianus [e]t Valens fratres concordissimi victores maximi ac triumphatores [s]emperque Augusti / muros cum turribus horum castrorum a rudimentis fundamento/rum consurgere imperarunt dispo/nente Equitio v(iro) c(larissimo) comite mag(istro) equitum peditumque cur[a]nte Augustiano [[v(iro) c(larissimo) comite ord(inis)]] pr[i]mi et duce Val(eriae) limitis numini clementi(a)eq[u]e eorum dicatissimis. Four additional and similar inscriptions related to constructions ordered by Equitius are indicated in Attachment 3 \# 136, 138-140.
60 EDCS-21200157: Salvis d(ominis) n(ostris) Valentiniano Valente et Gratiano victorissimis semper Aug(ustis) dispositione Iuli v(iri) c(larissimi) com(itis) magistri equitum et peditum fabri/catus est burgus ex fundamento mano devotissimorum equitum VIIII Dalm(atarum) s(ub) c(ura) Vahali trib(uni) in consulatum d(omini) n(ostri) Gratiani perpetui Aug(usti) iterum et Probi v(iri) c(larissimi).
61 Flodoard, Historia Remensis ecclesiae, Lib.1, cap.16: | Felix militiae sumpsit devota Iovinus | Cingula, virtutum culmen provectus in altum | Bisque datus meritis equitum peditumque magister, $\mid$ Extulit aeternum saec $<\mathbf{u}>$ lorum in saecula nomen $\mid$ Sed pietate gravi tanta haec praeconia vicit $\mid$ [.]. (also reported as EDCS-ID-10600004).
62 EDCS-27800687: Hic in pace iacet Leontius ex optione officio magistri eq(uitum) et peditum [.]
63 Attachment 3 \#161.
64 Attachment 3 \#166-168. On praesentalis, see $\S[4 \mathrm{~h} 1]$.
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There is no evidence in any law or inscription that a regional designation was used as part of the service position name MEP. ${ }^{67}$

## $\S[4 \mathrm{c}]$ Magister utriusque militiae (MVM)

The expression utraque militia means "each militia (of two)" or "both one militia and also the other one", so that the service position name magister utriusque militiae was intended to refer to a "master of each militia (of two)" or "master of both one militia and also of the other militia". But the two militiae were not named or otherwise identified.
In fact, the entire imperial service was referred to as the militia, ${ }^{68}$ and all its members as militantes. ${ }^{69}$ The term militia denoted not only the entire imperial service, which was considered to be of paramount importance, ${ }^{70}$ but also any service position within it. ${ }^{71}$ The imperial service was divided into a civil and a military section and, while the term militia referred to both these sections, the military service was sometimes referred to as the militia armata (the armed imperial service - that is, the army). ${ }^{72}$ There was

65 Nov.Iust.13(535): de praetoribus populi: $<$ Re-naming the service position praefectus vigilum as praetor populi> $\S 1$ : Igitur quoniam antiquis Romanis vehementer praetoris placuit nomen, propterea aestimavimus eos praetores plebis oportere nominare, qui ad custodiam et disciplinam constituti sunt [..] Et quemadmodum antiquitus [..] ita et nunc sint praetores quidem senatus,
Nov.Iust.15(535): de defensoribus civitatum §praef: Nisi velociter revocemus curam defensorum per competens officium, nec ipsam ulterius antiquorum nominum habebimus veritatem. [..] defensorum nomen pure demonstrat antiquitatem aliquos praeposuisse rebus, quatenus ex omni eas iniustitia vindicarent liberas.
Nov.Iust.17(535): de mandatis principum §gloss?: Ex libris antiquis, qui iura nominis Romani continebant, non ignorat tua sublimitas <Tribunianus, quaestor sacri palati> , quanta de mandatis principum legum latores in suo quoque volumine conscripserunt. Quia igitur nobis reparantibus omnem vetustatem iam deperditam, iam deminutam, placuit etiam omnibus iudicibus nostris, [..] mandata dare, quibus inspectis omnia gubernare laudabiliter possint:[.]
Nov.Iust.24(535)§1: Haec considerantes nos, antiquitatem rursus cum maiori flore ad rempublicam reducentes et Romanorum nobilitantes nomen [etc];
Nov.Iust.25(535)§pr: Iustum igitur erit et eam administrationem decorare antiqua Romani officii conscribentem signa, et nunc eius magistratus (iudicem dicimus civilis cinguli et praesedentem armis) in unum colligere et praetoris appellatione decorare.
66 EDCS-10801669: [.] rexisti fortes equitum peditumque catervas iure magisterii nobilitate gradu tu bello Gothias expulsis gentibus Alpes dedisti Latio victor in imperio [.]
67 Regional designations are listed in note 45.
68 The imperial service is defined Cod.Theod.16.5.48(410) as: <militia> quae nostris obsecundat imperiis.
69 For example, when distinguishing personnel in the imperial service from those outside the service, as in Cod.Theod.9.40.21(412/13): Sed hanc omnibus privatis atque militantibus licentiam damus.
70 Cod.Theod.6.26.1(362): In rebus prima militia est, secundus in litterarum praesidiis pacis ornatus.
71 The use of militia to refer to service positions occurs, for example, in Cod.Theod.7.20.4(325): si ex comitatensi militia senectutis vel debilitatis causa dimissi fuerint [.]; Cod.Theod.12.1.22(336): cum decuriones [.] ad diversas militias confugiant, [.]; Cod.Theod.12.1.56(363): si vero intra decennium recens athuc erit ratio militiae limitaneae; Cod.Theod.17.22.8(372): filios veteranorum, qui armatae militiae paruissent, [.] qui comitatensi digni possint esse militia, [.]; Cod.Theod.6.29.11(414): qui [.] ad maiores militias festinant; Cod.Iust.8.13.27(528): militiam, quae vendi [.] transmitti potest; Cod.Iust.12.33.6(529): qui postea ad quandam militiam adspirare temptaverint; Cod.Iust.4.65.35(527-534): eos qui [.] sub excelsis magistris militum tolerare noscuntur militiam; Cod.Iust.12.33(534)title: qui militare possunt [.] et ut nemo duplici militia [,] utatur.
72 For example: Cod.Theod.7.1.5(364), Cod.Iust.11.68.3(365?), Cod.Theod.7.1.6(368-73), Cod.Theod. 8.7.12(372), Cod.Theod. 7.20.10(369), Cod.Theod.7.22.8(372), Cod.Theod.7.22.10(380), Cod.Theod. 12.1.88(382), Cod.Theod. 12.33.3(395-401), Cod.Theod.6.4.28(396), Cod.Theod.12.1.154(397), Cod.Theod.7.13.16(406), Cod.Theod. 6.27.16(413), Cod. Theod.12.1.147(416), Cod.Theod.6.24.9(416), Cod.Theod.16.8.24(418), Cod.Theod.2.3.21(423),
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no equivalent term to denote the entire civil service, although that part of it which comprised the palace secretariats was occasionally identified as the militia palatina and was distinguished from the armed militia (mostly militia armata but sometimes militia militaris). ${ }^{73}$
The earliest reference to the position $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ exists in a western inscription, dated 370 , commemorating the construction of a burgus (fort) by order of Equitius, comes et magister utriusque militiae, pursuant to an imperial command. ${ }^{74}$ In four similar inscriptions dated c.371-72, Equitius is once named MEP and three times MVM. ${ }^{75}$

A law dated 384-5, denies the right to issue evectiones (official travel permits) to an unspecified number of magistri militiae equestris ac pedestris (masters of the cavalry service and of the infantry service). ${ }^{76}$ This name, which occurs only in this law, may explain the meaning of the expression utraque militia and, if so, may represent a transitional form between the names $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ and $\boldsymbol{M V M}$.
But there were also other divisions of the militia armata which, in a law of 372 was described as comprising a militia comitatensis and a militia ripensis. ${ }^{77}$ In an earlier law with similar provisions, the two sections are named militia equestris [.] et legionaria. ${ }^{78}$ There are also references to a militia limitanea ${ }^{79}$ and a militia scholaris. ${ }^{80}$ These examples indicate that the term militia could be used to describe any statutory unit within the imperial service.

Cod.Theod.8.4.28 (423), Cod.Iust.3.25.1(439), Cod.Iust. 3.23.2(440), Nov.Mai.1(450)§7, Cod.Iust.12.33.4(472?), Cod.Iust.4.20.14(486), Cod.Iust.12.34.1(528-9), Cod.Iust.1.27.2(534)§1, Nov.Iust.117(542)§11.

Cod.Theod.8.7.19(397): cuiuslibet iudicis apparitores, inter quos etiam praefectianos locamus, ad quamcumque aliam militiam vel palatinam vel militarem ambiendo transierint, retrahendi hos copiam illis, quos deseruere, tribuimus, [.] and, from the same law, Cod.Theod.12.1.154(397): si qui municipum vel palatinam vel armatam aliquando militiam debitis praetulerit functionibus, [.]; Cod.Theod.7.20.10(369): [.] qui non vel intra palatium congruo labore meruisse vel armatam invenitur sudasse militiam, his privilegiis careat quae militaribus palatinisque tribuuntur.
74 EDCS-14500961 (Ybbs an der Donau / Lauriacum) DDD(ominorum) nnn(ostrorum) Valentiniani Valentis et Gratiani perennium Augustorum saluberrima iussionem hunc burgum a fundamentis ordinante viro clarissimo Equitio comite et utriusquae(!) militiae magistro insistente etiam Leontio p(rae)p(osito) milites auxiliares Lauriacenses cur(a)e eius conmissi consulatus eorundem dominorum principumque nostrorum tertii ad summam manum perduxserunt(!) perfectiones
75 See Attachment 3 \#137-140.
76 Cod.Theod.8.5.43(384-5): Idem AAA. ad Neoterium p(raefectum) p(raetorio). \| Quibus ius ante non fuit evectionum abstinentia, quibus fuit faciendarum moderatione rei publicae prospiciant. Illustres enim viri militiae equestris ac pedestris magistri, qui hac usurpatione caruerunt, multo in posterum patientius abstinebunt, cum et amantes rei publicae sint et circa communes utilitates nostris sibi animadvertant moribus esse vivendum. [.].
One additional law is ambiguous. Cod.Theod.7.4.36(424) is addressed: Idem AAA. Procopio magistro militiae per orientem and could refer, either to the position $M$ - <utriusque> militiae or $\boldsymbol{M}$ - militiae <equestris ac pedestris>.
77 Cod.Theod.7.22.8(372): Imppp. Valentinianus, Valens et Gratianus aaa. ad Probum praefectum praetorio. || Filios veteranorum, qui armatae militiae paruissent, [.] quibus vel corporis robur vel statura defuerit, qui comitatensi digni possint esse militia, ripensi poterunt copulari.
78 Cod.Theod.7.22.2(326): Idem A. ad Severum <praefectum urbi>. || [.] §1: Si autem veteranorum filii, qui equestrem militiam toleraverunt, inter equites probari voluerint, habeant facultatem, [..]. §4: Ex his autem, qui militare voluerint, si qui minus apti sunt equestri militiae id efficiente calamitate membrorum et legionariae congrui esse noscuntur, deducendi ad nos, [.].
79 Cod.Theod.12.1.56(363): Idem A. || Qui nati origine curiali militare munus adamaverunt, ubi X annorum stipendia confecta sunt, iussionum nostrarum auctoritate erunt curia immunes. Si vero intra decennium recens athuc erit ratio militiae limitaneae, causa generis praeponderabit et originis: curiis enim adgregabuntur.
80 Cod.Theod.12.1.38(346/357): Idem AA. ad Anatolium praefectum praetorio. || Quoniam nonnulli curiis derelictis domesticorum seu protectorum se consortio copularunt, scholari etiam quidam nomen
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After c.371-72, the name $\boldsymbol{M V}$ ( occurs mostly in eastern laws (18/26) but predominantly in western inscriptions $(22 / 23)$. These western inscriptions use the name in relation to 10 different officers between c.370-c.471/83, while the one eastern inscription applies it to an officer from the period 478-485. The name $\boldsymbol{M V}$ M in the laws is used mostly before $410(20 / 25)$, while in the inscriptions the position is mentioned over a longer period: 12 inscriptions before 410 and 11 after that date.
It was in conjunction with the name $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ that regional designations first began to be used in laws, beginning with two laws dated $393 .{ }^{81}$ The designations used were: MVMper africam once, and MVMper orientem at least twice ${ }^{82}$. In the laws, these regional designations added to the name $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ occur only in eastern laws and none exist in inscriptions.
No available law refers to an ex-magistro utriusque militiae but the title exists on the diptych celebrating the western consulship of Asturius (Astyrius) in $449 .{ }^{83}$
One eastern law is included in this appendix among those using the name $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ but the allocation is uncertain. This law is addressed to a magister militiae per orientem, ${ }^{84}$ but it is uncertain whether this was intended to refer to militiae <equestris ac pedestris> or to <utriusque> militiae, although the meaning is perhaps the same. Another eastern law, which is only addressed comitibus utriusque militiae, is also included because it is one extract of a law of which another extract has the address com(itibus) et magistris utrius $<$ que $>$ mil(itiae)..${ }^{85}$

## $\S[4 \mathrm{~d}]$ Magister militum (MM)

The name magister militum means master of soldiers and in a law dated 527-34, the word milites is defined as soldiers in each of three categories: those serving under a MM; those in the eleven scholae <palatinae> (corps of imperial bodyguards), and those among foederati (allies). ${ }^{86}$
An almost identical definition is contained in the copy of the Latin translation of a law issued by Iustinianus in 542, which extends the definition to include soldiers in any expeditionary army and those enrolled in any militia armata. ${ }^{87}$ And in the law of 534 addressed to Belisarius, the milites comitatenses and milites limitanei are, together, also referred to as milites pedites sive equites. ${ }^{88}$ All these milites were under the jurisdiction of a $\mathbf{M}-.{ }^{89}$
dederunt militiae aut palatinis sunt officiis adgregati, cunctos iubemus omni frustratione submota ad curias revocari. [.].
81 Cod.Theod.9.7.9(393) addressed Item AAA. Gildoni com(iti) et magistro utriusque militiae per africam and Cod.Theod.16.8.9(393) addressed: Idem AAA. Addeo comiti et magistro utriusque militiae per orientem.

82 See Attachment 2 \#50, 51, 92 and possibly 89.
EDCS-29600312: Fl(avius) Astyrius v(ir) c(larissimus) et inl(ustris) com(es) ex mag(istro) utriusq(ue) mil(itiae) cons(ul) ord(inarius).
84 Cod.Theod.7.4.36(424): Idem AA. Procopio magistro militiae per orientem.
85 Cod.Theod.7.9.3(393 Iul.29): Impp. Valentinianus, Theod(osius) et Arcad(ius) AAA. Abundantio, Stilichon<ni> et ceteris comitibus utriusque militiae; Cod.Theod.7.4.18(393 Iul.29): Impp. Valentinianus, Theod(osius) et Arcad(ius) AAA. Abundantio, Stilichoni et ceteris comitibus et magistris utriusque mil(itiae).
86 Cod.Iust.4.65.35(527-34): Imp. Iustinianus ad senatum. || [.] §1: [.] Iubemus itaque omnes omnino, qui sub armis militant, sive maiores sive minores (milites autem appellamus eos, qui tam sub excelsis magistris militum tolerare noscuntur militiam quam in undecim devotissimis scholis taxati sunt, nec non eos, qui sub diversis optionibus foederatorum nomine sunt decorati) saltem in posterum ab omni conductione alienarum rerum temperare [.].
87 Nov.Iust.117(542)§11: Quod autem a nobis sancitum est de his qui in expeditionibus sunt et in militiis constituti, sive milites sint sive foederati sive scholares sive alii quidam sub alia quacumque militia armata constituti, melius ordinare perspeximus.
88 Cod.Iust.1.27.2(534) $\S 5$ and $\S 8:-$ see note 49.

As mentioned in $\S[2 b]$, it is possible that the compilers of the Codices could have substituted the later name $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ for the earlier names $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ or $\boldsymbol{M V M}$ in the address of a law, when they extracted more than one excerpt from a law and placed the excerpts under different tituli in the Codices, because they needed to provide an address for each one. Such changes may have occurred in four laws that are addressed to a $\mathbf{M}$-.
Reference has already been made to two excerpts in Cod.Theod. that were derived from a single law addressed to Silvanus, with one excerpt addressed to him as $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ and the other as $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M} .{ }^{90}$ And in the same compilation there are another two excerpts, both probably from the same law, addressed to Stilicho as $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ in one and as $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ in the other. ${ }^{91}$ There are other excerpts that are probably also from the same law but are divided between the two compilations. For example, one excerpt of a law, in Cod.Theod., is addressed to Equitius as $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ while the other excerpt from probably the same law but in Cod.Iust., is addressed to him as $\boldsymbol{M E P} .{ }^{92}$ Similarly, an excerpt in Cod.Theod. is addressed to The $\boldsymbol{u}$ dorum as $\boldsymbol{M E}$ while another in Cod.Iust., is addressed to Theodotum as MM. ${ }^{93}$
Consequently, where only one excerpt of a law is represented in either Cod.Theod. or in Cod.Iust., with the name $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ in its address, and where the officer and his position are not attested elsewhere, it is not possible to determine whether the name $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ may have replaced an earlier name. And, for that reason, it is not possible, therefore, to indicate the date when the name $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ was first used officially in the address of a law.

But the service position names in the contents of the law (that is, in the text other than any address and subscript) are less likely to have been subject to changes resulting from the process affecting some addresses and are, therefore, probably more likely to be accurate representations of the names that were used in the Codices, as indicated by the comparisons mentioned previously ${ }^{94}$. The first available western law in whose contents the name $\boldsymbol{M M}$ is used is dated 365 in both Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust., ${ }^{95}$ while the first such eastern law is dated 406 in the same two compilations. ${ }^{96}$

89 For example, Cod.Iust.9.3.1(365): Valentinianus et Valens AA. Valentino consulari Piceni || Si miles in provincia repertus crimen publicum commiserit, eum rector provinciae sub custodia constituat atque ita vel causae meritum vel personae qualitatem ad magistrum militum \{Cod.Theod.9.2.2: ad magistros militum referat.
90 Cod.Theod.7.1.2(349/52): ad Silvanum comitem et magistr<um> equitum et peditum; Cod.Theod.8.7.3 (349/52): ad Silvanum comitem et magistrum militum.
91 Cod.Theod.7.13.18(407): Stilichoni comiti et magistrro militum; Cod.Theod.7.20.13(407): Stilichoni comiti et magistro utriusque militiae.
92 Cod.Theod.7.1.8(365): ad Equitium comitem et magistrum militum; Cod.Iust.11.68.3(365): ad Equitium magistrum equitum et peditum.

93 Cod.Theod.3.14.1(370/373): ad Theudorum [?Thedorum] magistrum equitum; Cod.Iust.4.41.1(370/373?): ad Theodotum [?Thedoto] magistrum militum. It is generally assumed that both represent the name Theodosius.
94 See $\S[2 b]$, referring to Attachment 4, \#2 and \#3.
95 Cod.Theod.9.2.2(365): Quisquis fuerit, quem crimen pulsat, quem negotium tangit, comprehensum eum iudex sub custodia constituat atque ita vel causae meritum vel personae qualitatem ad nos referat, vel, si longius fuerimus, ad illustres viros praefectos praetorio, sive ad magistros militum, si militaris fuerit persona, ne sub specie vel verae vel ementitae dignitatis facinora dilabantur. [.] adapted in Cod.Iust.9.3.1: Si miles in provincia repertus crimen publicum commiserit, eum rector provinciae sub custodia constituat atque ita vel causae meritum vel personae qualitatem ad magistrum militum referat.
96 Cod.Theod.7.11.1(406): [.] inquietudinem a curiis et civitatibus praecipimus prohiberi nec ullas privatas ab his succendi balneas ad tribunorum sive ad minorum comitum usus, sed inlustribus tantum viris comitibus ac magistris militum, si tamen id voluerint, concessum esse cognoscant hoc ministerium. adapted in Cod.Iust.1.47.1 [.] nec [.] ad tribunorum vel ducum aliorumve militarium comitum usus [.]. Illustribus enim tantum viris comitibus ac magistris militum [.] hoc ministerium indulgemus[.].
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The position names in some laws can be compared with the names in contemporary inscriptions, or copies of them, ${ }^{97}$ and among these inscriptions there is apparently none that refers to the position $\boldsymbol{M M}$ before $434 .{ }^{98}$

The name $\boldsymbol{M M}$ is the one that is used most often in Cod.Theod., Cod.Iust. and Nov. which together contain 150 references to the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - comprising: $\boldsymbol{M E P} 23, \boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M} 26$, and $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M} 101$. The name $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ was also the one that was used almost exclusively to refer to the position $M$ - after 534 , both within and beyond the borders of the Roman state. ${ }^{99}$ By contrast, among the 44 inscriptions, the name that was used most often during the period 344-534 was MVM 23, compared with MEP 10 and MM 11.

The regional designations that were first used in conjunction with the name $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{M}$ in two eastern laws dated 393, were used more often, and in a greater variety, in conjunction with the name MM. These designations in the eastern laws include: MMper orientem or orientis or <militum> orientalium; MMper thracias; MMper illyricum or illyrici; MMdalmatiae; MMper armeniam et pontum polemoniacum et gentes; and MMper diversas regiones. There are no such designations in western laws.
Among the eastern inscriptions the regional designations are MMper orientem, ${ }^{100}$ and MMper thracia(s?/m?). ${ }^{101}$ The only western inscription that contains such a designation is the one that commemorates Aetius in c.439. Its text initially refers to his service position as MMper gallias and then, within the same sentence, as $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ and the latter appears to have been part of his official title since it was followed by his other titles: consul ordinarius et patricius. ${ }^{102}$

No available law refers to an ex-magistro utriusque militiae but the title exists on the diptych celebrating the eastern consulship of Soterichus Philoxenus in 525. ${ }^{103}$

## §[4e] Magisteria potestas (MaP)

The service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - was occasionally identified by the name magisteria potestas, (MaP) which denoted the magisterial authority and/or power.
The name magisteria potestas was used exclusively to refer to the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and not to that of any other magister in the imperial service. This is confirmed by the section headings in Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust. In the book dealing with the subject dignitates (dignitaries, dignities) in both Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust. the tituli mention three service positions that contained the name magister: the service position $M-;{ }^{104}$ the position magister officiorum; ${ }^{105}$ and those of the magistri scriniorum (masters of the imperial

[^6]bureaus), ${ }^{106}$ and while Cod.Theod. relates its titulus 8.3 to the name $\boldsymbol{M a P}$, the corresponding titulus 12.54 in Cod.Iust. represents that with the name $\boldsymbol{M M}$.
To emphasise this relationship between the names $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and $\boldsymbol{M a P}$, additional defining words were sometimes added. In one law, the noun potestas was preceded by the adjective militaris to form the name magisteria militaris potestas for which the same law has the alternative magisterium militare. ${ }^{107}$ In another law, the name magisteria militum potestas refers to the jurisdiction of the $\boldsymbol{M}$ - over milites, ${ }^{108}$ while a further law uses MaP in relation to the secretariat of a MM. ${ }^{109}$ In two laws a regional designation is added to form the name magisteria per orientem potestas. ${ }^{110}$ And one law includes three variations: magister militum, magisteria potestas and magisteria militum potestas. ${ }^{111}$

The laws and inscriptions do not contain any statements that explain why the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - was occasionally identified by the name $\boldsymbol{M a P}$. Apart from its use as a general alternative for the name $\boldsymbol{M}$-, the name MaP is mostly used in relation to the apparitio (secretariat, usually referred to as officium) associated with the position $\boldsymbol{M}-,{ }^{112}$ and once generally to denote the administratio of an $\boldsymbol{M}-.{ }^{113}$

104 Tituli: Cod.Theod.6.6(438): De consulibus, praefectis, magistris militum et patriciis $=$ Cod.Iust.12.3(534): De consulibus [.], et de praefectis et magistris militum et patriciis;
Cod.Theod.6.7: De praefectis praetorio sive urbis et magistris militum $=$ Cod.Iust.12.4: De praefectis praetorio sive urbis et magistris militum in dignitatibus exaequandis;
Cod.Theod.8.3: De privilegiis apparitorum magisteriae potestatis $=$ Cod.Iust.12.54: De apparitoribus magistrorum militum et privilegiis eorum.
105 Tituli: Cod.Theod.6.9(438): De quaestoribus magistris officiorum, comite sacrarum largitionum et rerum privatarum $=$ Cod.Iust.12.6(534): De quaestoribus magistris officiorum, comitibus sacrarum largitionum et rei privatae;
106 Tituli: Cod.Theod.6.11(438): De magistris scriniorum = Cod.Iust.12.9(534): De magistris scriniorum.
107 Cod.Theod.6.8.1(422): Honorius et Theodosius AA. Florentio praefecto urbi. \| Qui sacri cubiculi nostri fuere praepositi [.] ea dignitate fungantur, qua sunt praediti, qui eminentissimam praetorianam vel urbanam meruerint praefecturam aut certe militarem magisteriam potestatem, ita ut sit inter eos post depositas administrationes nulla discretio, [.]. = Cod.Iust.12.5.1: Sacri cubiculi praepositi ea dignitate fungantur, qua sunt praediti, qui eminentissimam praetorianam vel urbanam meruerint praefecturam aut certe militarem magisteriam potestatem, ita ut sit inter eos post depositas administrationes nulla discretio, [.].
Cod.Theod.6.8.1 continued [.] qui praetorianam vel urbanam praefecturam vel militare magisterium post eorum provectionem sortiti sunt [.] which is not represented in Cod.Iust.
108 Cod.Theod.7.8.16(435): Idem AA. Valerio magistro officiorum et ex consule ordinario. || [.] His vero, qui praefecturae dignitatem habent aut ipsius apicis administratione aut actu magisteriae militum potestatis aut quod praepositi sacri nostri cubiculi fuerint aut quod honorarios eiusdem praefecturae meruerint codicillos, cum priore lege una domus excusaretur, [.].

109 Cod.Iust.1.27.2(534): §35: [.] in officio magisteriae militum potestatis[.]. -see note 111.
110 Cod.Iust.1.29.3(476-85): Imp. Zeno A. Sebastiano praefecto praetorio. || Eos, qui ultra statutos in officio magisteriae per orientem potestatis militant, civilium quoque iudicum sententiis super quolibet negotio subiacere: ipsis quin etiam statutis in tributariis collationibus civilium quoque iudicum dispositionibus procul dubio parituris. [.].
Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492): Imp. Anastasius A. Iohanni magistro militum praesentalium. || [.] §pr: ad magnificam magisteriam per orientem potestatem; §1: ad praedictam magisteriam per orientem potestatem; §6: apud excelsam magisteriam per orientem potestatem; §8: ad imminuendam potestatem magisteriae per orientem administrationis. [.].

111 Cod.Iust.1.27.2(534): Idem A. Belisario magistro militum per orientem. || [.] §17: [.] decernimus, ut duces [.] per Africanos limites nihil amplius in sacratissimo palatio [.] vel in praetorio per Africam praefecturae vel magisteriae potestati praebeant, nisi quantum subter adnexa declarat notitia. [.] §35:[.] Item notitia consuetudinum, quas in sacro laterculo et in praetorio amplissimae praefecturae per Africam, iam in officio magistri militum pro tempore dux praebere oportet uniuscuiusque limitis sic: in sacro laterculo solidi vi: in officio magisteriae militum potestatis pro insinuandis administrationis suae divinis nostrae serenitatis adfatibus solidi xii: in officio amplissimae praefecturae per Africam pro insinuandis eiusdem chartis solidi xii.

The earliest use of the name MaP occurs in a western law, dated 398. ${ }^{114}$ And apart from one additional western law, dated $426,{ }^{115}$ all other references to the name MaP occur in 17 eastern laws between 412534. The two western laws use only the name MaP, while some eastern laws incorporate an additional adjective (militaris), or noun (militum), or a regional designation (per orientem). ${ }^{116}$ In the laws, the name $\boldsymbol{M a P}$ was used only once in the plural number. ${ }^{117}$
The name $\boldsymbol{M a P}$ is not attested in any inscription and it is not used as the name for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the address of any law.

## $\S[4 \mathrm{f}]$ Extraordinary $\boldsymbol{M}$ - (temporary, reserve and substitute officers)

There were usually two or more contemporary officers each of whom was appointed to an ordinary position named $\boldsymbol{M}$ - (that is, a position regarded as a regular one to which a series of serving officers was successively or consecutively appointed). But the military duties associated with the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ were sometimes also performed by functionaries appointed to an extraordinary position: (meaning not an ordinary position) which was usually a temporary additional position, not a temporary appointment to fill a vacancy in an ordinary or regular position.
Such an extraordinary position was held by Gildo, who was appointed as MVMper africam, at some time before $393 .{ }^{118}$ Following his rebellion against the western emperor Honorius, and later defeat in 398, his position as MVMper africam was replaced by that of comes africae. ${ }^{119}$

A different extraordinary position could be held by a magister militum vacans (honorary reserve officer), ${ }^{120}$ who could be appointed to conduct a specific military campaign. Such officers, at the end of the campaign, were entitled to the superior privileges shared by retiring $M$ - who had served in that position, rather than receiving the inferior privileges shared by honorary officers. ${ }^{121}$ The number of such extraordinary appointments throughout the period 344-534 is not known.

112 For example, Nov.Theod.7.4(441): Idem AA. Ariobindo magistro militum. || refers to §pr: de adparitionibus magisteriae potestatis and adparitorem magisteriae [.] potestatis; §2: adparitores magisteriae potestatis; §3: adparitores magisteriae potestatis; §4: adparitorem [.] magisteriae potestatis; §8: adparitor magisteriae potestatis among 12 references to magister militum (including 2 addressees) and 1 to magistri militum. At the end of the law: Eodem exemplo Aspari viro inlustri comiti et magistro militum et exconsuli ordinario.
Cod.Iust.4.21.20(530): Idem A. Iuliano praefecto praetorio. || [.] §4: Et hoc observari tam in omnibus sacris scriniis nostris quam in apparitione omnis sublimissimae praefecturae nec non magisteriae potestatis ceterisque omnibus iudiciis, quae in orbe nostro constituta sunt, his omnibus in posterum observandis. [.].
Cod.Theod.1.7.3(398): see note 377 .
Cod.Iust.11.48.18(426): see note 115.
113 For example, Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492): Imp. Anastasius A. Iohanni magistro militum praesentalium. || [.] §8: Dispositiones autem ante latas non ad imminuendam potestatem magisteriae per orientem administrationis, sed pro tuitione locorum ac securitate publica noscimur praestitisse, [.].
114 Cod.Theod.1.7.3(398): Impp. Arcadius et Honorius Stilichoni magistro militum. || [.] comitibus et ducibus [.] principes et numerarii ex officio magisteriae potestatis mittantur [.].
115 Cod.Iust.11.48.18(426): Impp. Theodosius et Valentinianus AA. Basso praefecto praetorio. || Colonos nulla ratione ad ullum quamvis humilioris militiae locum sinimus admitti: sed nec apparitores magisteriae potestatis censibus adscriptos probari concedimus, [.].

116 See Attachment 2.
117 Nov.Theod.24(443) - see note 33.
118 Cod.Theod.9.7.9(393) is addressed: Idem AAA. Gildoni comiti et magistro utriusque militiae per Africam.
119 Cod.Theod.1.7.3(Sept.398) refers to the position comes per africam - see note 377.
120 See Appendix 14: Dignities and dignitaries in the main compilations of Roman imperial laws, §[7] at: https://www.notitiadignitatum.org/
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Other extraordinary positions were held by officers who performed functions on behalf of, or acting as substitutes for, a $M$-. These deputies are described as vicem agens magistri militum (acting in place of a/the master of soldiers). ${ }^{122}$ One law mentions a comes domesticorum performing such a function. ${ }^{123}$ Another law states that any officer who had already been awarded the dignity comes ordinis primi (imperial companion, first division), and who had then acted for a $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$, was subsequently awarded the division of the spectabilis grade of dignity that was attached to the service position of all comites and duces (regional and provincial directors of soldiers), other than those in the diocese of either Aegyptus or of Pontica (to whose service positions a higher division of the spectabilis grade of dignity was attached than that of the remaining comites and duces). ${ }^{124}$

## $\S[4 \mathrm{~g}]$ Other names used for the service position $M$ -

The laws include three references to the service position $M$ - that do not include the words magister, magisterium or magisteria potestas but are included among the positions considered in this appendix.
A western law, dated 382, determines that in the order of precedence among those who have held the position of consul (the highest dignity in the Roman state but not a service position), the precedence of those who have held only the position of consul is lower than that of those who have held not only the position of consul but also the highest position in either the civil service or the military service, referring to the latter of these two positions as culmen militare ("the military summit" or "peak"), ${ }^{125}$ meaning the position $M$ - which was the highest-ranked service position within the militia armata.

121 Cod Iust.12.8.2(440-41): Impp. Theodosius et ValentinianusAA. Cyro praefecto praetorio. || [.] §3. Inter administratores illos etiam numerari decernimus, quibus illustribus in sacro nostro consistorio cinctis aliquid ordinariae dignitatis vel antea commisimus vel postea committemus peragendum, verbi gratia si vacanti magistro militum belli cura committatur. §4. Cur enim aut vir magnificus Germanus magister militum vacans appellatur, cui bellum contra hostes mandavimus?
122 A description sent by Iohannes or Epiphanius concerning their mission to bishop Dorotheus in Thessalonica in 519 refers to certain actions having been undertaken [.] a Candido v.s. vicario magistri militum praetorianorum in iudicio praefectorum [.] and later refers to the same Candidus in similar terms as magistri militum vicario Candido comite.
Guenther, O., Epistulae imperatorum pontificum aliorum inde ab anno 367 usque ad annum 553 datae, Avellana quae dicitur Collectio. (Corpus scriptorum ecclesiasticorum latinorum vol.35) 2 parts: Ep.1-104; Ep.105-244, (Wien, Tempsky, 1895-1898), v.1, pp.642-644 \# 186: Indiculus qui directus est a Iohanne episcopo vel ab Epiphanio presbytero de Thessalonica <Oct.519>.
123 Cod.Theod.15.11.1(414): Impp. Honorius et Theodosius AA. Mauriano comiti domesticorum et vices agenti magistri militum. || Occidendorum leonum cunctis facimus potestatem, [.] Occidendi igitur memoratas feras, et ducibus et officiis eorum conventis, cunctis licentia tribuatur. [.].
124 Cod.Theod.6.14.3(413): Impp. Honorius et Theod(osius) AA. Prisciano praefecto urbi. \|Post alia: eos, qui sub comitivae primi ordinis dignitate peculiariter ad quamlibet provinciam vel provincias defendendas milite credito auctoritate nostri numinis destinantur, et eos, qui vicem illustrium virorum magistrorum militum susceperint peragendam, ducibus, qui praeter Aegyptum et Ponticam in aliis provinciis administraverint, adaequamus. [.].
The references to duces and provinciae in this law are stated more precisely (to distinguish them from comites and dioeceses) in another extract from the same law in:
Cod.Theod.6.13.1(413): <I>mpp. Honorius et Theodosius AA. Prisciano praefecto urbi. || Praepositos ac tribunos scholarum, qui et divinis epulis adhibentur et adorandi principis facultatem antiquitus meruerunt, inter quos tribunus etiam sacri stabuli et cura palatii numerantur, si primi ordinis comitivam cum praepositura meruerint et casu ad altiora non pervenerint, deposito sacramento inter eos, qui comites Aegypti vel Ponticae dioeceseos fuerint, quorum par dignitas est, haberi praecipimus. Sin absque honore comitivae cuiuslibet scholae regimen fuerint nancti, absolutos militia inter eos, qui duces fuerint provinciarum, numerari iubemus. Et cetera. [.].
125 Cod.Theod.6.6.1(382): Gra(tia)nus, Valentinianus et Theodosius AAA. ad Severum praefectum urbi. \| [.] Sed ut consulatus anteponendus est omnibus fastigiis dignitatum, in omni etiam curiae senatoriae actu sententia coetu, si quis consulatu et praefectura vel culmine militari conspicuus est, pridem consulari praeferendus haud dubio est. [.].
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Another western law, dated 396, directs that instructions are to be sent, through the viri inlustres comites, to the tribuni of numeri, vexillationes and scholae about procedures related to animal rations ${ }^{126}$ The viri inlustres ( or illustres) who had jurisdiction over the tribuni of numeri and vexillationes were the illustres comites (et magistri) or M-.
An eastern law, dated 398, orders that any soldier who is sent to the comitatus (court) by any numerus (military unit) or by any tribunus is to report immediately to the viri inlustres comites. The copy of this law in Cod.Theod. differs from that in Cod.Iust. which, after comites, adds the words sub quorum regimine constituti sunt ("under whose control they have been placed"), identifying those comites as the inlustres comites (et magistri) or M-. ${ }^{127}$
$\S[4 \mathrm{~h}]$ The designations praesentalis and in praesenti
[4h1] praesentalis
During most of the period 344-534, there were usually two or more contemporary officers each of whom was appointed to a separate service position named $\boldsymbol{M}$-. These positions were sometimes distinguished from each other by the addition of a regional designation to the common service position name, such as MVMper africam, or per orientem; MMper orientem or orientis, MMper thracias, MMper illyricum, MMper diversas provincias and MMper gallias; and MaPper orientem. With the exception of the designation per gallias, which exists in only one western inscription, ${ }^{128}$ all the other designations occur in eastern laws and some in eastern inscriptions.
An additional designation, which did not refer to a region, is mentioned in two eastern laws. The first law, dated c .443 , names the service position of a particular $\boldsymbol{M}$ - as magister militum praesentalis (MMpraesentalis), while the second, dated 492, refers to the position as magister militum praesentalium (MMpraesentalium). And one of these two forms is represented by the abbreviation praes. in the name magister equitum et peditum praes. (MEPpraes.) that exists in an eastern inscription that was repeated on three diptychs in 521.
In those two laws, the adjective praesentalis is used:
a to identify one or more service positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - from other such positions; and
b to distinguish some military units from others.
The use of praesentalis, to identify particular military units or soldiers, other than in combination with the word magister, is also made in three further laws dated between 519-534.
These five laws are the only ones in which forms of the word praesentalis exist. All these five laws are eastern and exist only in Cod.Iust. In these five laws, of which the earliest is dated c.443, the adjective praesentalis is used to describe or qualify the noun magister (2 times), milites (6), and the military units domestici (2), numeri (1) and agmina (1).

These five eastern laws, and the repeated inscription, dated 521, are the following:

126 Cod.Theod.7.4.23(396): Idem AA. Hilario praefecto praetorio. || [.] Ad omnium utique numerorum sive vexillationum aut etiam scholarum tribunos per viros illustres comites sublimitas tua faciat pervenire, ut meminerint faenum militibus isdem capitibus praestandum iuxta legem divi Valentiniani de quinta decima indictione nec tamen ad oppidum deferendum.. $=$ Cod.Iust.12.37.8 Arcadius et Honorius AA. Hilario PP || [.] Ad omnium itaque numerorum sive vexillationum aut etiam scholarum tribunos vel viros illustres comites sublimitas tua faciat pervenire, ut meminerint fenum militibus iustis capitibus praestandum iuxta legem divi valentiniani nec tamen ad oppidum deferendum.
127 Cod.Theod.7.1.17(398): Idem AA. Romuliano praefecto urbi. || [.] Sin vero quisquam <miles> missus a numero vel a tribuno ad comitatum serenitatis nostrae pervenerit, <Cod.Iust.12.35.13 adds: aliter enim eos hoc facere vetamus $>$ ilico se viris illustribus comitibus <Cod.Iust.12.35.13 adds: sub quorum regimine constituti sunt> offerre festinet et causas profectionis exponat, ut et responsum caeleste mereatur et citam remeandi accipiat facultatem. [.].
128 Attachment 3, \#158.
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| Cod.Iust.12.54.4(c.443)E: ${ }^{129}$ addr. | magistro militum praesentali \{Cuiacus\}; magistrorum militum tam praesentalium quam orientalium; |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492)E: ${ }^{130}$ addr. | magistro militum praesent $\{$-alium -Cuiacus $\}$; |
| spr: | de [.] praesentalibus numeris; |
| §1a: | alterius [.] magistri militum praesentalis; |
| §4: | milites praesentales; |
| §6: | milites praesentales; |
| §6a: | milites praesentales; |
| §8: | praesentales milites; |
| Cod.Iust.2.7.25(519)E: ${ }^{131}$ | praesentalibus domesticis; |
| Cod.Iust.1.29.5(528-30)E: ${ }^{132}$ | de praesentalibus [.] agminibus; |
| Cod.Iust.12.17.4(527-34)E: ${ }^{133}$ | praesentalium domesticorum; |
| Inscriptions (521)E: ${ }^{134}$ | $\operatorname{mag}$ (ister) eqq(uitum) et p (editum) praes. \{-entalium?/-entalis?\} |

While the word praesentalis does not exist in Cod.Theod. or Nov. or in any western law or inscription, it is used in copies of two official letters written in c. 526 by the senator Cassiodorus, at the Ostrogothic court in Ravenna, ${ }^{135}$ about the appointment of the Gothic officer Tuluin (Toluin) to a position named patricius

129 Cod.Iust.12.54.4(c.443)E: Idem AA. Apollonio magistro militum praesentali et Anatolio magistro militum per orientem. || Numerarios virorum illustrium magistrorum militum tam praesentalium \{praesentialium $K I$ \} quam orientalium qui ordine stipendiis militiae fuerint decorati, exeuntes tribunis praetorianis partis militaris, [.], sociari praecipimus: ita videlicet, ut post completam militiam ab omnibus indictionibus tam militarium quam civilium iudicum semper habeantur immunes. §1. Principatus vero munere functos tribunis vigilum militaribus volumus adgregari.
130 Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492)E: - see note 236.
§pr. milites, qui de diversis praesentalibus numeris per Orientis partes noscuntur consistere, [.].
§1. ad responsum, qui de officio alterius viri excelsi magistri militum praesentalis [.] destinatur, [.].
§4. voluerint viri spectabiles duces etiam praesentales devotissimos milites adhibere, [.].
§6. milites praesentales ,[.].
§6a. si [.] milites praesentales iussione viri spectabilis ducis incusati ac moniti fuerint, [.]
§8. duces, quibus fortissimi praesentales milites parare praecepti sunt, [.].
131 Cod.Iust.2.7.25(519)E: [.] §3. Licentiam eis praeterea facimus binos homines annis singulis itidem offerendi nostram adoraturos purpuram, statutis inserendos praesentalibus domesticis, unum equitum, alterum scholae peditum, in vacantem eorum locum qui defuncti sunt, [.].
132 Cod.Iust.1.29.5(528-30)E: Imp. Iustinianus A. Zetae viro illustri magistro militum per armeniam et pontum polemoniacum et gentes. || [.] perspeximus oportere etiam partibus Armeniae et Ponto Polemoniaco et gentibus proprium magistrum militum per hanc legem constituere, [.] elegimus certasque provincias, [.] tuae curae cum suis ducibus commisimus, comite Armeniae penitus sublato, certosque subdidimus numeros, non modo quos in praesenti novos constituimus, sed etiam de praesentalibus et orientalibus et aliis agminibus segregatos, non tamen quantitatem eorum agminum minuentes:[.] quia plures eis addidimus [.].
133 Cod.Iust.12.17.4(527-34)E: Imp. Iustinianus A. Vigilantio comiti domesticorum consulari ac patricio. || [.] si quis virorum fortium praesentalium domesticorum in equitum schola secundocerii locum indeptus diem interea supremum clauserit, heredes eius non reliqui tantum temporis, quod eidem gradui superesse monstratur, verum etiam insequentis anni, [.].
134 EDCS-29600317 diptych (Milano), EDCS-66200013 diptych (Paris), and diptych (New York)- see Attachment 3 \#166, \#167, \#168.
135 Following the deposition of the western emperor Romulus by Odovacer in 476, and the assassination of the previous emperor Iulius Nepos (the last western emperor) in Dalmatia in 480 , the eastern emperor Zeno, in 488, sent the Ostrogothic king Theodericus to displace Odovacer, who was killed in 493. Theodericus ruled Italia as king but nominally administered it for the eastern emperor. This form of administration remained under the successors of Theodericus until the reconquest of Italia by the eastern emperor Iustinianus beginning in 535.
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praesentalis, ${ }^{136}$ which Theodor Mommsen believed was the Ostrogothic equivalent of the name MMpraes. ${ }^{137}$
The laws and inscriptions in which the word praesentalis is used do not explain its origin or indicate its meaning. Praesentalis is an adjective that is related to the participle and adjective praesens that was used to denote the equivalent of the English word present - referring to both time (now, at this time) ${ }^{138}$ and to existence of a person or persons in a place (that is, present as opposed to absent). ${ }^{139}$ The noun praesentia (presence) occurs in several laws, mostly without a preposition, but sometimes with sub. The form praesentalis may have been an extended form of praesens, ${ }^{140}$ or a shortened form of praesentialis (the adjective derived from praesentia). ${ }^{141}$

It is generally assumed that praesentalis was used in the above-mentioned laws and inscriptions to identify a particular $\boldsymbol{M}$ - or military units that were present at or near the imperial court. This connection is not explicitly stated or defined in any available official text, or copy of one. ${ }^{142}$ But the use of both praesentalis and per orientem in the laws of c. 443 and 492 to identify and distinguish between two magistri suggests that the former adjective, like the regional designation in the latter phrase, referred to a location, suggesting that the general assumption is probably correct. But if that is accepted, two observations need to be added.

136 Variae, 8.9 (c.526): Tuluin v.i. patricio Athalaricus rex \|§3: Atque ideo te cum favore divino suggestu praesentalis patriciatus evehimus,[.]. and Variae, 8.10 (c.526): Senatui urbis romae Athalaricus rex || [.] §11: [.] hunc itaque virum bellis exercitatum, felicitate clarum, prudentia comprobatum, quod deo auspice dictum sit, ad patriciatus praesentalis culmen eveximus. Mommsen, T.(ed.) , Cassiodori senatoris Variae (Monumenta Germaniae Historica [...] auctorum antiquissimorum tomus XII) (Berlin, 1894), pp. 238 and 241.
A related term is used in reference to Liberius, formerly praefectus praetorio in Galliae, who was awarded a dignity, apparently at court: Variae 11.1 (533) Senatui urbis Romae, <Cassiodorus> senator praefectus praetorio. || §16: [.] Ea quae asserimus iam creverunt. respicite namque patricium Liberium praefectum etiam Galliarum, exercitualem virum, [.]. accepit enim et praesentaneam dignitatem, ne de re publica bene meritus diu absens putaretur ingratus. [.]. Mommsen, 1894, pp.327-330.
137 Mommsen, T., Ostgothische Studien, Neues Archiv der Gesellschaft für ältere deutsche Geschichtskunde 14 1889, pp.506-508.
138 For example, Cod.Theod.6.4.21(372): praesens labor; Cod.Theod.7.13.12(397): praestatione praesenti; Cod.Theod.6.26.3(382): aetate praesenti vel in relicum; Cod.Theod.7.13.20(410): praesenti tempore; Cod.Theod.12.6.5(365): in praesens tempus; Cod.Theod.8.7.21(426): ad praesentem diem; Cod.Theod. 11.20.6(430): in praesentem diem; Cod.Theod.10.10.12(380): ex praesenti die; Cod. Theod.9.16.10(371): gestis praesentibus adque praeteritis; Cod.Theod. 10.20.4(368): ad praesens remedium; Cod.Theod.11.1.22(386): in praesens etiam in posterum; Cod.Theod.2.23.1(423): praesens forma; Cod.Theod.11.20.5(424): statutis praesentibus; Cod.Theod.3.10.1(409): praesentis legis (elsewhere also with edicti, sanctionis, constitutionis, iussionis, indictionis).
139 For example: Cod.Theod.2.27.1(421): inter absentes / inter praesentes; Cod.Theod.1.9.3(405): praesentes absentibus praeferantur; Cod. Iust.8.53.30(459): sive absens sive praesens rector provinciae sit; Cod.Theod.1.16.1(315): in iudicio praesentibus partibus atque personis; Cod.Theod.4.4.3(396?): ut et praesentes videant subscriptores; Cod.Theod.4.17.5(386): condicio partibus praesentibus habita non potest immutari; Cod.Theod.9.1.13(376): sociabitur et de praesentibus; Cod.Theod.7.12.1(323): praesentes in castris atque aput signa milites esse debeant; Cod.Theod.7.16.3(420): praesente protectore seu duciano; Cod.Theod.10.8.5(435): praesente fisci patrono.
140 Perhaps formed similarly to such related words as: cohors $>$ cohortalis, oriens $>$ orientalis, sacerdos $>$ sacerdotalis.
141 Praesentia+lis, which exists in two of the primary copies used for the edition of Cod.Iust.12.54.4(c.443) - see note 129.

142 Although the name magister militum praesentalium may have been represented by the term magister militum praetorianorum in the description from 519-see note 122.
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First, when praesentalis is used, as it mostly is, to identify particular military units, it does not identify units that were permanently present with the imperial court. This is apparent from the law of 492 which prescribes a continuing arrangement concerning the immediate jurisdiction by provincial duces over milites praesentales who were distributed throughout the east (milites qui de diversis praesentalibus numeris per orientis partes noscuntur consistere, virorum spectabilium ducum iussionibus oboedire) and were under their direct command (duces quibus fortissimi praesentales milites parare praecepti sunt). ${ }^{143}$ Similarly, the law dated c.528-30 that created the position MMper armeniam allocated to his agency several categories of military units that included some that were detached from the numeri praesentales (numeros de praesentalibus segregatos). ${ }^{144}$.
Second, in the laws and inscriptions, praesentalis is used only in relation to a particular service position $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ or specific military units. It is not used to refer to any service position other than $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$, or to any civil units, or any other person or entity in the vicinity of either the emperor or the court.

The presence of the emperor was identified by reference to his comitatus (companions) which was defined as comprising those by the side of the emperor. ${ }^{145}$ Like the emperor, his comitatus was described as being sacred, ${ }^{146}$ and it was situated in a particular place. ${ }^{147}$ Any delegation, person or object, sent to the emperor was referred to as being sent to the comitatus. ${ }^{148}$ The praefectus praetorio <orientis> who was present at court was not described as being praesentalis, but as being in nostro comitatu. ${ }^{149}$ Any soldier who was present, and seconded for duties, with the emperor (qui praesentes divino obsequio nostrae clementiae deputati sunt) while the court was present in the city (praesente comitatu) was to be made known to the emperor immediately, while any soldier who was sent to the court (missus [.] ad comitatum serenitatis nostrae), from any numerus, or by any tribunus, was to report to the viri inlustres comites (that is, the $\boldsymbol{M}$ - who were present with the court). ${ }^{150}$ Among honorary officers, those who were present in court (praesentes in comitatu) to receive their cingulum (service belt) or codicilli (document of appointment or award) from the emperor ( a nostro numine) had a higher precedence than those who were absent (absentibus) and to whom those items were sent. ${ }^{151}$

143 Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492) - see note 236.
144 Cod.Iust.1.29.5(528-30) - see note 132.
145 Cod.Iust.12.18.1(416), longer version in Cod.Theod.6.25.1: praepositi labarum [.] quos nostri lateris comitatus illustrat.
146 Cod.Theod.11.20.47(386): ad comitatum sacrum; Cod.Theod.6.23.4(437): ad sacratissimum comitatum.
147 Cod.Theod.9.40.20(408): Si quis ex proscriptorum numero comitatum nostrae serenitatis sive moenia aeternae urbis intraverit, deportatione plectetur. Cod.Iust.9.40.20(455): §7. Si qui vero in hac alma urbe nati sunt <haeretici>, tam sacratissimo comitatu quam omni per provincias metropolitana civitate pellantur.

148 Cod.Theod.12.12.10(385): ad sacrum mansuetudinis nostrae comitatum legationes; Cod.Theod. 8.5.48(386): aurum sacrarum largitionum vel argentum ad comitatum nostrum destinatur; Cod.Theod.15.11.2(417): bestias quae ad comitatum ab omnibus limitum ducibus transmittuntur;
149 Cod.Iust.7.62.32(440): virum illustrem praefectum praetorio, qui in nostro est comitatu.
150 Cod.Theod.7.1.17(398)E: Idem aa. Romuliano praefecto Urbi. || pr. Si qui \{Cod.Iust. $12.35 .13=$ Nemo\} miles ex his, qui praesentes divino obsequio nostrae clementiae deputati sunt et qui in hac esse urbe praesente comitatu concessi sunt quive de aliis numeris vel legionibus sunt, repertus fuerit [.], nobis ilico nuntietur, [.]. §1. Sin vero quisquam missus a numero vel a tribuno ad comitatum serenitatis nostrae pervenerit, ilico se viris illustribus comitibus \{Cod.Iust.12.35.13 adds $=$ sub quorum regimine constituti sunt\} offerre festinet et causas profectionis exponat, ut et responsum caeleste mereatur et citam remeandi accipiat facultatem.
151 Cod.Iust.12.8.2(441-2): Omnes privilegia dignitatum hoc ordine servanda cognoscant, ut primo loco habeantur ii, qui in actu positi illustres peregerint administrationes: secundo venient vacantes, qui praesentes in comitatu illustris dignitatis cingulum meruerint: tertium ordinem eorum prospicimus, quibus absentibus cingulum illustris mittitur dignitatis: quartum honorariorum, qui praesentes a nostro numine sine cingulo codicillos tantum honorariae dignitatis adepti sunt: quintum eorum, quibus absentibus similiter sine cingulo mittuntur illustris insignia dignitatis.
[4h2] in praesenti
It is generally believed that the adjective praesentalis, and its presumed meaning (present at or near the emperor or the imperial court), were represented by the phrase in praesenti. The available evidence does not certainly support this belief.

As previously mentioned, the adjective praesens in the laws was used to denote the equivalent of the English word present (referring both to time, and to existence of a person or persons in a place - denoting the opposite of absent). The phrase in praesenti was used with the same two meanings, But the adjective and phrase tended to be used more with one meaning than the other. The phrase in praesenti was used mostly to refer to time (at present, ${ }^{152}$ now, ${ }^{153}$ for the present, ${ }^{154}$ present age,,${ }^{155}$ in the present case / matter / instance / letter / compilation / Code, ${ }^{156}$ present measure - of tax, ${ }^{157}$ and similar), while praesens was used more often to denote the existence of a person or persons in a place. ${ }^{158}$
The twofold meaning shared by praesens and in praesenti - although with the different emphasis just noted - does not extend to the adjective praesentalis. The latter does not refer to time, nor to the existence of just any person near the emperor or at the court. In the laws and inscriptions that use it, praesentalis refers only to officers serving as $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ near the emperor or at the court, or to specific military units that were either stationed in that central location, or were detached from those central units to operate in some regions. And the phrase in praesenti is not similarly attested.

An example of the use of both in praesenti and praesentalis, in the same law, has already been noted in the law, dated 528-30, that created the position MMper armeniam and allocated to this new agency some military units comprising "not only those new ones we have enrolled at present (in praesenti), but also those detached from the court units, and from eastern and other troops" (numeros de praesentalibus et orientalibus et aliis agminibus segregatos). ${ }^{159}$
There are five eastern laws that not only contain the phrase in praesenti, but also concern officers in the imperial service whose functions were performed at the court or in the palace. But in four of these laws in praesenti refers to time, not a location - a reference to the latter would have been superfluous given the known location of the agencies or departments or units that are named.
a The first law (dated 497-9) concerns privileges granted to the silentiarii (palace ushers and imperial attendants). These privileges were granted not only to those "serving at present" (in praesenti militantium), both during their service (militia) and in their retirement, but also to those recruited later. ${ }^{160}$

152 Cod.Theod.15.7.1(367/71); Cod.Theod.12.6.30(408); Cod.Theod.16.2.43(418); Cod.Theod.16.8.24(418); Cod. Theod.11.20.5(424); Cod.Theod.11.20.6(430)§4; Nov.Theod.5.3(441); Nov.Theod.24(443)§4; Nov.Mar.3(451)§2; Cod.Iust.2.7.15(472); Cod.Iust.2.7.16(474); Cod.Iust.2.7.17(474); Cod.Iust.2.7.20(497)§1; Cod.Iust.4.29.21(517); Cod.Iust.5.27.6(517); Cod.Iust.12.19.13(522-6)§1; Cod.Iust.3.28.32(529); Cod.Iust.1.14.12(529)§3; Cod.Iust. 7.6.1(531)§1a; Cod.Iust.7.17.2(531)§pr, §2; Cod.Iust.1.1.8(533)§10.

153 Cod.Iust.5.13.1(530)§pr, §2a, §13a; Cod.Iust.2.55.5(530)§3; Cod.Iust.8.17.12(531)§1; Cod.Iust.8.37.14(531); Cod.Iust.1.17.2(533)§21, Cod.Iust.1.3.54(533?)§1, §9.
154 Cod.Theod.11.30.11(321).
155 Cod.Iust.1.17.2(533)§11.
156 Cod.Theod.4.11.2(349); Nov.Val.8.1(440)§3; Cod.Iust.6.61.5(473)§1; Cod.Iust.2.55.4(529)§2, §34; Cod.Iust. 6.43.1(529)§2; Cod.Iust.6.27.4(530); Cod.Iust.7.15.1(530)§3; Cod.Iust.3.38.12(530); Cod.Iust.5.37.26(531); Cod. Iust.6.50.18(531)§1; Cod.Iust.7.6.1(531)§8; Cod.Iust.7.17.2(531)§3; Cod.Iust.8.17.12(531)§7; Cod.Iust.1.17.2 (533)§17; Cod.Iust.1.1.7(534)§10; Iust.const.cordi nobis(534)§5.

157 Cod.Theod.11.20.6(430)§2.
158 For example, Cod.Theod.15.7.1(367/71): iudices si in praesenti sunt; Cod.Theod.7.4.28(406): in praesenti postulare.
159 Cod.Iust.1.29.5(528-30) - see note 132.
160 Cod.Iust.12.16.5(497-9)§4: Omnibus videlicet antelatis privilegiis in persona non tantum in praesenti militantium, sed etiam postea eidem consortio inserendorum tam tempore militiae quam post eam depositam observandis.
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b The next three laws, dated 527, are part of a series ordering that the number of adiutores (assistants) seconded from the imperial scrinia (bureaus) to perform duties under the quaestor sacri palatii (chief legal officer at court) be reduced to the previously prescribed statutory number of 26.

- the first law refers to the present abundance of assistants beyond the statutory number (qui praeter praedictam dispositionem in praesenti exuberant); ${ }^{161}$
- the second law prohibits the addition of any new officers to those there at present (ne alius adiutor [.] his qui in praesenti sunt [..] addatur); ${ }^{162}$ and
- -the third law repeats the prohibition using those same words. ${ }^{163}$
c In the fifth law, in praesenti refers to presence (in a place). This law, dated 362, relates to domestici (household guards) and prescribes that rations are to be provided to the 50 officers who are ordered to be present (in praesenti) in whatever schola (either equitum or peditum) and that the others, who would like to be present (in praesenti) are to receive none and are to be sent home. ${ }^{164}$
Like the silentiarii and adiutores in the preceding four laws, the domestici were also serving at court, so that if in praesenti was meant to identify that location, it was superfluous as there was no alternative. The law simply distinguishes between those who are ordered to be present (in praesenti) from those who would like to be.
When, more than a century later, some domestici were seconded for duties away from the court as deputati from the scholae, ${ }^{165}$ requiring a distinction to be made between the latter and the domestici at court (not, as in the law of 362, between the statutory number to receive rations and those not to be employed), the domestici at court were referred to, in two eastern laws, as domestici praesentales. ${ }^{166}$

There is, finally, one other law in Cod.Iust. that has been regarded as providing evidence attesting the association of the name magister militum with the phrase in praesenti. ${ }^{167}$
This eastern law, Cod.Iust.12.59.10(472?), prescribes that all probatoriae, which authorise enlistment within a number of agencies and departments, must be original documents (not copies) that are issued by the

161 Cod.Iust.12.19.13(c.527)§1: Sancimus itaque reduci ac renovari statutum ordinis terminum, non ut eximantur adiutoribus ii, qui praeter praedictam dispositionem in praesenti exuberant, [.].
162 Cod.Iust.12.19.14(c.527)§2: Ad haec illud observandum sancimus, ne alius adiutor propter quamситque ambitionem his, qui in praesenti sunt, vel post eorum deminutionem addatur, licet in veterem numerum redacti fuerint.
163 Cod.Iust.12.19.15(c.527)§3: Sed in praesenti [.] comperimus [.etc.]. §5: Illo videlicet observando, ne alius adiutor per quamcumque ambitionem his qui in praesenti sunt vel post eorum deminutionem, licet in veterem numerum redacti fuerint, addatur: [.].

164 Cod.Theod.6.24.1(362)E: Imp. Iulianus A. Secundo praefecto praetorio. || Scias senum capitum domesticis per singulas quasque scholas, quinquagenis iussis in praesenti esse, iuxta morem debere praestari, ceteris, qui ultra numerum in praesenti esse voluerint, neque annonarias neque capitum esse mandandum, sed omnes cogendos ad plurimos suos ac terras redire.
165 Several laws refer to deputati as, for example, Cod.Theod.6.24.5(392/3) - deputati among the domestici and Cod.Iust.12.37.17(491-518) - deputati among milites in general.
166 Cod.Iust.2.7.25(519) and Cod.Iust.12.17.4(527-34) - see above notes 128 and 130.
167 Cod.Iust.12.59.10(c.472)E: Idem A. Erythrio praefecto praetorio || Hac sanctione decernimus, ut in posterum nemini licentia sit edendi exemplaria his, qui sociandi sunt cuicumque militiae, quam sine divinis probatoriis adipisci non possunt,[.]. §1. Quamvis autem manifestum sit de huiusmodi probatoriarum observatione excepta esse certorum iudicum officia, tamen ne ullius ignorantiae relinquatur occasio, omnium officiorum, quibus necesse est per sacras probatorias militiae sociari, notitiam in sacris apicibus subdendam esse censuimus. [.]. §3. Et est notitia. Scrinii memoriae probatoriae agentium in rebus, [.] §4. Item scrinii sacrarum epistularum sic: in officiis virorum illustrium praefectorum praetorio orientis et illyrici et urbis, [.] §5. Item scrinii sacrorum libellorum: officii virorum magistrorum militum utriusque prae[?], orientis et illyrici, [.], officii virorum spectabilium ducum Palaestinae, Mesopotamiae, novi limitis Phoenices, Osrhoenae, Syriae et Augustae Euphratensis, Arabiae et Thebaidis, Libyae, Pentapoleos, utriusque Armeniae, utriusque Ponti, Scythiae, Mysiae primae, secundae, Daciae, Pannoniae, officii virorum spectabilium comitum Aegypti, Pamphyliae, Isauriae, Lycaoniae et Pisidiae.
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imperial scrinia (bureaus). To identify the agencies and departments concerned, the law includes a notitia (list) of them in which their names are arranged according to which scrinium prepares the probatoriae for each one. This notitia indicates that the scrinium sacrorum libellorum (bureau of sacred petitions) produces the probatoriae for enlistments in the agencies directed by magistri militum and by duces and comites. And this list begins with the words: Item scrinii sacrorum libellorum <probatoriae> officii virorum magistrorum militum utriusque prae[?] orientis et illyrici. The symbol [?] does not occur in Cod.Iust., but is used here to indicate the point at which the transmitted text becomes uncertain owing to the existence of alternatives in the primary copies from which the text of Cod.Iust. is constructed.
Paul Krueger, in his first edition of Cod.Iust., printed: "officii virorum illustrium magistrorum militum utriusque militiae in praesenti, Orientis et Illyrici," (the italics were removed from later reprints) and, in the accompanying notes cited the manuscript alternatives as: \{prefecti KXIb, praefectis OIa praesentis dett=deteriores\} and identified Cui(acius) as the source of the interpolated additions "illustrium" and "militiae in praesenti" (although no part of praesenti was printed in italics by Krueger). ${ }^{168}$
The phrase magistrorum militum utriusque militiae in praesenti is unattested; and the combination of militum and utriusque militiae within a single title is absurd. It is probable that Cujas derived in praesenti from the copy of the Cnd that he used in various sections of his commentary.
Gregor Haloander, in his edition of Cod.Iust., printed: officij uirorum magistrorum militum utriusq(ue) praefecturae Orientis \& Illyrici, ${ }^{169}$ which is consistent with the primary alternatives used by Krueger. And although the phrase utriusque praefecturae orientis et illyricum is not attested else in Cod.Iust., the phrase utriusque praefecturae is used in another law. ${ }^{170}$
Various speculative readings can be proposed for the word that existed between utriusque and orientis in the phrase magistrorum militum utriusque prae[?] orientis et illyrici but this law, Cod.Iust.12.59.10, provides no evidence for the existence of a service position name $\boldsymbol{M}$ - that incorporates the phrase in praesenti.
To conclude, it has been observed that in the laws and inscriptions:
a the adjective praesentalis:
i does not exist in Cod.Theod. or Nov., or in any western law, or in any western inscription;
ii exists 12 times in 5 eastern laws (dated c.443, 492, 519, 527-534, 527-534) in which the references are to magister militum praesentalis (2), magister militum praesentalium (2), milites praesentales (4), domestici praesentales (2), numeri praesentales (1), agmina praesentalia (1);
iii is used to qualify the name magister 2 times and the name milites and the names of military units (numeri, agmina, domestici) 10 times;
iv exists in 1 inscription (dated 521) that is repeated on 3 diptychs and refers to magister equitum et peditum praes. in which the meaning of the abbreviation is uncertain.
b the phrase in praesenti:
i does not exist in conjunction with any name for the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$-;
ii is not certainly used to denote presence near the emperor or present at or near the imperial court.

## §[5] The names magister equitum (ME) and magister peditum (MP)

There are significant problems in relation to the names $\boldsymbol{M E}$ and $\boldsymbol{M P}$. Simply stated, the laws and inscriptions do not contain the name $\boldsymbol{M P}$, while the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ exists in only 7 laws (but no inscriptions) in which the use of the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ as both the official and complete name of a service position is uncertain.

[^7]In the laws and inscriptions, the three names MEP MVM MM are collectively mentioned 194 times ( $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ 33, $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M} 49, \boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M} 112$ ). In addition, there are 27 references to $\boldsymbol{M a P}$ (in laws but not inscriptions) And there are 3 descriptive references to the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - (only in laws) that do not incorporate the words magister, magisterium or magisteria potestas. The name $\boldsymbol{M E}$, however, occurs in only 7 laws (but in no inscription during 344-534), while MP exists in an administrative text written on papyrus in 344, but in no law and in no inscription.
These observations may be tabulated as follows, which includes a summary of the dates when, or the period during which, the names were mostly used.

| Name | Laws | Dates | Inscriptions | Dates | Papyri. | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MP |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { East }=1 \\ & \text { West }=0 \end{aligned}$ | 344 |
| ME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { East }=2 \\ & \text { West }=5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 347,386 \\ & 365-\mathrm{c} 372,423 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| MEP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { East }=15 \\ & \text { West }=8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c349-c500 } \\ & 364-409 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { East }= & 5 \\ \text { West }= & 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 371, c 470,521 \\ \mathrm{c} 363-\mathrm{c} 399,4^{\mathrm{c}}, 6^{\mathrm{c}} ? \end{array}$ |  |  |


| MVM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { East }=18 \\ & \text { West }=8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 383-438 \\ & c 385-460 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lr} \hline \text { East }= & 1 \\ \text { West }= & 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{c} 480 \\ & 370-\mathrm{c} 480 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { East }=82 \\ & \text { West }=19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{c} 350-534 \\ & 365-440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { East }= & 9 \\ \text { West }= & 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 506,525, \mathrm{c} 535 \\ & 434, \mathrm{c} 439 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| MaP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { East }=25 \\ & \text { West }=2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 412-534 \\ & 398,426 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { East }=1 \\ & \text { West }=2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 398 \\ & 382,396 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | East $=143$ |  | East $=15$ |  | East $=1$ |  |  |
|  | West = 44 |  | West = 29 |  | West $=0$ |  |  |

These observations raise two issues in relation to the names $\boldsymbol{M E}$ and $\boldsymbol{M P}$ : namely,
a whether there was a service position officially named either $\boldsymbol{M E}$ or $\boldsymbol{M P}$; and
b whether there was any service position to which there was allocated jurisdiction, or a command, over only cavalry or only infantry soldiers or units in a manner in which the appointee to that position could be referred to officially as being either a $\boldsymbol{M E}$ or $\boldsymbol{M P}$.

## §[5a] Magister peditum

The only available official text, or copy of one that is both independent of the Cnd and that mentions the name $\boldsymbol{M P}$ is an administrative order, written on papyrus and dated $344 .{ }^{171}$ The text on the papyrus was created at the time to which it refers and is an official letter, from Valacius, the dux of Aegyptus, to Abinnaeus, commander of the ala at Dionysias, ${ }^{172}$ and is dated, in its last line, by naming the two contemporary consuls as follows: Fl(auio) Leontio praef(ecto) praet(orio) et Fl(auio) Sallustio mag(istro) ped(itum) uи cc coss (=viris clarissimis consulibus), which dates the letter to 344 and identifies the consul Flavius Sallustius as magister peditum, and the other consul, Leontius, as praefectus praetorio. ${ }^{173}$
Leontius was consul for the entire year 344 in the eastern part of the Roman state, administered by the emperor Constantius 2. In the western part, administered by the emperor Constans, two western laws,

[^8]dated 28 May and 29 June, ${ }^{174}$ and two western inscriptions, dated 28 June and 17 September, ${ }^{175}$ identified the consular colleague of Leontius as Sallustius, as mentioned in the Egyptian papyrus and in an eastern law dated 6 July $344{ }^{176}$. But in four earlier western inscriptions, dated between 21 January and 8 April in that same year, ${ }^{177}$ the consular colleague of Leontius was named Bonosus.
Three years later, an eastern law, dated May 347, was addressed to a Bonosus who is apparently named magister equitum. ${ }^{178}$ If the magister Bonosus to whom this law of 347 was addressed is the same Bonosus as the consular colleague of Leontius in 344, and if the magister Bonosus had already been named magister equitum before 21 January 344, and if he continued as such until May 347, then the Bonosus of 344 could be identified with the Bonosus of 347 . Such a speculative identification would mean that the consular colleague of Leontius in 344 would be equated not only with a Sallustius named magister peditum, but also with a Bonosus named magister equitum.

Such a coincidence (Leontius having as his colleague someone named magister equitum and also someone named magister peditum), and the absence of the name of Bonosus from all available consular fasti (lists of consuls in calendars and almanacs), have together led to the speculation that the names Bonosus and Sallustius may refer to the same person: for example, to a Flavius Sallustius Bonosus (or a similar name) who was referred to as magister peditum in 344 and magister equitum in 347, and that each of these names was an incomplete reference, ${ }^{179}$ either to the name magister equitum et peditum or magister peditum et equitum. ${ }^{180}$
In the available and identified evidence, however, there is no overlap between the dateable references to the consuls Leontius and Bonosus (21 Jan.- 8 Apr) and those to the consuls Leontius and Sallustius (28 May - 17 Sept.), suggesting the probability that the references to Bonosus and Sallustius are to two

174 Cod.Theod.12.1.37(344): Idem aa. ad Placidum praefectum praetorio <Italiae, Illyricum, Africae>. [..etc..] Dat. V kal. iunias Leontio et Sallustio conss. <28 May>; Cod.Theod.8.10.2(344): Imp. Constantius a. Eubulidae viro clarissimo vicario Africae [..etc..] Dat. III kal. iul. Leontio et Sallustio conss. (29 June).

175 EDCS-21100672 (Etruria, Capena): IIII Kal(endas) Iulias Leontio et Sal(l)ustio (28 Jun.); EDCS-33800180 (Roma): XV Kal(endas) Oct(obres) Leontio et Sallusi<=t>io (17 Sept.); and probably EDCS-37400847 (Roma): pac[e Leonti]o et Salu[stio vv cc coss]; and possibly EDCS-22700981 (Etruria, Capena): III Idus Iulias [Leontio et Sallu]s[t]io conss(ulibus) (13 Jul.).
176 Cod.Theod.13.4.3(344): Impp. Constantius et Constans aa. ad Leontium praefectum praetorio <orientis>. [.]. Dat. prid. non. iul. Leontio et Sallustio conss. (6 Jul.)
177 EDCS-22700982 (Etruria, Capena): Leontio et Bonoso ccss (=consulibus) XII Kal(endas) Febr(u)arias (21 Jan.);
EDCS-22700983 (Etruria, Capena): Leontio et Bonoso ccss (=consulibus) VIII Kal(endas) Febr(u)arias (25 Jan.);
EDCS-22700984 (Etruria, Capena): Leontio et Bono[so conss.] Florentinus in pace ... Martias ; (-Mar.)
EDCS-04900041 (Samnium, Larino): FFll(avis) Leontio et Bonoso con(sulibus) d(atum) Kal(endis) Aprili(bu)s (1 Apr.);
EDCS-11400562 (Bruttium \& Lucania, Paestum): Flaviis Leontio et Bonoso conss(ulibus) VI Idus Apriles (8 Apr.);
EDCS-10900473 (Etruria, Rignano Flaminio): [3Leonti]o et Bonoso con[ss];
EDCS-40400903 (Roma): Leontio et Bonoso conss(ulibus).
178 Cod.Theod.5.6.1(347): Imp. Constantius A. ad Bonosum magistrum equitum [copies HNOE have militum]: see note 190 .

179 As, for example, in the case of Iovi<n?>us to whom the law Cod.Theod.8.1.10 is addressed, on 25 May 365, as magister equitum, but a few days later, on 31 May, another law, Cod.Theod.7.1.7(365): is addressed to him as magister equitum et peditum.
180 The sequence peditum et equitum is used in both compilations: Cod.Theod. 8.1.5(357)E: Hoc vitium corrigentes nos etiam iussimus utiliter a patre praecepta servari et litteris ad magistros peditum et equitum datis iussioni effectum praecipimus commodari. and Cod.Iust.1.29.1(386/7)W: Viri illustres comites et magistri peditum et equitum in provinciales nullam penitus habeant potestatem, nec amplissima praefectura in militares viros.
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different persons. The evidence is presently insufficient to resolve the issue. ${ }^{181}$ And the three laws and two inscriptions naming Sallustius as a consul do not refer to any service position, nor do the four inscriptions referring to Bonosus. Consequently, the administrative document on papyrus does not provide certain (indisputable) evidence for the existence of a service position whose complete name was magister peditum (MP -).
The following observations can be made:
a In the laws, inscriptions and one papyrus document there are 232 references to a service position named $\boldsymbol{M}$ - during the period $344-534$. All these texts either are themselves, or are derived from, official texts produced in both the eastern and western part of the Roman state, over nearly two centuries.
b The reference to the name $\boldsymbol{M P}$ in the official text on papyrus dated 344 is not certainly attested as the complete name of a service position. ${ }^{182}$
c In the remaining 231 references ( 187 in laws and 44 in inscriptions), the only names for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - that exist in both the laws and the inscriptions are the 194 referring to $\boldsymbol{M E P}(\mathrm{L}=23, \mathrm{I}=10)$, to $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ ( $\mathrm{L}=26, \mathrm{I}=23$ ) and to $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}(\mathrm{L}=101, \mathrm{I}=11)$.
d The laws and inscriptions:
i do not contain the name $\boldsymbol{M P}$ or any descriptive reference to such a name; ${ }^{183}$
ii do not contain any evidence that any service officer, whether in an ordinary or an extraordinary service position named $\boldsymbol{M}$ - was allocated a command over only infantry units in a manner in which the command could be referred to as being that of a magister peditum (MP). ${ }^{184}$
On the basis of these observations it is concluded that, with the exception of the reference to Sallustius as $\boldsymbol{M P}$ in 344 , there is no available evidence that the name $\boldsymbol{M P}$ was officially used to refer to a service position during the period 344-534.

This conclusion is not an argument "from silence". ${ }^{185}$ Given the number of available official texts, or copies of them independent of the Cnd, that are represented in laws and inscriptions produced during almost two centuries, in both parts of the Roman state, the absence of a name $\boldsymbol{M P}$ cannot be explained as resulting from a lack of evidence, or any restriction of that evidence either in time or in place.

181 Speculation on a possible explanation is proposed by Salway, B., Roman consuls, imperial politics and Egyptian papyri: the consulates of 325 and 344 CE: Journal of Late Antiquity 12008 pp.278310.

183 Among the 231 names, there are only 3 (all in laws) that do not include either the word magister or magisterium: namely, Cod.Theod.6.6.1(382) which, under a titulus including de [.] magistris militum refers to the culmen militare; and both Cod.Theod.7.4.23(396) and Cod.Theod.7.1.17(398) referring to viri illustres comites. See Attachment 1.
184 And those texts contain no evidence that there was any service position whose name included any form of the name pedites in the command structure previously exemplified, which includes comes or dux militum but never comes or dux peditum - see $\S[3 \mathrm{a}]$.

185 McGrew, T., The argument from silence: Acta analytica: International periodical for philosophy in the analytical tradition 292014 pp.215-228: (Abstract: p.215): The argument from silence is a pattern of reasoning in which the failure of a known source to mention a particular fact or event is used as the ground of an inference, usually to the conclusion that the supposed fact is untrue or the supposed event did not actually happen. Such arguments are widely used in historical work, but they are also widely contested. [.]
(p.218: Examples): The following examples illustrate the argument from silence at work in the study of history. [.] (3) In his Germania, Tacitus sets himself the task of enumerating the peoples of Germany. Therefore, the absence of a certain Germanic people from the Germania proves (or at least makes it very probable) that it did not exist at the end of the first century $A D$. (4) The Notitia Dignitatum mentions all the provinces of the Empire; therefore, the absence from these lists of a people or a province proves (or at least makes it very probable) that it did not then exist.
[...] In evaluating (3), a non-specialist might well hesitate, asking how complete our text of the Germania is and wondering about the sources at Tacitus' disposal; something similar goes for (4).
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Nor can it be attributed to any standardisation of position names, either in the compilation of the two Codices or during the transmission of their contents into the copies from which Cod.Theod., or Cod.Iust. were constructed because the latter retain the earlier names $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ and $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ (which are also attested in contemporary inscriptions). In fact, while none of those official texts contained any reference to the name $\boldsymbol{M P}$, Cod.Theod. contains the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ in 7 laws (one of which is represented in Cod.Iust.).
Even more significant than the absence of the name $\boldsymbol{M P}$ from all laws and inscriptions in general, is the fact that 14 of those laws, dated between 372 and $465-84$, regulated aspects of the ranks of all nine service positions to which the illustris grade of dignity was attached, and also of the precedence, privileges and benefits that those dignities conferred. ${ }^{186}$ It is not posssible for MP to have been the official name of a service position and also to be absent from every one of those laws.

## §[5b] Magister equitum

The name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ occurs in official texts that are independent of the Cnd in three different contexts.
First, the name magister equitum ( $\boldsymbol{M E}$ ) was used from the earliest years of the Roman Republic. During the earlier centuries of the Republic, the most senior magistracy was that of consul to which two citizens were elected annually, with equal executive authority (imperium) and power (potestas), and one of their duties was to lead the army in campaigns. When these two equal magistrates were unable to resolve a critical issue, the senatus decreed that one of them was to select a person to be appointed to the extraordinary position of dictator. This magistrate was appointed for a maximum period of six months; exercised supreme authority and power; and, on his appointment, co-opted a person to the extraordinary position ME as his deputy. Despite that name, this deputy was not just the commander of cavalry and the position was not defined as such. There was no $\boldsymbol{M E}$ when there was no dictator; and there was no $\boldsymbol{M E}$ in the armies of the consules. A later jurist likened the relationship between dictator and $\boldsymbol{M E}$ to that between the emperor and a praefectus praetorio (referring to the time when, before the creation of the position $M$-, such a praefectus was the director of the entire military service under the supreme command of the emperor). ${ }^{187}$
Second, an inscription dated c.300, suggests that the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ could be have been used to refer to a cavalry commander within a legio. The epitaph commemorates Iulius, a supernumerary centurio in the legio XI Claudia who, acquiring equestrian skills, advanced to a position named ME. ${ }^{188}$ No later inscription with the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ is apparently available. Three papyri produced in 348 contain a Greek title that could be represented by the Latin name ME. ${ }^{189}$

Third, the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ exists in 7 laws. In 6 of these, the law is addressed to a named officer and the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ is contained in the address of the law. These laws, arranged in chronological order, from earliest to latest, are the following:

186 See note 42.
187 Iustinianus: Digesta (seu Pandectae) (533): 1.11.1: (Charisius, magister libellorum, Libro singulari de officio praefecti praetorio): [.] apud veteres dictatoribus ad tempus summa potestas crederetur et magistros equitum sibi eligerent, qui adsociati participales curae ad militiae gratia secundam post eos potestatem gererent: regimentis rei publicae ad imperatores perpetuos translatis ad similitudinem magistrorum equitum praefecti praetorio a principibus electi sunt. [.] (Mommsen, T. (ed., revised Kruger, P.), Iustiniani Digesta, Berlin, Weidmann, 1908).
188 Inscription: EDCS-01601102, from Aquilea (dated c.291-320): Iulius centurio supernumerarius leg(ionis) XI Claudiae stip(endiorum) XXIIII annor(um) circiter XXXX / tiro probitus ann(orum) XVI postea profecit disce(n)s equitum ordine factus mag(ister) equitum positus hic

189 The consuls in 348 were Flavius Philippus, eastern praefectus praetorio, and Flavius Salia. These names were recorded in the dating of three papyrus documents produced in Egypt: B(erliner) G(riechische) U(rkunden) 3.917 (1.Jan.-25.Apr.348); BGU 2.405 (6.Mar.348), BGU 2.456 (1.Apr.348) (copies at: http://papyri. info) in which Salia is named magistros ton hippeon which is considered to be a Greek representation of the Latin name magister equitum.
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1 Cod.Theod.5.6.1(347) $\mathrm{E}=$ Cod.Iust.6.62.2
The law is addressed, in both copies, to Bonosus as $\boldsymbol{M E}$, but there are alternatives in the copies from which both Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust. are constructed. ${ }^{190}$ The law concerns the property of intestate soldiers in vexillationes (one of the names for comitatenses cavalry) and it commands Bonosus to make its regulation known universis tam legionibus quam vexillationibus comitatensibus seu cuneis in which the name legiones refers to infantry (see Attachment 6).
2 Cod.Theod.8.1.10(365 Mai.25)W
The law is addressed Iovio magistro equitum, but another law (Cod.Theod.7.1.7, 365 Mai.31), sent to the same officer in the same year and month, is addressed Iovio magistro equitum et peditum. Law 8.1.10 concerns the allowance due to actuarii in numeri palatini, comitatenses and pseudocomitatenses, while 7.1 .7 refers to plures de diversis numeris. The word numerus (military unit) is sometimes used to denote comitatenses in general, or specifically to comitatenses infantry as in Cod.Theod.7.4.23(396), which mentions numeri sive vexillationes aut scholae (see Attachment 6).
3 Cod.Theod.7.1.9(367 Ian.29)W
The law is addressed ad Iovinum magistrum equitum and instructs him to command both the comites and duces, and those guarding the Rhine (tam duces quam etiam comites et quibus rheni est mandata custodia), not to provide pack horses to royal envoys or delegates. But another law, dated two weeks later (Cod.Theod.7.1.10, 367 Febr.14) and addressed ad Iovinum magistrum militum commands that young men, fit for enlistment but present as the lixae (camp-followers) of milites, are to be surrendered to the tribuni or praepositi. Another law, (Cod.Theod.7.20.11, Ian.29, but the year is uncertain) is also addressed ad Iovinum magistrum militum and confirms the right of veterans to freely occupy and cultivate any abandoned properties. In the copy of an inscription, Iovinus is identified as magister equitum peditumque (Attachment 3 \#135).
=> It is generally assumed that the laws in 2 and in 3 refer to the same person.
4 Cod.Theod.3.14.1(Mai.28 the year is uncertain)W
The law is addressed ad Theudorum (Thedorum) magistrum equitum and prohibits intermarriages between barbarians and provincials. And another law, also on the subject of barbarians (Cod.Iust.4.41.1, date unknown) is addressed ad Theodotum (Theodoto) magistrum militum forbids the export of wine and listed foods to barbarians. => It is generally assumed that both these laws were addressed to Theodosius.
5 Cod.Theod.4.17.5(386)E
The law is addressed Timasio comiti et magistro equitum and states that any agreement that is announced to those persons who are present, when it is announced, cannot be changed. Timasius is named in an inscription and a papyrus that do not name his service position. ${ }^{191}$
6 Cod.Theod.2.23.1(423)W
The law is addressed Crispino comiti et magistro equitum and states that any miles in the armata militia who constructs any building on, or encloses, previously unoccupied and vacant public land now has protected legal title to the land.
7 Cod.Theod.6.22.4(372)W
The law is addressed Ampelio praefecto urbi and states that a person who is awarded the honorary dignity magisterium equitum has a position of precedence after that of proconsul (see below).
The evidence that laws 1-6 provide in relation to the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ is difficult to evaluate because:
a the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ occurs only in the address to the law, not its other contents and, as previously noted, a name in an address is potentially less likely to be accurate than a name in the contents of a law;
b none of these 6 laws in Cod.Theod. appears to be an excerpt of a larger law of which another excerpt exists in the same compilation so that addresses cannot be compared; and
c only one of these laws derived from the Codex Theodosianus is represented by a copy in both Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust., again limiting the availability of possible comparative evidence.

190 Cod.Theod.5.6.1(347): Imp. Constantius A. ad Bonosum magistrum equitum [militum in copies HNOE]. Universis tam legionibus quam vexillationibus comitatensibus seu cuneis insinuare debebis, uti cognoscant, quum aliquis fuerit rebus humanis exemptus atque intestatus sine legitimo herede decesserit, ad vexillationem, in qua militaverit, res eiusdem necessario pervenire.Dat. v. id. mai. Hierapoli, Rufino et Eusebio coss. $<11$ May $>$.
The same law is repeated in Cod.Iust.6.62.2, similarly addressed Bonoso mag. equitum [militi et equitum in the Summa Perusina]. The Summa Perusina is a manuscript (Perugia, Biblioteca capitolare di San Lorenzo, ms.32) that contains annotations to, and summaries of, laws in an independent or primary copy of Books 1-8 of the Codex Iustinianus.

$$
191
$$

PLRE = Jones, A.H.M., Martindale, J.R. \& Morris, J.(eds.) Prosopography of the later Roman empire, vol. 1 A.D.260-393 (Cambridge, U.P., 1971) 1 pp. 914-915: Flavius Timasius.
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And there are problems with individual laws.
a In Law 1, the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ exists among manuscript alternatives that contain elements of attested official names in both Cod.Theod. and Cod Iust. And while this law is addressed to Bonosus as ME, and refers to the property of soldiers in cavalry units, he is instructed to make the law known to all the infantry (legiones) and cavalry units (vexillations seu cunei) under his direction. If, therefore, the name ME were an accurate copy of the complete name that existed in the Codex Theodosianus, then ME must have denoted something other than the command of only cavalry units. ${ }^{192}$
b In each of the laws 2-4, the officer who is addressed as $\boldsymbol{M E}$ in one law is addressed in another law with a different title, that is elsewhere attested as official:
\#2 Iovius $\boldsymbol{M E}=>$ Iovius $\boldsymbol{M E P}$;
\#3 Iovinus $\boldsymbol{M E}=>$ Iovinus $\boldsymbol{M}$;
\#4 Theodorus $\boldsymbol{M E}=>$ Theodotus $\boldsymbol{M M}$.
c Each of the laws 5-6 is addressed to an officer as $\boldsymbol{M E}$ but the service position of each officer is not represented in any other available law or inscription and cannot, therefore, be confirmed.

Law 7 (Cod.Theod.6.22.4) differs from laws 1-6 in three respects:
a the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ occurs in the contents of the law and not in its address;
b the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ refers to an honorary dignity; and
c this law is part of a larger law referring to ranks, dignities and precedence.
In $\S[3 b]$ it was noted that a law attributed to Valentinianus 1 (364-375) prescribed a rank order of service positions, the dignities attached to them, and the order of precedence among dignitaries. While that law is not available some features of it may be reflected in his law of 372 of which five excerpts are available, one of which is Law 7 Cod.Theod.6.22.4, mentioned above.

Each of the five excerpts of the law of 372 in Cod.Theod. is arranged under a different titulus in book 6 (whose subject was ranks, dignities and precedence). These tituli are mostly arranged, as expected, according to the ranks (from highest to lowest) of the positions to which each titulus refers. The five excerpts, and the titulus under which each was placed, are as follows:

1 Cod.Theod.6.7.1 de praefectis praetorio sive urbis et magistris militum states that these three positions have an 'equal' (indiscreta) dignity.

2 Cod.Theod.6.9.1 de quaestoribus, magistris officiorum, comite sacrarum largitionum et rerum privatarum states that these four positions have precedence over the position proconsul.

3 Cod.Theod.6.11.1 de magistris scrinorum states that these positions have precedence over the position vicarius.
4 Cod.Theod.6.14.1 de comitibus rei militaris
states that officers who have been awarded the dignity comes ordinis 1 after leading overseas campaigns shall give the place (locum praestent) ${ }^{193}$ to those in the position proconsul. ${ }^{194}$

192 An analogy exists in the law Cod.Iust.12.17.4(527-34), which is addressed to the comes domesticorum <equitum et peditum> but prescribes benefits for the heirs of a secundocerius in the cavalry corps of the household guard at court (schola domesticorum equitum praesentalium) who dies before reaching the rank of primicerius. (A subsequent supplemetary instruction, Cod.Iust.12.17.5, extended the same privilege to the equivalent rank in the infantry corps).
193 locum praestent "shall give the place" means "make available the place"- this is, yield precedence to, or have a position of precedence after. The use of the term locus to refer to rank, dignity or precedence occurs in several laws.
For example: Cod.Theod.6.5.2(384): Valentinianus [.] singulis quibusque dignitatibus certum locum meritumque praescripsit; Cod.Theod.12.1.117(387): <praefectus praetorio> quibus propter loci dignitatem rerum summa commissa est; Cod.Iust.12.8.2(440-1)§pr: primo loco habeantur ii, qui in actu positi illustres peregerint administrationes; Cod.Theod.6.27.10(396): Inter eos, qui consularitatis gesserint dignitatem, et eos, qui meruerint principatum, is gradu potior habeatur, qui prior locum dignitatis acceperit; Cod.Theod.6.8.1(422): suae locum vindicet dignitatis; Cod.Theod.6.22.8(425): modis omnibus sciret se in officiis publicis ei postferendum, qui eundem locum positus in potestate gessisset; Cod.Theod.12.1.187(436): si qui inter illustres etiam viros locum occupaverint non laborioso administrationis actu, sed honorario titulo dignitatis.

5 Cod.Theod.6.22.4 de honorariis codicillis
states that a person who has been awarded an honorary magisterium equitum shall give the place (locum praestent) to those in the position proconsul. ${ }^{195}$
The five excerpts are represented in Cod.Theod. as independent laws.
a The first three laws (Cod.Theod.6.7.1, 6.9.1, 6.11.1) name different service positions, arranged in their rank order, from praefectus praetorio down to the magistri scriniorum (masters of imperial bureaus).
b The fourth law (Cod.Theod.6.14.1) refers to an officer in an un-named position who has been awarded the dignity comes ordinis primi (imperial companion, first division), which identified a person who was either actually, or nominally, a member of the comitatus (court) of the emperor.
c The fifth law (Cod.Theod.6.22.4), refers to the honorarii codicilli (document of the honorary appointment or award) of the magisterium equitum (mastership of cavalry). This formulation refers to the honorary award of the dignity that was attached to a service position named ME but without an appointment to serve in that position. The law refers to a potential award, not to one that was actually made. And there is no corroborating evidence that such an award was ever made.

A person who was awarded such an honorary dignity would have been named ex-magistro equitum (ex$\boldsymbol{M E}$ ), which is the same name that would also have been used for an officer who had retired from serving in a position named $\boldsymbol{M E}$ (where these two different dignities needed to be distinguished, the latter could have used the supplementary designation inter agentes). ${ }^{196}$ As noted previously, a law refers to Eusebius as $\boldsymbol{e x}$ - $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ in c.347. An inscription from 449 mentions an $\boldsymbol{e x}$ - $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ and another one from 525 an $\boldsymbol{e x}$ $\boldsymbol{M M} .{ }^{197}$ But no available law and no inscription mentions an $\boldsymbol{e x} \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{M E}$, whether retired or honorary.
Almost every serving officer, in a position whose dignity had a grade below that of illustris, either sought, or was in a group which was legislatively awarded, the honorary grant of the dignity that was attached to a higher-ranked service position than the one in which the officer actually served. This was because the additional dignity enhanced his position of precedence in retirement and, therefore, bestowed more benefits and exemptions. In the civil service, the main positions, in their rank order, comprised praefectus praetorio, proconsul (provincial governor, level 1), vicarius (vice-prefect of a diocese), consularis (provincial governor, level 2) and praeses (provincial governor, level 3). Already by 383, a vicarius, or consularis, or praeses could be awarded the honorary dignity ex-praefecto praetorio (the order of precedence among such dignitaries in the civil service was minutely regulated). ${ }^{198}$
If the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ in Cod.Theod. 6.22 .4 were an incomplete form of the name $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ mentioned in Cod.Theod.6.7.1, then an honorary award of the dignity attached to the position MEP (illustris grade, division 1) would be similar to an honorary award of the dignity attached to the position praefectus

194 Cod.Theod.6.14.1(372): Imppp. Valentinianus, Valens et Gratianus aaa. Ampelio praefecto Urbi. || Post alia: qui contemplatione meritorum ducto intra provincias transmarinas strenuissime milite primi ordinis comitivam fuerint consecuti, ea reverentia altissimarum dignitatum viris subiungantur, ut his locum praestent, qui proconsulatus insignibus adornantur. Et cetera.
Cod.Theod.6.22.4(372): Imppp. Valentinianus, Valens et Gratianus aaa. Ampelio praefecto Urbi. || Post alia: qui contemplatione meritorum honorarios magisterii equitum adepti sunt codicillos, ea reverentia altissimarum dignitatum viris subiungantur, ut his locum praestent, qui proconsulatus insignibus adornantur. Et cetera.
196 For example, in Cod.Iust.12.16.1 (which is an adaptation of a law of 415 derived from the Codex Theodosianus and represented in Cod.Theod.6.23.1): Decuriones nostri palatii post [.] deposita sacramenta militiae electionem habeant, sive ex magistro officiorum velut agentes dignitatem consequi a nostra maiestate maluerint, sive inter viros illustres comites domesticorum, videlicet inter agentes, taxari, ut tam in adoranda nostra serenitate quam in salutandis administratoribus et reliquis praedicti honoris privilegiis nec non in nostro consistorio his honor omnifariam observetur.
$197 \boldsymbol{e x}$-MEP (see Attachment 2 \#010), ex-MVM (Attachment 3 \#159), and $\boldsymbol{e x}$ - $\boldsymbol{M M}$ (Attachment 3 \#169).
198 Cod.Theod.6.22.7(383) - see Appendix 14: Dignities and dignitaries in the main compilations of Roman imperial laws, Table. 4 Honorary dignities of retired officers. at: https://www.notitiadignitatum.org
praetorio (illustris grade, division 1) that could be made to a proconsul, vicarius, consularis or praeses . But Cod.Theod.6.22.4 does not associate its reference to an honorary $\boldsymbol{M E}$ with the service position $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ mentioned in Cod.Theod.6.7.1; nor does it indicate the categories of officers to whom it could be awarded.
In the military service, the comparable position to vicarius was that of $d u x$. But no law or inscription indicates that a $d u x$ or similar officer was awarded an honorary dignity $\boldsymbol{M}$-. Instead, the position of precedence of a $d u x$ was enhanced by the award of the dignity comes ordini primi, which is the dignity mentioned in law Cod.Theod.6.14.1. This dignity could be added to another dignity and, if so, the combined dignities were usually named in the title of the officer: for example, comes et magister militum. ${ }^{199}$ The addition of the dignity comes ordinis primi to an existing dignity below the illustris grade, advanced the place of the recipient in the order of precedence.

A law of 413 , of which 6 excerpts exist in Cod.Theod., ${ }^{200}$ regulated the order of precedence of these comites ordinis primi among whom there were military officers who had conducted provincial campaigns, and others who had acted as substitutes for $\boldsymbol{M M}$. These officers were associated with the dignity attached to the position of duces who administered provinces, ${ }^{201}$ which is almost exactly the group identified in Cod.Theod.6.14.1 that refers to military commanders who, having conducted overseas campaigns, and having then been awarded the comitiva ordinis primi, were to give precedence to a proconsul as defined in the formula his locum praestent qui proconsulatus insignibus adornantur. The identical formula is repeated in Cod.Theod.6.22.4 in relation to any person who is awarded the honorary $M E$, but there is no further information about the alleged dignity.
In other words, the laws provide no information about how the honorary dignity attached to a position $\boldsymbol{M E}$ would differ from an honorary dignity attached to the service position $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ that is mentioned in a preceding excerpt from the same law of 372 .
The position of precedence of the comitiva ordinis primi (in 6.14 .1 ) is defined with exactly the same formula as that of the honorary $\boldsymbol{M E}$ (in Cod.Theod.6.22.4) which places them both between those of proconsul and vicarius. And, finally, an award of an honorary $\boldsymbol{M E}$ (which would be reflected in a title ex$\boldsymbol{M E}$ ) is not represented in any law or inscription. Considered together, these observations indicate that Cod.Theod.6.22.4 actually provides no certain evidence for the existence of a service position named $\boldsymbol{M E}$.
The surveyed evidence concerning the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ indicates the following:
a The name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ is not represented in any inscription produced during the period 344-534. ${ }^{202}$
b The name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ is mentioned once in each of 7 laws in Cod.Theod: comprising 2 eastern laws (dated 347 and 386) and 5 western laws (dated 365-c. 372 and 423).
c In 5 of those 7 laws, the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ is not certainly attested as the complete official name of a service position:

199 Cod.Theod.7.1.8(364/5). Similarly Cod.Theod.1.1.6(435): comes et quaestor; Cod.Theod.8.5.8(356/7): comes et magister officiorum; Cod.Theod.11.30.56(396) Nebridius proconsul asiae $=$ Cod.Iust.11.50.2(396) Nebridius comes asiae; Cod.Theod.11.36.33(406) Nestorius comes et dux <tripolitanae>.
200 In the law of 413: Cod.Theod.6.13.1, retiring praepositi scholarum, tribuni scholarum, tribuni sacri stabuli, and cura palatii with the comitiva ordini primi are associated with the comes aegypti and comes ponticae; those without the com.ord.pr. are associated with duces proviciarum; + Cod.Theod.6.14.3: those with the com.ord.pr who had conducted provincial military campaigns, and those (without com.ord.pr.?) who acted as substitutes for $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ are associated with duces provinciarum; + Cod.Theod.6.15.1: retiring assessores (advisers, particularly lawyers of illustris grade officers) with the com.ord.pr. are associated with vicarii; + Cod.Theod.6.16.1: palace archiatri (physicians) with the com.ord.pr. are associated with vicarii and duces; + Cod.Theod.6.17.1: provincial governors with the comes.ord.pr. are associated with vicarii; + Cod.Theod.6.20.1: certain minor functionaries with the com.ord.pr. are associated with consulares.
201 Cod.Theod.6.14.3(413), from the same law: eos, qui sub comitivae primi ordinis dignitate peculiariter ad quamlibet provinciam vel provincias defendendas milite credito auctoritate nostri numinis destinantur, et eos, qui vicem illustrium virorum magistrorum militum susceperint peragendam, ducibus, qui praeter Aegyptum et Ponticam in aliis provinciis administraverint, adaequamus.
202 For the apparent use of a comparative Greek term, see note 189.
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## 38

i The earliest law that mentions the name ME (Cod.Theod.5.6.1) is the only one of the 7 laws for which an independent copy from the same law in the Codex Theodosianus is available in Cod.Iust. And in both of these two copies the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ exists among manuscript alternative forms that contain elements of attested official position names;
iii The name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ in each of the 3 laws Cod.Theod.8.1.10; 7.1.9; 3.14.1 differs from an attested official name in another law addressed to the same officer;
iv The name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ in Cod.Theod. 6.22 .4 refers to an honorary dignity $\boldsymbol{M E}$ but the laws and inscriptions provide no evidence that one was ever made (there is no reference to an ex-magistro equitum);
v The name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ in the remaining 2 laws (Cod.Theod.4.17.5; 2.23.1) is associated with named officers about whose positions there is no available comparative evidence in any official text or in a copy of one.
d There is no evidence in any law or inscription that a position named $\boldsymbol{M E}$ existed in the command and delegation structure ( $\boldsymbol{M}-=>d u x \|=>$ tribunus, praepositus $=>$ miles ).
e There is no evidence in any law or inscription that any service officer, whether in an ordinary or an extraordinary service position named $\boldsymbol{M}$ - was allocated a command over only infantry units in a manner in which the command could be referred to as being that of a magister equitum (ME).
Those laws that not only contain the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$, but also refer to military units, do not name only cavalry units so that, if the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ in Cod.Theod. were an accurate copy of the complete name that existed in the Codex Theodosianus, then ME must have denoted something other than the command of only cavalry units.
On the basis of these observations, it is concluded that the available evidence is insufficient to determine whether the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ was an abbreviated reference to the name $\boldsymbol{M E P}$. If not, there is insufficient evidence to explain:
a why the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ was used to refer to a position with command of both cavalry and infantry;
b why the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ exists in the aforementioned 7 laws (although disputed in the law of 347) but not in any inscriptions during the period 344-534;
c why, when the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ exists in 7 laws, the name $\boldsymbol{M P}$ exists in none.

## § Ammianus Marcellinus

The names magister equitum and magister peditum exist, among other names, in the transmitted text derived from the composition, produced by c.390, that is attributed to Ammianus Marcellinus and is represented in several editions which are collectively referred to here as AM. ${ }^{203}$ As a text derived from an unofficial and literary composition, AM is not considered in this appendix. But, given the general use that is made of it in connection with the subject of this appendix, a list of the names for the service position $M$ - in AM has been compiled and presented in Attachment 12, in which those names are compared, where possible, with those in laws and inscriptions. Apart from a few comments in that Attachment, I must leave it to those with greater knowledge of the origin and transmission of the text that is represented in AM to speculate on the reasons for the divergence between its names and those in the laws and inscriptions.

## §[6] The lists and pictures in the Cnd and their numbering

The Cnd consisted of lists interspersed with 89 pictures. These lists and pictures are described as follows.

## [6a] Precedence lists

The Cnd contained two lists, each of which began with the words Notitia dignitatum and contained the names of the service positions of directors of agencies in the imperial service, down to and including the position of provincial governors. The first of these two lists, Cnd. $1 / 2$, named positions in the eastern part of the Roman state, while the second list, Cnd.85/6, named those in the western part (the two parts corresponding to those into which the Roman state was administratively divided, initially in 364 and, with modifications, permanently after 395-7). Both lists contained almost the same categories of service position names. And, since these names were arranged in a sequence that was based generally on the

[^9]order of the ranks of the positions (from highest to lowest), ${ }^{204}$ these two lists are referred to here as precedence lists.
Following each precedence list, almost all the remaining lists in the Cnd were agency lists (described below), each of which contained items relating to an agency directed by an officer serving in the different positions that were named in the precedence list (with the exception of the composite list related to the two comites domesticorum, and the composite list for the several magistri scriniorum, in both the eastern and western lists). ${ }^{205}$

In the Cnd, the agency lists were arranged mostly in the same sequence in which the service positions of the directors of those agencies were named in the precedence lists. But each precedence list was neither a table of contents to the lists that followed it, nor an index to them, ${ }^{206}$ as indicated especially by the observation that each precedence list contained the service position names of some directors whose agency lists did not exist in the Cnd.
The Cnd did not contain any agency list associated with a director whose position was not named in the precedence list. And no agency lists contained any item referring either to the existence of any other agency list or to any of the contents particular to it.
[6b] Agency lists
Almost all the lists in the Cnd were agency lists. Each of these was associated with a different one of the many agencies in the imperial service, ${ }^{207}$ and almost all of these agency lists were divided into:
a a domain list comprising
i an initial item (usually rubricated) that began mostly with the words sub dispositione, followed by the title of, and the grade of dignity attached to, the service position of the director of the agency; and
ii other items referring to the particular combination of matters (service positions, units, entities and sometimes duties) that was unique to each agency and was under the management and jurisdiction of the director; and
b an officium (secretariat) list comprising either:
i an initial item (usually rubricated) that began mostly with the word officium and was followed by a list of service positions and units under the joint responsibility of the director and of the princeps (chief officer) of the officium (the agency secretariat); or
ii a single item (usually rubricated) containing the word officium but comprising a statement identifying a group of officers, who were assigned either from the imperial scrinia (bureaus) or a schola (corps) to assist in the functions of an agency (actually, a department) within the imperial secretariat.
In most of the eastern lists, the secretariat list was followed by a list item, usually comprising the service position name of the agency director and a number stating the annual allowance of evectiones (official travel permits) granted to the agency.
It is not known what relationship existed between the pre-Cnd lists that were represented in the Cnd by the domain lists and the officium lists: that is, it is not known whether the pre-Cnd list that first contained at least all those items of which a copy existed in a Cnd domain list, co-existed with the pre-Cnd list first that contained at least all those items of which a copy existed in the Cnd officium list of the same agency. ${ }^{208}$

204 Generally, the order of rank for the individual positions to which the illustris grade of dignity was attached. The categories of the positions to which lesser grades were attached, among which civil preceded military positions, a geographical order was mostly followed.
205 The Cnd also contained the fragmentary officium list of the dux libyarum (Cnd.54.14-19).
206 In his edition (1839-1853) Eduard Böcking interpolated the title Index to each precedence list and Otto Seeck in his edition (1876) printed the same title in the page headers to each list.
207 Appendix 14: Dignities and dignitaries in the main compilations of Roman imperial laws, §[3] at: https://www.notitiadignitatum.org/
208 This issue arises from the apparently unnecessary repetition, within an agency list, of the name of the service position of the agency director in officium headings: for example, officium viri illustris praefecti praetorio; officium autem suprascriptae magisteriae per orientem potestatis; officium
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## [6c] Pictures

The 89 pictures interspersed among the lists in the Cnd comprised 75 full-page pictures (each being a picture that was unaccompanied by any list, or any list item, on the same page) and 14 part-page pictures (each on the same page as a list - none of which extended onto the next page).

The pictures interspersed among the lists mostly existed as single pictures, but sometimes as a series (that is, between two and six consecutive pictures that were not separated from each other by intervening lists). With the exception of the two pictures Cnd.83-84:
a each picture comprised a series of drawings that were all enclosed within a single rectangular frame;
b each full-page picture occupied the same area as the one that was prepared (generally ruled) to receive the maximum amount of text on pages without pictures, while each part-page picture had the same width as the former but varying lesser heights;
c each picture, or the first picture in any series had, above its upper frame, a picture caption that included the name of the service position mentioned in the first item of the list that immediately followed the picture or series of pictures;
d each picture, or the first picture in any series was divided into two compartments, ${ }^{209}$ sometimes called registers:
i the upper compartment contained the drawing of a rectangular emblem that was either decorated with geometric stripes, or was inscribed with alphabetic letters, or was blank (was undecorated and uninscribed) and, in some pictures, was accompanied by the drawing of a scroll. The emblem was occasionally drawn as placed on a table, beside which, in some pictures, there was also the drawing of a decorated stand. All the drawings in the upper compartment represented entities that were not named in the following list, and no drawing in the upper compartment was identified by a drawing caption within the frame that enclosed all the drawings comprising the picture.
ii the lower compartment of every picture contained drawings representing entities that were named, or entities that were related to those that were named, in the domain list within the immediatelyfollowing agency list.
e No drawing in any picture represented any item that was named in the officium list which immediately followed the domain list within each agency list.

## [6.d] Numbering and reference

By 1426/7, the Cnd occupied 164 pages, comprising eastern lists with 43 interspersed pictures (pages 182), western lists with 44 interspersed pictures (pages 85-164) and, between these compilations, two other pictures (pages 83-84). ${ }^{210}$ The lists contained items and the pictures contained drawings. Some pictures and drawings were associated with captions, while some drawings contained inscriptions.
But the Cnd did not have a title that comprehended its entire compilation of lists and pictures. It did not have any preface, table of contents or index. There was no system of numbering attached to its pictures, drawings, picture captions, drawing captions, drawing inscriptions, lists or list items. And there was no division of its contents into numbered sections such as books, titles, chapters, sections or paragraphs.
But it is known on which of the 164 pages within the Cnd each list and picture existed and, therefore, these page numbers are used to refer to each list and picture. These page numbers are placed immediately after the abbreviation Cnd: so the first picture, which was on page 3, is referred to as Cnd.3.
Almost all the lists in the Cnd occupied a single page, but seven lists did not (those on pages 1-2, 56-7, $85-6,98-99,102-105,110-111$ and 156-8) and it is not known which was the last item on one page and the first on the next, because the primary copies of the Cnd have different numbers of lines per column on their pages. In these seven cases, therefore, the Cnd list is referred to with a composite page number: for example, the first list, which occupied two pages, is referred to as Cnd.1/2.
suprascripti viri illustris magistri officiorum; officium autem viri spectabilis comitis orientis, and similar.
209 Except the two pictures illustrating the agency list of each of the two praefecti praetorio, in which each compartment occupied an entire picture.
See note 7. The 72nd page in the Cnd did not contain either a picture or a list.

To permit more precise reference to the contents that existed in the Cnd, additional numbers have been interpolated after each page number, or composite page number: the picture and drawing captions are identified by a letter after the page number (e.g. the picture caption on page 10 is numbered Cnd.10.a) and list items by a number added to the page number (e.g. the first list item on page 9 is numbered Cnd.9.1). In the seven lists that extended beyond a single page, the items are numbered continuously from the first item to the last, preceded by the composite page number (e.g. the first item in the precedence list on pages 1-2 is numbered Cnd.1/2.1 and the last item Cnd.1/2.127). ${ }^{211}$

## §[7] The Cnd names for the position M- in the Cnd compared with those in the laws and inscriptions

The following two tables list the various names that existed in the Cnd for the service position $M$-.

$\mathbf{p l}=$ Precedence list; $\mathbf{P}=$ Picture caption, $\mathbf{D}=$ Agency domain list, $\mathbf{S}=$ Agency secretariat list, $\mathbf{T}=$ Travel permit item

Table 3b Names for the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the $C n d \quad$ Number of times the name exists in | Cnd | Laws |  | Inscriptions |  | Papyr. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WESTERN LISTS \& Picture captions $=$ Cnd.85-164 | EL | WL | E | W | E | W | E |


$\mathbf{p l}=$ Precedence list; $\mathbf{P}=$ Picture caption, $\mathbf{D}=$ Agency domain list, $\mathbf{S}=$ Agency secretariat list, $\mathbf{I}=$ Items in other agency lists Excluded from the above figures are the three items: Cnd.128.20, 23-24 (see Attachment 11).

211 A concordance to the numbers interpolated in their editions by Eduard Böcking, Notitia dignitatum et administrationum omnium tam ciuilium quam militarium in partibus orientis et occidentis. (Bonn, Marcus, 1839-1853) Vol. 1 (1839), Vol. 2 (1849), Vol. 3 (1850), Vol. 4 (1853). and by Otto Seeck, Notitia Dignitatum accedunt Notitia urbis Constantinopolitanae et Latercula prouinciarum (Berlin, Weidmann, 1876; repr.1962) is available at: https://www.notitiadignitatum.org/
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## §[7a] Unsynchronised and unstandardised names for positions M-

It appears that at least some of the names that existed in the Cnd for service positions were neither synchronised nor standardised. For example, the position named (magister) equitum et peditum per orientem in the precedence list, was magister militum per orientem in the agency domain list, and magisteria per orientem potestas in the agency secretariat list and magistri militum per orientem in the item referring to travel permits, but all these names referred to the same position. Similarly, the position named dux moesiae secundae in the precedence list and agency domain list, was named dux moesiae inferioris in the item related to travel permits. These and other such differences in the Cnd may indicate that, like the laws represented in Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust., the pre-Cnd items or lists represented in the Cnd may not all have been produced at the same time, or may have been incompletely edited later.

## §[7b] The Cnd names for the sevice position $\mathbf{M}$ - in laws and inscriptions

The laws and inscriptions from the period 344-534 refer mostly to the service position $M$ - using one of the four names MEP, MVM, MM, MaP. The first three names are the only ones that are mentioned in both laws and inscriptions, and the sequence in which the names are listed (MEP, MVM, MM) corresponds to the chronological order, from earliest to latest, in which they were mostly used.
The following statements about the names that were used for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the Cnd compare the evidence described in sections $\S[4]-\S[5]$ with the names that existed in the Cnd. It will be observed that, while most of the names for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the Cnd included words, or combinations of words, that were used in the official names MEP, MM and MaP, some other words, or combinations of words in the Cnd are not attested in any law or inscription. As mentioned in $\S[7 \mathrm{c}]$, the name $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ did not exist in the Cnd.

## \&[7b1] magister peditum

$\{C n d=$ magister peditum, magister peditum in praesenti, magister peditum praesentalis\} western items
The name $\boldsymbol{M P}$ is mentioned in an eastern official text dated 344 in which $\boldsymbol{M P}$ is not certainly attested as the complete name of a service position. Apart from that text, the name MP is not mentioned in any law or inscription produced in the eastern or western part of the Roman state during the period 344-534. The absence of the name $\boldsymbol{M P}$ from all those texts is completely incompatible with the pre-eminence that was attributed to the position named MP in western lists in the Cnd, which included the statement in the latter that the position MP had jurisdiction over all comites rei militaris and duces, each of whom commanded both cavalry and infantry units. And the laws and inscriptions provide no evidence to indicate that there existed at some time an officer who was allocated command over only infantry units, as implied by the list of military units in the domain list associated with the name $\boldsymbol{M P}$. The name $\boldsymbol{M P}$ did not exist in any eastern list in the Cnd.

## §[7b2] magister equitum

\{Cnd = magister equitum, magister equitum in praesenti, comes et magister equitum praesentalis magister equitum per gallias, magister equitum galliarum, comes et magister equitum galliarum\} western items
The name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ does not exist in any inscription produced during the period 344-534 but, unlike the name $\boldsymbol{M P}$, the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ exists in 7 laws dated between 347-423. The evidence suggests, but is insufficient to prove, that the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ was an abbreviation for the name $\boldsymbol{M E P}$. And where laws containing the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ also refer to military units, they indicate that the $\boldsymbol{M E}$ commanded not only cavalry units so that, if the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ in Cod.Theod. were an accurate copy of an official name, it would have denoted something other than the command of only cavalry units. This conclusion was also indicated within the Cnd in which the position named $\boldsymbol{M E p e r}$ gallias was represented as commanding both cavalry and infantry units. The name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ did not exist in any eastern list in the Cnd.

## \&[7b3] magister equitum per gallias

\{Cnd $=$ magister equitum per gallias, magister equitum galliarum, comes et magister equitum galliarum\} western items
The name MEper gallias or galliarum does not exist in any law or inscription. The designation galliae (plural), which was generally used to refer to the diocese galliae, and also as part of the name praefectus praetorio galliarum, occurs only once in association with the name $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in an inscription dated c.439. This inscription identifies Aetius, initially as magister militum per gallias and then also as magister utriusque militiae (without any regional designation). Both these names, $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ and $\boldsymbol{M V M}$, refer to the command of
both cavalry and infantry units and this combination is consistent with the two lists of military units associated with the position named MEper gallias in the Cnd.
§[7b4] regional designations with the name MEP
$\{C n d=$ magister equitum et peditum per orientem, magister equitum et peditum per thracias, magister equitum et peditum per illyricum \} eastern items
The official use of the name $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ is confirmed in laws and inscriptions, but none of these names was combined with any regional designation. These designations are first used with the later name $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$, which did not exist in the Cnd. The names MEPper orientem, MEPper thracias, MEPper illyricum that existed in the eastern precedence list in the $C n d$ are, therefore, not attested as official names.

## $\S[7 \mathrm{~b} 5]$ regional designations with the name MM

$\{C n d=$ magister militum per orientem, magister militum per thracias, magister militum per illyricum\} eastern items The laws exemplify the official use of the names MMper orientem, MMper thracias, MMper illyricum that existed in the eastern lists of the Cnd. The inscriptions confirm the official use of MMper orientem and ex-MMper thracia(-s?/-m?). An inscription also validates the name MMper gallias, but this was not used in the Cnd.

## §[7b6] magisteria potestas MaP

$\{C n d=$ magisteria in praesenti potestas, magisteria per orientem potestas, magisteria per thracias potestas, magisteria per
illyricum potestas\} eastern items
$\{C n d=$ magisteria potestas $\}$ western item
The name MaP is not used in inscriptions. In the laws, magisteria potestas is used mostly in the singular, ${ }^{212}$ and mostly to refer to the position of a central $\boldsymbol{M}$ - (that is, one near the emperor or at the court). Only two eastern laws (dated 476-85 and 492) use the name MaP to refer to a regional service position, identified by the addition of the regional designation per orientem. ${ }^{213}$ Consequently, the central and regional designations in praesenti, per thracias and per illyricum that existed in the eastern lists in the Cnd in combination with the name $\boldsymbol{M a P}$ are not confirmed as having been used officially.
The name MaP is used in only two western laws (dated 398, 426). In the western lists in the Cnd the name magisteria potestas existed in only one item and without any central or regional designation.

## §[7b7] in praesenti

$\{C n d=$ magister equitum et peditum in praesenti, magisteria in praesenti potestas, magister(:) milit(:) in praesenti\} eastern items
$\{C n d=$ magister peditum in praesenti\} western item
The phrase in praesenti is not used in inscriptions. In the laws it is not used in conjunction with any name for the service position $M$-. In the Cnd, in praesenti existed as a synonym for praesentalis, ${ }^{214}$ which was used in laws apparently to denote presence near the emperor or at the court. But in the laws, in praesenti mostly refers to "present time" ("now", "at present" etc.) and only infrequently to "present" (presence of a person in a place - opposite of "absent") and, where this second meaning is intended, it is not definitely used in any law to denote presence near the emperor or at the court. The combination of the phrase in praesenti with the name $\boldsymbol{M}-, \mathbf{M E}, \boldsymbol{M P}$, as in the Cnd, exists only in texts derived from the Cnd.

## §[7b8] praesentalis

\{Cnd $=$ magister militum praesentalis $\}$ eastern items
$\{C n d=$ magister peditum praesentalis, magister equitum praesentalis, magister militum praesentalium | magistri militum praesentalium, magister militum praesentalium a parte peditum | magistri militum praesentalium a parte peditum, magister praesentalium a parte peditum, magistr(:) militum praesentalium [.] a parte peditum [.] a parte equitum | magistri militum praesentalium [.] a parte peditum [.] a parte equitum\} western items
The adjective praesentalis is not used in any western law or inscription. It is used in 5 eastern laws (one in c. 443 and four between 492-534) to refer to the position MM, and to milites, numeri, agmina and domestici. In addition, one eastern inscription, dated 521 and repeated on three diptychs, indicates the

[^10]official use of the name magister equitum et peditum praes.(the expanded form is unknown: -entalis? or entalium?).
The laws and inscription confirm, therefore, the official use of the name magister militum praesentalis that existed in 2 picture captions and 2 list items in the eastern lists in the Cnd. They also attest the official use of the names magister militum praesentalium and magistri militum praesentalium that existed among the western lists in the Cnd in 15 items throughout 12 officium lists, and in 1 heading in a fragmentary series of other lists. But the phrases a parte peditum and a parte equitum that were added to those names in the western lists do not exist in combination with any name for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in any law or inscription. The names magister peditum praesentalis and magister equitum praesentalis exist only in texts derived from the Cnd.
§[7b9] pars peditum, pars equitum
$\{$ Cnd $=$ magister militum praesentalium a parte peditum $\mid$ magistri militum praesentalium a parte peditum, magister praesentalium a parte peditum, magistr(:) militum praesentalium [.] a parte peditum [.] a parte equitum | magistri militum praesentalium [.] a parte peditum [.] a parte equitum\} western items
The word pars (part) is used in various contexts in the laws. But no law or inscription refers either to a magister (or to magistri) a parte peditum (or a parte equitum) or to milites a parte peditum (or a parte equitum). But the use of the word pars to refer to the cavalry or infantry part of a specific group of soldiers does occur in the copy of an official letter written in c.507/11 at the Ostrogothic court in Ravenna by (again) ${ }^{215}$ the senator Cassiodorus, referring to domestici partis equitum et peditum. ${ }^{216}$ This was apparently a reference to the Gothic equivalent of the Roman scholae domesticorum equitum et peditum, but these are not similarly distinguished in any law or inscription by any name incorporating any form of the word pars.
§[7b10] comes
$\{C n d=$ comes et magister equitum praesentalis, comes et magister equitum galliarum $\}$ western items
As mentioned in $\S[5 b]$, the award of the dignity comes (imperial companion) bestowed on its recipient either actual or nominal membership of the comitatus (court) of the emperor. Serving officers appointed to a position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - were the highest ranking officers in the imperial service to whom an award of the dignity comes was made. Serving officers appointed to the two highest ranking positions in the civil section of the imperial service, named praefectus praetorio and praefectus urbis, are not recorded in the laws as having been awarded the dignity comes even though the praefectus praetorio was apparently considered to be a member of the comitatus. ${ }^{217}$
The distribution of awards of the dignity comes among officers in the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - is attested in the laws and inscriptions as follows:

Table 4: The dignity comes associated with names for the service position $M$ -

| Service positions |  | Part | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 | 430 | 440 | 450 | 460 | 470 | 480 | 490 | 500 | 510 | 520 | 530 | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ME | Laws | ${ }^{\text {E }}$ W |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 1 |
| MEP | Laws <br> Insc | E $\quad$ W |  | 1 |  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 2 2 |
|  |  | E $\quad$ W |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 |
| MVM | Laws <br> Insc | ${ }^{\text {E }}$ W |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 10 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 6 |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {E }}$ W |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 5 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 <br> 15 |
| MM | Laws <br> Insc | ${ }^{\text {E }}$ W |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 2 2 |
|  |  | E W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

In the Cnd the dignity comes did not exist in the eastern lists and existed in only two items in the western lists: once in the name comes et magister equitum praesentalis (Cnd.102/5.1) and once in the name comes et magister equitum galliarum (Cnd.102/5.217). The association of the dignity comes with the name magister

215 See notes 135-136.
216 Variae, 1.10 §2: Domestici partis equitum et peditum, qui nostrae aulae videntur iugiter excubare, quod ex magnis fieri doloribus solet, adunata nobis supplicatione conquesti sunt ab illo arcario praefectorum pro emolumentis sollemnibus nec integri ponderis solidos percipere et in numero gravia se dispendia sustinere.[.]. Mommsen, 1894 <see note $136>$ p. 18 .
217 See note 149.
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equitum is made in the address of one eastern law and of one western law, but the two named officers are not represented in any other law (or inscription). ${ }^{218}$
§[7b11] Summary
The preceding comparison, between the names used in the Cnd for the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and those existing in the laws and inscriptions, indicates that the names used for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the $C n d$ may be divided into three groups:

1 Names in the Cnd that are attested as accurate representations official names

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { in laws and inscriptions: } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { magister militum praesentalis, magister militum praesentalium, } \\
\text { magister militum per orientem, magister militum per thracia(-s?/-m?), }
\end{array} \\
\text { in laws but not inscriptions: } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { magister militum per illyricum, } \\
\text { magisteria potestas, magisteria per orientem potestas }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

2 Names in the Cnd (i) whose italicised words in combination with the word "magister" do not exist in inscriptions, and are not certainly attested as official in the laws; and (ii) whose underlined words in combination with the word "magister" are not attested in the laws or inscriptions:
magister equitum, magister equitum in praesenti, comes et magister equitum praesentalis, magister equitum per gallias, comes et magister equitum galliarum.

3 Names in the Cnd that associated the underlined words with either 'magister' or 'magisteria' are not attested as official names in the laws and inscriptions
magisteria per thracias potestas, magisteria per illyricum potestas.
magister equitum \& peditum in praesenti, magister equitum \& peditum per orientem, magister equitum \& peditum per thracias, magister equitum \& peditum per illyricum, magister militum in praesenti, magisteria in praesenti potestas, magister peditum, magister peditum in praesenti, magister peditum praesentalis magister militum praesentalium a parte peditum [.]|[.]<praesentalium $>$ a parte equitum.
The names in the third group are regarded as being inaccurate representations of official names for the position $M$-. It is not known whether these inaccurate representations existed in a pre-Cnd compilation or were created in the Cnd.

## §[7c] The name magister utriusque militiae, etc MVM

Although the official use of the names MEP, MVM, MM, MaP for the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - is attested in both laws and inscriptions in both parts of the Roman state, the name $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ was not used in the Cnd.
Among the 26 laws containing $\boldsymbol{M V}$, 18 were eastern, and among the 23 inscriptions with $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}, 22$ were western. In fact, almost half of the 44 eastern and western inscriptions that mention the position $\boldsymbol{M}$-, contain the name $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$. Consequently, the absence of the name $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ from the entire Cnd, but especially from its western lists, invites speculation, particularly in connection with the observations that:
a $22 / 23$ of the available inscriptions that use $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ are from the western part of the Roman state;
b $17 / 22$ of these western inscriptions were produced during the period 370-430 (which is the period that is mostly mentioned in speculations about the date of the first pre-Cnd compilation); and
c $12 / 17$ of these western inscriptions produced during the period 370-430 associate the name $\boldsymbol{M V M}$ with the additional dignity comes which existed in the Cnd only in its western lists and only in association with two separate positions that contained the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ ).

## §[8] The Cnd positions M- and the number of coexisting officers serving as M-

Most people who consider those contents of the Cnd that relate to the command structure of the late Roman military service either implicitly assume, or state, that all the positions named $M$ - in the Cnd existed simultaneously during most of the period between the mid-4th and mid-5thC. The following section considers the available evidence for the number of positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - that existed in each of the two parts of the Roman state during that period.

[^11]In the Cnd the eastern precedence list Cnd.1/2, and agency lists Cnd.9, 12, 15, 18, 21 referred to the following five positions $\boldsymbol{M}$-:

| Precedence list | Agency lists <br> magister equitum \& peditum in praesenti duo <br> magister militum praesentalis | magisteria in praesenti potestas <br> magister militum praesentalis |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| magister equitum \& peditum per orientem | magister militum per orientem | magisteria per orientem potestas |
| magister equitum \& peditum per thracias | magister militum per thracias | magisteria per thracias potestas <br> magister equitum \& peditum per illyricum |

The western precedence list, Cnd.85/6, contained references to three service positions named $\boldsymbol{M}$-, each of which was represented as that of the director of an agency represented by an agency list. The various names of these positions in the Cnd were:

| Precedence list | Agency lists |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| magister peditum in praesenti | Agenister peditum praesentalis <br> magister peditum | comes \& magister equitum praesentalis <br> magister equitum in praesenti | magisteria potestas |
| magister equitum per gallias | magister equitum galliarum | comes \& magister equitum galliarum |  |

Some western lists contained additional names in 12 officium lists and a heading in another list:

```
magister / magistri militum praesentalium
magister / magistri militum praesentalium a parte peditum magister praesentalium a parte peditum
magistri militum praesentalium [.] a parte peditum [.] a parte equitum
```

In attempting to relate the evidence in the laws and inscriptions for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - to the eight positions named in the precedence lists in the Cnd, there are four particular problems.
a The Cnd contained no dates. The date of the creation of the earliest pre-Cnd lists that were represented by lists in the $C n d$ is unknown. And the number of consecutive copies and/or revisions of that pre-Cnd list before the production of the Cnd is also unknown.
b Where a law or inscription that mentions the name $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and that law can be certainly dated, the law indicates only when the particular position existed, but not when it was created or when it ceased to exist. Only a very few laws refer to the creation or to the abolition of a service position. These few laws indicate, for example, that the position magister militum per armeniam et pontum polemoniacum et gentes was created in 528-30 and that the position comes armeniae was abolished at the same time; ${ }^{219}$ that the position magister militum in Africa was created in $534 ; 220$ and that the position vicarius dioeceseos ponticae was abolished in April 535 and restored in Sept.538. ${ }^{221}$
No law or inscription provides a date to indicate when any one of the eight positions named in the Cnd was created or when it ceased to exist. It is not known, therefore, how many of those eight positions existed simultaneously in any particular year.
c Where the laws or inscriptions refer to a position $\boldsymbol{M}$-, but do not add either a central or regional designation to the name, the position cannot be certainly identified as one of the eight positions represented in the $C n d$, even where a location is either named in, or can be inferred from, the law or inscription. This is because the laws refer not only to ordinary positions but also to extraordinary ones that were not mentioned in the Cnd. Those extraordinary positions included temporary reserve, and substitute positions, as well as personal positions such as that awarded to Gildo. ${ }^{222}$
d The number of officers serving as $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in any particular year is not stated in any law or inscription, and the number cannot be established from their texts. There are:
i. 36 eastern laws (347-534) and 24 western laws (365-460) each addressed to a single named officer, among whose positions 12 contain designations (praes. 1 , per orientem 6 , per africam 1 , per thracias 1 , dalmatiae 1 , per illyricum 1, per armeniam [.] 1); ${ }^{223}$

219 Cod.Iust.1.29.5(528-30) - see note 132.
220 Cod.Iust.1.27.2(April 534): $\S 17$ and $\S 35$ - see note 111.
221 Nov.Iust.8(20.Apr.535)§3 and Edictum Iustiniani.8(15.Sept.538): in Schoell, R. \& Kroll, W. (eds.), Novellae <Iustiniani> in Krueger, P. \& Mommsen, T.(eds.), Corpus Iuris Civilis. (Berlin, Weidmann, 19121922), vol. 3 (1912), pp. 68 and 768-72.

222 See $\S[4 f]$.
223 See Attachment 2, (eastern laws): Refs: 001, 002, 003, 011, 017, 018, 034, 040, 042, 043, 045, 046, $050,051,052,053,055,057,071,074,080,081,087,089,097,098,105,106,110,111,116,118$,
ii. 2 eastern laws that are addressed to a single named officer, with a subscript in the law indicating that a copy is sent to another named officer, but without designations; ${ }^{224}$
iii 2 eastern laws that are addressed jointly to two named officers, of which only one law contains the designations praesentalis and per orientem. ${ }^{225}$
There is no law that is sent to more than two named officers. Where more than two officers are the intended recipients of a law, or where the law related generally to the position $\boldsymbol{M}$-, the laws refer either simply to the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - or anonymously to an undefined number of officers. ${ }^{226}$
The evidence in the laws and inscriptions that can be related to the eight service positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - that were named in the Cnd can be tabulated as follows:

Table 5: Service positions identified in the laws

| Date | Srce. | Central position | Regional pos |  | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 393 | LEA | \#<central M-> | MVM oriens, | MVM africa | 50, 51 |
| c. 400 | LEC | \#<central M-> | MM oriens |  | 66 |
| 412 | LEA | \#<central M-> | MM oriens, | MM thraciae | 74, 71 |
| 414 | LEA | \#<central M-> | MM oriens |  | 80 |
| 415 | LEA | \#<central M-> | MM oriens |  | 81 |
| 424 | LEA | \#<central M-> | MM oriens |  | 89 |
| 438 | LEC | \#<central M-> | MM oriens |  | 92 |
| c. 439 | IW | \#<central M-> |  | $M M$ galliae | 158a |
| 440-41 | LEC |  |  | MM vacans | 95 |
| c. 443 | LEA+C | MM praes. | MM oriens |  | 99 |
| c. 472 | LEC | MM utr. praes[.] | MM oriens, | MM illyricum | 109 |
| 473 | LEA | \#<central M-> |  | MM dalmatia | 110 |
| 476-85 | LEC | \#<central M-> | MaP oriens |  | 113 |
| 491-518 | LEA | \#<central M-> |  | MM illyricum | 118 |
| 492 | LEA+C | MM praes., MM praes. | MaP oriens |  | 119 |
| 506 | IE | \#<central M-> | MM oriens |  | 165 |
| 521 | IE | MEP praes. |  |  | 166-68 |
| 525 | IE | \#<central M-> |  | exMM thrac. | 169 |
| 528-30 | LEA | \#<central M-> |  | MM armenia [.] | 127 |
| 534 | LEA | \#<central M-> | MM oriens |  | 131 |
| Srce $\mathbf{L}=$ law; $\mathbf{E}=$ east, $\mathbf{W}=$ west, $\mathbf{A}=$ address, $\mathbf{S}=$ subscript, $\mathbf{C}=$ contents, $\mathbf{I}=$ inscription. <br> Ref. the reference numbers are those that are used in Attachment 2 and Attachment 3. <br> Italics the name refers to a position that was not named in the $C n d$ <br> $\#<$ central $\boldsymbol{M}->$ where a regional $\boldsymbol{M}$ - is attested the simultaneous existence of at least one central $\boldsymbol{M}$ - is <br> assumed. | $\mathbf{L}=$ law; $\mathbf{E}=$ east, $\mathbf{W}=$ west, $\mathbf{A}=$ address, $\mathbf{S}=$ subscript, $\mathbf{C}=$ contents, $\mathbf{I}=$ inscription. the reference numbers are those that are used in Attachment 2 and Attachment 3. the name refers to a position that was not named in the Cnd where a regional $\boldsymbol{M}$ - is attested the simultaneous existence of at least one central $\boldsymbol{M}$ - is assumed. |  |  |  |  |

$119,120,127,131$; (western laws): $014,015,019,020,021,022,024,025,026,027,059,060,062$, 063, 064, 068, 069, 073, 077, 079, 086, 093, 102, 107.
Cod.Theod.1.8.1(415): sent to Florentius $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ and a copy sent to Sapricius MM; Nov.Theod.7.4(441): sent to Ariobindus $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ and a copy to Aspar $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$.
Cod.Theod.7.4.18(393): sent to Abundantius and Stilicho MVM (Abundantio, Stilichoni et ceteris comitibus ac magistris utriusque militiae) is part of a law of which another excerpt (Cod.Theod.7.9.3) is addressed to the same two officers, that refers to them only as comites utriusque militiae (Abundantio, Stilichoni et ceteris comitibus utriusque militiae).
Cod.Iust.12.54.4(c.443): is addressed to Appolonius MM-praesentalis and Anatolius MM-per orientem.
226 For example: Cod.Theod.8.1.5(357) (to pp): litteris ad magistros peditum et equitum datis iussioni effectum praecipimus commodari; Cod.Theod.12.1.38(357) (to pp): de qua re magistros equitum ac peditum [.] commonendos Cod.Theod.8.7.11(371) to Severus MM, ending: emissa ad magistros militum et comites et duces omnes; Cod.Theod.7.13.8(380) edictum: sic hoc [.] inlustribus viris magistris equitum peditum fuerit intimatum; Cod.Theod.12.1.113(386) addressed: universis comitibus et magistris equitum et peditum; Cod.Theod.1.21.1(393) addressed: comitibus et magistris utriusque militiae; Cod.Theod.7.4.24(398) addressed to pp?: ad inlustres quoque magistros utriusque militiae sacri apices cucurrerunt; Cod.Theod.7.7.5(415) addressed: comitibus et magistris militum; Cod.Theod.12.1.181(416) (to $\mathrm{pp}):$ ad viros inlustres magistros militum scripta mittantur.
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This table does not include a law, dated c.468, that refers both to magistri and to the limites of oriens, thraciae and illyricum. ${ }^{227}$ These three regions correspond exactly, in both their names and the sequence in which they are named in the law, to the positions Mper orientem $\mid$ Mper thracias $\mid$ Mper illyricum that existed in the Cnd, so that the plural magistri in this law could be interpreted as confirming the contemporary existence of those three positions in c.468. This may be correct, although the law may merely be naming the regions in which numeri were located.

It should also be noted, however, that this law transfers to the magister officiorum an unspecified amount of jurisdiction over duces, their apparitores (secretariat officers) and the praepositi limitanei \& castrorum (commanders of borders and forts) throughout the three dioceses, provided that the traditional power which magistri militum have long held in relation to the limites of oriens, thraciae and illyricum is retained. Since the law, which is addressed to the magister officiorum, does not refer to a central $\boldsymbol{M}$-, and since the ultimate jurisdiction over these limites belonged to that central position and not to the regional $\boldsymbol{M}$-, as was still the case (at least in relation to oriens) in $492,{ }^{228}$ there is some doubt whether plural magistri militum refers to three contemporary regional $M$-, or to $M$ - appointed to these three positions at various times over a long period (ex longo tempore), or to successive appointees to positions as central $M$ - of whom the contemporary one(s) would be most affected by this law. Moreover, the definition of the limites, and the number of regional positions $\boldsymbol{M}$-, were not constant. ${ }^{229}$
As noted in $\S[5 \mathrm{a}]$, the laws and inscriptions that refer to a position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the western part of the Roman state do not refer to a position named $\boldsymbol{M P}$. And although there are four laws addressed to named officers serving in positions named $\boldsymbol{M E}$, three of these officers, in other laws, are identified as either $\boldsymbol{M E P}$ or $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$. No named officer to whom a western law is addressed is identified with either a central or a regional designation. The only such designation exists in an inscription (c.439) that uses the name MMper gallias.
No western laws are simultaneously addressed to more than one named officer serving as $\boldsymbol{M}$-. A law, dated 372, addressed to Severus $\boldsymbol{M}$, about returning to specific civic duties of anyone who attempted to avoid them by gaining a military command, is stated in the subscript to have been sent ad magistros militum et comites et duces omnes. ${ }^{230}$ But two laws about important military regulations, issued by Stilicho MM to comites et duces omnes, or similar, do not refer to any other officer serving as $\boldsymbol{M}-.231$
From the evidence available in the laws, the inscriptions and the $C n d$, in relation to the eight positions in the $C n d$ representing the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$-, it is concluded that this evidence,
a in relation to all positions:
i does not indicate how many persons were appointed as serving officers in any position named $\boldsymbol{M}$-, whether ordinary or extraordinary, in either the eastern or western part of the Roman state in any particular year;
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ii indicates that, unless there is an unambiguous name for a position, or supporting evidence, the identification of any position named in any law or inscriptions, with any of the positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - named in Cnd, cannot be certainly made (if the creation of circular arguments is to be avoided);
b in relation to the five positions in the eastern lists in the Cnd:
i does not indicate when each of the five positions was created, or when it ceased to exist;
ii does not indicate how many of the five positions were contemporary (existed simultaneously) in any particular year;
iii does not indicate why the position Mper orientem is the one that is mentioned more often and over a longer period than the other two; or why the position Mper orientem occurs simultaneously only once with the position Mper thracias and once with the position Mper illyricum, or why the positions Mper thracias and Mper illyricum are not named together in any law.
iv does not indicate why, apart from its predominant reference to the central position(s), the name MaP is attested in laws only in relation to the regional position Mper orientem (but not to the regional positions $M_{\text {per thracias or }} \boldsymbol{M}_{\text {per }}$ illyricum).
c in relation to the three positions in the western lists in the Cnd:
i does not indicate when any of these was created or ceased to exist, or whether all three western positions named in the Cnd actually existed at any time;
ii does not indicate why no law is simultaneously addressed to more than one named officer serving as $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the western part of the Roman state.
As a general conclusion it is necessary to emphasise, again,

- that the available evidence does not attest the simultaneous existence, in any particular year, or during any precisely dateable period of years, of all the eight positions named $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the Cnd and
- that in addition to some or all of those eight positions there existed, at various times, occasional appointments to extraordinary positions as $\boldsymbol{M}$ - (temporary, reserve, substitute).
Consequently, not every reference, in a law or inscription or literary text, to an officer serving as $\boldsymbol{M}$-, has to be regarded as referring to one of the 5 eastern or 3 western positions named in the Cnd unless such an identification can be made with certainty.


## §[9] The Cnd positions M- in the eastern lists

In the Cnd, the five service positions named $\boldsymbol{M}$ - were referred to in the eastern precedence list Cnd.1/2, and in the agency lists Cnd.9, 12, 15, 18, 21, and in the captions to the pictures Cnd.7-8, 10-11, 13-14, 16-17, 19-20 that were associated with those lists.

## $\S[9 \mathrm{a}]$ Agency lists and pictures related to the five eastern $\mathbf{M}$-.

In the eastern lists, each of the five positions named $\boldsymbol{M}$ - was represented as that of the director of an agency. The agency of each of these directors was represented in the Cnd by an agency list and each of these five lists was immediately preceded by two pictures that were not separated by intervening lists. Within the Cnd the format of the 5 lists (that is, the sort of items selected, and their arrangement or spatial distribution within the domain and officium lists), and format of the 10 pictures (the sort of entities represented in drawings and their arrangement) was sufficiently common among them to indicate that these lists and pictures were produced to conform to the same model or pattern. This format is exemplified in these images, representing the pictures Cnd.10-11 and their related agency list Cnd.12:


In this common format, the agency domain list named the cavalry units and then all the infantry units, usually under headings naming their classes (cavalry vexillationes palatinae, vexillationes comitatenses and infantry legiones palatinae, auxilia palatina, legiones comitatenses, <legiones> pseudocomitatenses) but not every domain list contained units from every class.
In the series of two pictures preceding each of the five agency lists, the upper compartment contained the drawing of a rectangular emblem, drawn as placed on a table. The lower compartment in each first picture was extended into the entire second picture and contained the drawings of a series of discs, variously decorated and arranged in horizontal rows. Several 4th-5thC objects contain carvings, paintings, mosaics or drawings of discs with similar designs in pictorial contexts in which those discs represent military shields, indicating that the drawings of discs in the Cnd also represented the shields of military units. This identification is confirmed by the fact that each drawing of a disc was surmounted by a caption derived from a different one of the names of the units mentioned in the following domain list.
The number of units named in each of the five domain lists, and the number of drawings representing shields, are tabulated as follows:
Table 6:
(a) The number of cavalry and infantry units in the eastern lists related to M-

| Cnd: P(ic) L(ist) | (P)7-8 (L) 9 | (P) 10-11 (L) 12 | (P) 13-14 (L) 15 | (P) 16-17 (L) 18 | (P) 19-20 (L)21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Picture captions | MMpraesentalis | mMpraesentalis | MMper orientem | mMper thracias | MMper illyricum |
| Cavalry |  |  |  |  |  |
| vex. pal. | 5 | 6 |  | 3 |  |
| vex. com | 7 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 2 |
| Total cavalry | 12 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 2 |
| Infantry |  |  |  |  |  |
| leg. pal. | 6* | 6* |  |  | 1* |
| aux. pal. | 18* | 17*(1) | 2* ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 6* |
| leg. com |  |  | 9* | $20+1^{(3) *}$ | 8* |
| pseudocom. |  | 1 | 10* |  | 9 |
| Total infantry | 24 | 24 | 21 | 21 | 24 |

(1) 19 unit names were listed but 2 names are duplicated (item $37=$ item 39 and item $38=40$ ).
(2) these 2 infantry unit names are not preceded by a heading naming their class (legiones palatinae ?, auxilia palatina ?).
(3) 20 units are named in the list which is augmented here by 1 additional unit named in the drawing captions.

* identifies the list units whose names were represented in the captions of the drawings representing shields.
(b) and the representation of those units in the captions of drawings representing shields

| Picture captions | MMpraesentalis | MMpraesentalis | MMper orientem | MMper thracias | MMper illyricum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shields | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 3 |  |
| First picture | 8 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 12 |  |
| Second picture | 16 | $\mathbf{2 4}{ }^{(1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Total shields | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Two significant facts are apparent from these figures:
a none of the drawings representing shields is associated with a caption naming any cavalry unit. The exclusion of cavalry units from captioned drawings was not necessarily determined by the size of the pictures. In almost all illustrated primary copies of the Cnd, the area occupied by each of the 10 eastern and 8 western pictures occupies almost the same area, and their drawings (a rectangular emblem, a table and discs representing shields) represented the same type of objects. In the first western series, the first two pictures (Cnd.92-93) together contained the drawings of 42 shields, and those in the second series (Cnd.100-101) together had 39 pictures (see $\S[13 \mathrm{c}]$ ). If a similar format had been used in the eastern pictures, all units in all five lists could have been represented by drawings of captioned shields.
b while all the eastern captions represented only infantry units, not all classes were consistently represented by captions. The oriens domain list (Cnd.15.2-35) included 10 legiones pseudocomitatenses (Cnd.15.26-35) that were all represented by captions (Cnd.14.g-q) above the drawings of shields (Cnd.14.716). By contrast the 9 legiones pseudocomitatenses units in the illyricum domain list (Cnd.21.24-32) were not represented by captions or drawings. It is noted, however, that all 24 infantry units named in
this illyricum list could all have been represented in such drawings if the two pictures (Cnd.19-20) had contained the same number of shields as those in the first two series of eastern pictures (Cnd.7-8 and 1011). Had this been done, the five series of pictures would have displayed, respectively, 24-24-21-21-24 drawings, which would have meant that the illyricum pictures (Cnd.19-20) would have contained more drawings than those in the oriens (Cnd.13-14) or thraciae list (Cnd.16-17) pictures. And that would have been pictorially inconsistent with the order in which the agencies were listed, which was apparently according to the ranks of their directors, as mentioned below, $\S[9 \mathrm{c}]$.

## $\S[9 b]$ Inaccurate representation of some pre-Cnd contents in the Cnd

There are indications that some list items, drawings captions and drawings in the Cnd inaccurately represented corresponding pre-Cnd contents.
a The second central domain list (Cnd.12.1-43), under the heading auxilia palatina xvii (or decem \& septem) named 19 units because the names of two of the units were duplicated: Felices arcadiani iuniores (Cnd.12.37=39) and Secundi theodosiani (Cnd.12.38=40). These were followed by the Quarti theodosiani (Cnd.12.41) and, as the final unit, the Auxiliarii sagittarii (Cnd.12.43). These last 4 units and the 2 duplicated names (diagram, row1), were represented in the associated picture (Cnd.11) by 4 drawing captions (row 2) and 4 drawings representing shields (row 3) among which, the last two were decorated with a simple cross (X).

| Cnd.12 List | 37 Fel.Arcad. iun. | 38 Secundi.Theod. | 39 Fel.Arcad. iun. | 40 Secundi.Theod. | 41 Quarti Theod. | 42 Item pseudoc. | 43 Auxil.sagitt. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cnd.11 Captions | n. Fel.Arcad. iun. | o Secundi.Theod. | p Fel.Arcad. iun. |  |  |  |  |
| Cnd.11 drawings | 13 decorated | 14 decorated | 15 cancelled $\mathbf{X}$ |  | q Quarti Theod. |  |  |

Among the 284 drawings of decorated discs representing shields in the Cnd, the two discs Cnd.11.1516 were the only two that had the same cross (X), or cancellation mark, drawn from one side of the outer circle to the other side, across an otherwise undecorated disc ${ }^{232}$. It is apparent that this marking $(\mathrm{X})$ in the two adjoining drawings, was somehow related to the existence of the two duplicated list items (Cnd. $12.37=39$ and $12.18=40$ ) and to the representation of one of these items (Cnd.12.37=39) in a caption (Cnd.11.p) because the two drawings marked (X) could have been decorated, and captioned, to represent the shields of the last two infantry units (Quarti Theodosiani and Auxiliarii sagittarii), given the availability of possible decorations in the corresponding picture (Cnd.8), as described in $\S[10 \mathrm{~d}]$.
It is unknown whether the ( X ) markings that existed in the Cnd were created in the latter or were derived from a pre-Cnd picture. But the discrepancy between the 19 listed names and the total 17 in the list heading suggests that the duplications did not exist in the earliest pre-Cnd list. It can be concluded, therefore, that the two duplicated names in the Cnd
were an inaccurate copy of those in a pre-Cnd list. And it is probable that at least one drawing caption, and the last two drawings of undecorated shields in Cnd.11, were inaccurate copies of corresponding contents in a pre-Cnd picture.
b The list Cnd.18.11-31 named 19 Legiones comitatenses that were all represented by drawing captions in the preceding pictures Cnd.16-17. The last drawing representing a shield (Cnd.17.16) had the drawing caption Gratianenses (Cnd.17.q) that was not represented by a unit name in the following domain list. Given the relationship that existed throughout the Cnd between the list items and the drawing captions of discs representing shields (and between list items and the drawing captions over the drawings of forts in other pictures), it is certain that the name Gratianenses existed in a pre-Cnd list and that Cnd.18.11-31 was an inaccurate representation of that pre-Cnd list.
c There may have been another inaccuracy in these eastern pictures in the Cnd. The two units Felices arcadiani seniores and Felices honoriani seniores (Cnd.15.13-14), whose names identified them as infantry units, were listed between the last cavalry unit (Cnd.15.12) and the heading Legiones comitatenses (Cnd.15.15) that preceded the remaining infantry units. But the class of the two units Cnd.15.13-14 was not identified by a preceding heading. In the regional illyricum list Cnd.21, the classes legio palatina and auxilium palatinum preceded that of legio comitatensis. It is open to speculation whether a heading for the class legiones palatinae or auxilia palatina existed in a pre-Cnd list from which Cnd.15.13-14 were derived, but was later not transmitted; or whether such a heading was not present in any pre-Cnd list; or whether the two units were legiones comitatenses that had come to be listed before rather than after the heading naming that class at Cnd.15.15.

232 See the picture on p.51.
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## §[9c] Differences in rank among eastern M-

As mentioned in $\S[3 \mathrm{~b}]$, the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - had, by 372 , the illustris grade of dignity attached to it and, in that year, the service position MEP was raised to the same division of the illustris grade as the one that was attached to the highest ranked positions in the civil service (praefectus praetorio and praefectus urbis). Precedence among all serving officers was determined by the rank of the service position to which each was appointed but, among retired officers, by seniority among those with the same grade and division of dignity.

No law indicates that there was any difference in the dignity (grade and division) among those serving in contemporary positions named $M-$. But there were, apparently, differences in the rank. The rank order of service positions is not explicitly stated in any law but the order, especially of those to which the illustris grade of dignity was attached, can be constructed on the basis of several criteria, including the sequence in which the names of positions are listed in various laws. The rank order constructed from those criteria, for the positions to which the illustris grade of dignity was attached, is consistent with the sequence in which those positions were listed in the eastern precedence list Cnd.1/2.2-16. ${ }^{233}$ Given this observation, it can be concluded that the positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - within the precedence list (Cnd.1/2.5-8) are also listed in rank order.
No law prescribes or describes any statutory difference in rank among contemporary positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - but there are at least two laws that imply such a difference between at least the central $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and two regional positions $M$ - on at least two occasions.

The first of these is an eastern law, dated 412, addressed to Constans, MMper thracias, prescribing that a specific number of patrol boats is to be made available on the Danube river bordering Moesia II and Scythia. The prescribed number is to be achieved through both the construction of new vessels and repairs to existing ones. The project is to be completed within the next seven years, and annual reports on progress must be made, and the law prescribes penalties regarding both those instructions: a dux responsible for any failure in meeting the required number of constructions and repairs is fined $30 £$ of gold, ${ }^{234}$ and the officium of the $d u x$ is fined $50 £$. Moreover, if the officium of the MMper thracias fails to send to the officium of the MaP an annual report on either the progress of the project, or any dereliction, the officium of the MMper thracias is also fined $50 £ .{ }^{235}$ This reference in the law to the responsibility of the officium of a regional $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ to the officium of the central $\boldsymbol{M a P}$ implies that the regional $\boldsymbol{M}$ - was in some manner accountable to, or at least under the surveillance of, the central $\boldsymbol{M}$-, and had a lower rank.

The second law, also eastern, was addressed in 492 to Iohannes, MMpraes. to specify the court in which civil and criminal cases are to be conducted concerning the milites in those (comitatenses) praesentales units which had been allocated to the immediate command of duces throughout the diocese oriens (per orientis partes). ${ }^{236}$ Previously, jurisdiction over these milites had been delegated to the MaPper orientem

233 Occasional variations in the order in which the positions quaestor sacrii palatii and magister officiorum were listed are indicated in Appendix 14: Dignities and dignitaries in the main compilations of Roman imperial laws, Attachment 2,(4) at: https://www.notitiadignitatum.org/
234 The symbol $£$ is used for the Latin libra ("pound" - which was approximately 0.74 of the current weight denoted by that term).
235 Cod.Theod.7.17.1(412): Impp. Honorius et Theodosius aa. Constanti magistro militum per Thracias. || [.] in Mysiaco limite, [.] in Scythico [.] si memoratus Lusoriarum numerus cum omni suo instrumento non fuerit apparatus, dux quidem, cuius tempore dispositio fuerit ista neglecta, triginta librarum auri, officium vero eius quinquaginta procul dubio multabitur, etiam sublimitatis tuae officio quinquaginta libras auri condemnationi subiciendo, si non per singulos annos aut completum numerum aut certe neglegentia praetermissum magisteriae potestati suggesserit. [.].
236 Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492): Imp. Anastasius A. Iohanni magistro militum praesent. \{alium Cuiacus\} || §pr. [.] necessarium esse perspeximus dicatissimos milites, qui de diversis praesentalibus numeris per Orientis partes noscuntur consistere, virorum spectabilium ducum iussionibus oboedire, [.]: ita videlicet, ut etiam agentibus causas tam criminaliter quam civiliter praefati milites iam non apud magnificam magisteriam per orientem potestatem vel ex sententiis seu praeceptionibus eius, sed per interlocutiones seu dispositiones tam excelsae tuae sedis, sub cuius iurisdictione consistunt, quam eorundem ducum respondeant.
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who tried the cases in his court, assisted by an ad responsum (adjutant) sent from the officium of the MMpraes. The current law states (i) that the milites need no longer obey any summons by the MaPper orientem; (ii) that the delegated jurisdiction over these milites is being transferred from the MaPper orientem to the dux to whose command the soldier had been allocated, and (iii) that the ad responsum previously sent to the MaPper orientem was now to be sent to assist in the court of the dux. Finally, the law ambiguously asserts that the changes made by these regulations (dispositiones) do not diminish the potestas of the MaPper orientem because the duces remain subordinate to that potestas. 237 This law indicates the superior authority or jurisdiction of the central MMpraes. over the duces, in comparison with that of the regional MaPper orientem, and implies that the rank of the latter is inferior to that of the central MMpraes.
$\S[9 \mathrm{~d}]$ The officia associated with the eastern $\mathbf{M}$ -
The officium (secretariat) list in each of the five eastern agency lists Cnd.9, 12, 15, 18, 21 was preceded by two rubricated items.
The first of these items is represented as follows:

- Cnd.9.42: Officium autem suprascriptae magisteriae in praesenti potestatis in numeris militat \& in officio deputatur.
- Cnd.13.44: Officium autem suprascriptae magisteriae in praesenti potestatis cardinale habetur.
- Cnd.15.36: Officium suprascriptae magisteriae per orientem potestatis cardinale habetur.
§1. Eo scilicet observando, ut ad responsum, qui de officio tuae sublimitatis huc usque ad praedictam magisteriam per orientem potestatem moris erat destinari, viris spectabilibus ducibus inhaesurus mittatur tam per se quam per adiutores suos eosdem iudices observare et iussiones eorum implere curaturus: licentia ei non deneganda, etiam ad responsum, qui de officio alterius viri excelsi magistri militum praesentalis pro hac nostra dispositione destinatur, in locis, in quibus apparitionis tuae sublimitatis ad responsum non contigerit reperiri, suam sollicitudinem pro emergentibus maxime causis peragere. [.]
§1a. Cuius etiam illi qui de altero officio mittendus est praebemus facultatem, ut non tantum per adiutores suos, id est ad responsum, sed etiam invicem se adiuvando nec publicis nec privatis causis vel exsecutionibus abesse videantur.
$\S 1 b$. Ad singulos etenim duces ad responsum de apparitionibus vestris non prospeximus oportere destinari, ne per multitudinem eorum [.] damna contra milites nostros augeri contingat.
§4. [.] si quando ad eosdem duces milites fuerint arcessiti vel de locis in quibus constituti sunt movere praecepti, [.] ita scilicet, ut pro militibus inspiciendis, quandocumque voluerint viri spectabiles duces etiam praesentales devotissimos milites adhibere, non immodicam multitudinem eorum tempore pacis convocare procurent. [.]
§6. Quoniam vero comperimus quosdam temerario atque iniquo proposito anteriore tempore certos e memoratis militibus tam in iudicio sublimitatis tuae quam apud excelsam magisteriam per orientem potestatem in accusationem deduxisse [.], ne postea [.] confusio generetur, nemini licere apud sedem magnitudinis tuae accusatione contra militem seu milites praesentales deposita conventioneque oblata eundem vel eosdem milites criminaliter seu civiliter per iussionem virorum spectabilium ducum inquietare, [.].
§6a. Idemque versa vice observari, ut, si quidam miles seu milites praesentales iussione viri spectabilis ducis incusati ac moniti fuerint, licentia denegetur agenti postulationem seu accusationem in iudicio tuae sublimitatis contra eundem militem vel eosdem milites deponere.
$\S 6 \mathrm{~b}$. Nec si eundem vel eosdem tam criminaliter quam civiliter obnoxios esse firmaverit, facultatem eidem agenti superesse separatis intentionibus suis pro criminalibus quidem iudicium tuae celsitudinis, pro civilibus autem viri spectabilis ducis seu versa vice occupare. [.]
§8. Dispositiones autem ante latas non ad imminuendam potestatem magisteriae per orientem administrationis, sed pro tuitione locorum ac securitate publica noscimur praestitisse, cum non dubium sit ipsos etiam duces, quibus fortissimi praesentales milites parare praecepti sunt, sub eadem excelsa potestate esse constitutos.
237 Reminiscent of similar ambiguous statements, as in Cod.Iust.12.59.8(c.462): magistris militum [.] potestate [.] reservata (see note 285) or, as perhaps one of the best examples, Cod.Theod.1.6.5(368) referring to the competing jurisdictions of the praefectus urbis and praefectus annonae.
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- Cnd.18.32: Officium autem magisteriae per thracias potestatis in numeris militum <!> \& in officio deputatur.
- Cnd.21.33: Officium autem magisteriae per illyricum potestatis in numeris militat \& in officio deputatur.

The second item, which was the same in all five agency lists, was:

- Cnd.9.43, 13.45, 15.37, 18.33, 21.34: Habet autem dignitates infrascriptas.

The first of these two items divided the five officium lists into two groups:

- agency lists Cnd.13, 15 each with an officium cardinale
- agency lists Cnd.9, 18, 21 each with an officium that in numeris militat \& in officio deputatur .

These two groups of officia, and the service positions in each, in the order in which these positions were listed, are as follows:

Table 7

| Cnd.15.38-44 | Cnd 12.46-51 | Cnd.9.44-49 | Cnd.18.34-39 | Cnd.21.35-40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MM per orientem | MM praesentalis | MM praesentalis | MM per thracias | MM per illyricum |
| officium cardinale |  | officium in numeris militat \& in officio deputatur |  |  |
| Princeps | Principes duos | Princeps | Princeps | Princeps |
| Numerarii duo | Numerarii duo | Numerarii duo | Numerarii duo | Numerarii duo |
| Commentariensis | Commentariensis | Commentariensis | Commentariensis | Commentariensis |
| Adiutor |  |  |  |  |
|  | Primiscrinii qui numerarii fiunt | Primiscrinii qui numerarii fiunt | Primiscrinii qui numerarii fiunt | Primiscrinii qui numerarii fiunt |
| Scriniarii | Scriniarii | Scriniarii | Scriniarii | Scriniarii |
| Mensores |  |  |  |  |
| Exceptores et ceteri apparitores | Exceptores et ceteri apparitores | Exceptores et ceteri apparitores | Exceptores et ceteri apparitores | Exceptores et ceteri apparitores |

princeps: chief officer of the officium; numerarius: chief accountant; commentariensis: custodian \& judicial officer; adiutor: assistant; primiscrinius: chief officer of the (finance) bureau; scriniarius: officer of the (finance) bureau; exceptor: secretary (incl. shorthand writer); apparitor: ${ }^{238}$ subordinate officer of the officium.
The name apparitio is used in some laws as a synonym for officium ${ }^{239}$ so that the secretariat of an agency and its officers were referred to as either apparitores or officiales. The word apparitio did not exist in the Cnd, but apparitor existed in the officia associated with agencies directed by the $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in both the eastern and western lists, and once in that of the proconsul achaiae. But in all other secretariat lists that contained a collective word denoting its personnel, the word officialis was used. In the tituli in both Cod.Theod. and especially Cod.Iust., the older word apparitor was preferred over the more recent officialis.

## §[9d1] officium cardinale

The exact meaning of the adjective cardinalis, in reference to an officium, is unknown. The only use of that adjective in reference to an official position exists in the copy of a text that was written in c. 540 at the Ostrogothic court in Ravenna by (yet again) the senator Cassiodorus. ${ }^{240}$ Among the formulae (pro-

238 A general term for subordinate officers, mostly clerical, in any civil or military agency: for example, Cod.Theod.8.7.19(397): Post alia: cuiuslibet iudicis apparitores, inter quos etiam praefectianos locamus, ad quamcumque aliam militiam vel palatinam vel militarem ambiendo transierint, retrahendi hos copiam illis, quos deseruere, tribuimus, ita ut vilissima quaelibet officia ac mancipatus quoque, si modo ab his cui nati sunt ordo exegerit, administrent. §1. In quorum idem servandum, quod in curialium, successione decernimus, ut etiam si patres haec fugerint, teneatur agnatio. Et cetera.

239 For example, Cod.Theod.1.10.4(391): [.] non per officium palatinum, sed per apparitionem sedis urbanae [.].
240 See note 136 (praesentalis) and note 216 (pars equitum et peditum). Cassiodorus was also the only writer in Latin to refer to the position regendarius (Variae, 11.29, de regendario: Mommsen, 1894 <see note $136>$, p.347) that is not mentioned in the laws and is an alternative form of regerendarius that occurs only in texts derived from the Cnd. Apart from the reference to it by Cassiodorus, the position regendarius is mentioned in a transliterated Greek form only in copies of a composition by Ioannes Philadelpheus Lydos (at 3.4.21: Bandy, A.C., Ioannes Lydus, On Powers or The Magistracies of the Roman State, Philadelphia, American Philosophical Society, 1983, pp.166-167).
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forma letters of appointments to positions) that he drafted, one referred to the position of a princeps in Roma. ${ }^{241}$ This formula principatus states that the officium comitiacum (the secretariat of royal agents at the court, directed by the magister officiorum in Ravenna) should be represented in Roma, but that the princeps of that officium needs to remain at Ravenna and, therefore, that a vicarius (deputy) of the princeps is to be sent to Roma. The princeps at Ravenna is referred to in the formula as the princeps cardinalis, who is distinguished from the princeps who is being sent to Roma as his deputy (vicarius). In this context, the adjective cardinalis distinguished a princeps from a deputy (vicarius) representing his position elsewhere.
This single example of the use of the adjective cardinalis in the copy of an official text does not clarify its use in the Cnd to describe both a central officium (Cnd.12) and a regional one (Cnd.15).

## \&[9d2] officium in numeris militat \& in officio deputatur

In the laws, the term numerus is used with at least three different meanings when referring to army units: namely, ${ }^{242}$
a any military unit (e.g. numerus militum; numerus limitaneorum); or
b any comitatenses unit, including palatini (e.g. numeri comitatenses distinguished from pseudo comitatenses); or
c any infantry unit in the comitatenses (e.g. numeri distinguished from vexillationes and scholae).
Also in the laws, the verb deputo (-are) most consistently means "to assign" something or someone for some purpose, ${ }^{243}$ including the assignment of a person to a position or to an officium. ${ }^{244}$ Where it had the latter meaning, the term deputatus sometimes referred to an officer who, being a member of a particular unit or agency, was transferred temporarily to a position in another unit or agency.
The statement officium in numeris militat \& in officio deputatur means, therefore, an officium that serves in army (comitatenses) units (officium in numeris militat) from which "it is assigned as (to be) the officium" (\& in officio deputatur). In other words, "the officium is staffed by serving soldiers assigned to it from army units".
Such an officium, staffed by soldiers assigned from army units would have been inconsistent with the status, the personnel and the responsibility of the officium of an agency as described in the laws.

241 Variae 7.31: Formula principatus in urbe roma: §1: Cum in urbe Roma plurima fieri censeamus et necesse sit partem ibi esse comitiaci officii, ut utilitates publicae videantur impleri, more nostro prospeximus, ut, quia principem cardinalem obsequiis nostris deesse non patimur, tu eius locum vicarii nomine in urbe Roma sollemniter debeas continere, quatenus et ille primates sui laboribus perfruatur et tu in alterius honore possis discere, quod in tuo debeas feliciter exhibere. §2: Si quos etiam comitiacorum ad comitatum iudicaveris esse dirigendos consulens obsequio nostro, tuo subiacebit arbitrio. eos autem, quos retinendos putaveris, indulta securitate potientur, ita tamen, ut vicissim omnia modereris, quatenus nec excubantes continuus labor atterat nec segnes iterum rubigo otii fugienda consumat. (Mommsen, 1894 <see note 136>, p.218).
242 The following examples are derived from Attachment 6.
243 For example, Cod.Theod.6.4.13(361): deputari fabricis debet; Cod.Theod.4.13.7(374/5): civitatum deputetur expensis; Cod.Theod.6.30.12(390): qui palatinis intra aulam obsequiis deputandi sunt.
244 Cod.Theod.8.7.8(365): Praefecturae cornicularios, qui annis singulis ex numero deputatorum exeunt, post transactos corniculos nostram adorare purpuram volumus; Cod.Theod.8.7.13(372): numquam officiales iudicum in quolibet militum numero deputentur; Cod.Theod.8.4.18(394): Ex his, qui de numero comitianorum vel ex apparitione culminis vestri <praef.praet.> consulari officio deputati sunt, nullus ambiendi et transfugiendi in alterius militiae ordinem habeat facultatem; Cod.Theod.6.30.15(399): praecipimus [.] singulis scriniis vel rectoribus definitum numerum supernumerariorum deputari; Nov.Theod.7.4(441): itaque [.] quos obsequiis magistri militum deputatos [.] Per singula officia [.]. See also Cod.Theod.6.24.5(393) and Cod.Theod.7.1.17(398).

## §[9d3] Military characterisation of officia

There are relatively few laws that refer specifically to officia in agencies directed by a $\boldsymbol{M}$-. It must be emphasised, however, that those laws apply equally to all $M$-: that is, the laws make no distinctions between these officia or prescribe individual variations.

As noted in $\S[4 \mathrm{c}]$, the entire imperial service was referred to as the militia and its members as militantes and even officers in the civil service were sometimes referred to in terms that were normally reserved for military positions and units. For example, officiales (secretariat officers) in the agency directed by the praefectus praetorio were granted rights as if they were enrolled as soldiers serving in the legio I adiutrix, ${ }^{245}$ while in the officia of provincial governors, the officiales were generally referred to as cohortales or cohortalini, ${ }^{246}$ although they were distinguished from the cohortales in the military cohortes units. ${ }^{247}$ And a law, dated 365, represented by differing copies in Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust., states that all officers serving in the officium of an agency directed by a $\boldsymbol{M}$ - are identified as belonging to the ordo militaris (military order). ${ }^{248}$

The characterisation of the imperial service as a militia, and the classification of the secretariat officers of a $\boldsymbol{M}$ - as being within the ordo militaris applied equally to the agency and officium of every $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and do not, therefore, explain the description in numeris militat in three of the five eastern agency lists.

## $\S[9 \mathrm{c} 4]$ Size of officia directed by $\mathbf{M}$ -

Every officium list in the Cnd was an abridgement of, or an excerpt from, a more extensive pre-Cnd list of the service positions in the officium of a corresponding agency. Excerpts in the Cnd were indicated by the different levels of detail in eastern and western lists for comparable agencies, while abridgements were indicated by descriptions such as ceteri apparitores or reliqui officiales ("the remaining officers"). Consequently, the actual number of officers in the officium in each of the five agencies directed the eastern $\boldsymbol{M}$ - is not apparent from the officium list in the $C n d$. In those lists, the service positions from princeps to exceptores (inclusive) refer mostly to the names of only the main positions, while those of others were collectively described by the phrase ceteri apparitores (etc.).

A law dated 441 states that, in the officium of the $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$, the total number of officers who could avail themselves of the praescriptio fori ${ }^{249}$ (the right to nominate the court of the $\boldsymbol{M M}$ as the only one in which
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litigation by or against the officer could be settled judicially) was limited to $300,{ }^{250}$ and that all the other personnel (ceteri omnes), who were in excess of that number, could not claim this privilege. ${ }^{251}$
This law raises two issues in relation to the Cnd description of an officium [.] deputatur. Firstly, if an officium had been staffed by serving soldiers, they could not have been separated into two groups of which one was denied the privilege of the praescriptio fori. ${ }^{252}$ And, secondly, other laws indicate that the removal of at least 300 soldiers from combat duties to perform secretariat functions was not possible. Soldiers were not permitted to be absent from their units, especially during expeditions, ${ }^{253}$ and at other times, no more than 30 soldiers were permitted to be absent on leave simultaneously from any numerus. 254

## §[9d5] Soldiers and apparitores

A general distinction between soldiers and apparitores is evident from laws relating to a particular category of enlistment.
The sons of army veterans, and of soldiers, were obligated to serve in the army, ${ }^{255}$ and several laws responded to attempts to evade this obligation. ${ }^{256}$ But the sons of apparitores of any officium who were still in service, or had been dismissed, or died in wars, were required to serve in the positions occupied by their fathers. ${ }^{257}$ indicating that these sons were not soldiers (if they had been, they would have been obligated to serve in the army). And this is emphasised by the observation that those veterans' sons who attempted to avoid being recruited into the army by enlisting in civil or public officia were compulsorily

250 The 300 referred to in the law would be those officers who, in several other laws, were referred to as the statuti (statutory officers - that is, those comprising the prescribed number of officers authorised to be enlisted in an officium) who were distinguished from the supernumerarii (provisional statutory officers, usually listed on a separate matricula in the officium but graded similarly to the statuti). For the distinction between statuti and supernumerarii see, for example, Cod.Theod.6.30.17(399) and Cod.Theod.6.32.2(422). Supernumerarii differ from vacantes (honorary reserve officers) or honorarii (honorary officers). officia virorum inlustrium magistrorum militum trecentos excipi lege pridem promulgata decrevimus, quos nec in provincialibus nec in praetorianae vel urbicariae praefecturae iudicio sine permissu viri inlustris magistri militum litigare conveniet; ceteros omnes, qui supra praedictum sunt numerum quasi nec militantes et apud inlustrissimas praefecturas et apud viros clarissimos rectores provinciarum de quolibet negotio pulsari et conveniri et respondere sine cinguli praescriptione decernimus. §2. Probari autem adparitores magisteriae potestatis neque curiales neque cohortales neque censibus volumus adscriptos. [.], adapted in Cod.Iust.12.54.5(491-518). Similar provisions are contained in Cod.Iust.1.29.3(476-85): Zeno A. Sebastiano praefecto praetorio || Eos, qui ultra statutos in officio magisteriae per orientem potestatis militant, civilium quoque iudicum sententiis super quolibet negotio subiacere: ipsis quin etiam statutis in tributariis collationibus civilium quoque iudicum dispositionibus procul dubio parituris.
252 The final appellate jurisdiction over all soldiers in the numeri was with the $\boldsymbol{M}$ - both before and after 492, as indicated in Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492) - see note 236.

253 Cod.Iust.12.42.1(323); similarly, Cod.Theod.7.1.2(349/352).
254 Cod.Iust.12.37.16(491-518)§2-§4a
255 See note 30.
256 For example, Cod.Theod.7.1.5(364), Cod.Theod.7.22.8(372), Cod.Theod.7.22.10(380), Cod.Theod.7.13.10(381).
257 Cod.Iust.12.47.1(331): Imperator Constantinus. || Ii, qui ex officialibus quorumcumque officiorum geniti sunt, sive eorundem parentes adhuc sacramento tenentur sive iam dimissi erunt, in parentum militiam vocentur. (Cod.Theod.7.22.3: in parentum locum procedant.). The law in Cod.Iust. is one of only two laws under the titulus Cod.Iust.12.47(534): De filiis officialium militarium qui in bello moriuntur. The version in Cod,Theod. is one of 12 laws under the titulus: Cod.Theod.7.22(438): De filiis militarium apparitorum et veteranorum.
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enlisted in the army, ${ }^{258}$ especially in either comitatenses or ripenses units. ${ }^{259}$ Service in all civil officia was denied to sons of army veterans. ${ }^{260}$
The apparitores of each $d u x$ were civil officers whose enlistment in the officium required an imperial authorisation (probatoria) which, according to a law dated c.472, was prepared by, and issued from, the scrinium libellorum (imperial bureau of petitions) and, according to the same law, that scrinium also prepared and issued the probatoriae for enlistments in the officia of MMpraes.J, MMorientis, MMIllyrici. ${ }^{261}$ By contrast, probatoriae authorising enlistment for service in military units had been issued by both $\boldsymbol{M}$ and duces until c. 474 when their right to do so was withdrawn. ${ }^{262}$

There is, incidentally, no evidence in any law that service in the officium of a $\boldsymbol{M}$ - was available as an alternative employment for soldiers who had been rendered incapable of combat service owing to age, injuries or other physical limitations. Obligated recruits who were unable to enlist for reasons related to health or stature were mostly required to undertake compulsory public service as decuriones, but were occasionally freed from such obligations. ${ }^{263}$ Any prospective recruits who mutilated themselves to be incapable for service were, nevertheless, enlisted in the army. ${ }^{264}$
There were also differences between the status of apparitores in the officium of a $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and that of soldiers in army units.
-a a law states that, while the matriculae (classified rolls) on which the names of the apparitores were registered were military rolls (matriculae militares), the apparitores did not have the testamentary rights of serving soldiers according to the ius militare. ${ }^{265}$
b a law determines that the cohortales and the officiales of the MEP (plural) cannot "adore the imperial purple" ${ }^{266}$ unless they have served "under arms" and have been present on "all expeditions": ${ }^{267}$ that is, had accompanied the $\boldsymbol{M}$ - on campaigns (officia or senior personnel accompanied a civil or military

Cod.Theod.7.22.8(372): Imppp. Valentinianus, Valens et Gratianus aaa. ad Probum praefectum praetorio. || Filios veteranorum, qui armatae militiae paruissent, si in officiis publicis vel ministerio chartularum atque observatione rationum inveniuntur, sciat tua sinceritas esse revocandos. Etenim hi, quibus vel corporis robur vel statura defuerit, qui comitatensi digni possint esse militia, ripensi poterunt copulari.

Cod.Theod.7.22.12(398): Impp. Arcadius et Honorius aa. Stilichoni comiti et magistro utriusque militiae. || Quoniam filii veteranorum ad diversa officia se contulerunt, hac sanctione praecipimus, ut ab his, qui nunc sunt in officiis occupati, nulli iuniores repetantur, ac deinceps post hoc edictum aditum veteranorum filiis militandi per civilia officia esse praeclusum. See also Cod.Theod.7.22.6(349).
od.fust.6.21.16(496): Anastasius A. Hierio praefecto praetorio Scriniarios vel apparitores, qui virorum magnificorum magistrorum militum iussionibus vel actibus obtemperant, etsi nomina eorum matriculis militaribus referri videantur, nullatenus in ultimis a se conficiendis voluntatibus iuris militaris habere facultatem decernimus.

266 Adorare purpuram (nostram): referring to a ceremony often associated with the conferral of a dignity, honour or privilege by the emperor and sometimes marked the completion of a prescribed period of employment in the imperial service.
267 Cod.Theod.8.7.4(353/4): Idem a. ad viros clarissimos praefectos praetorio. || Post alia: de cohortalibus adque magistrorum equitum et peditum officialibus iubemus, ut minime de cetero ad adorandam purpuram nostram veniant, nisi si sub armis militiam toleraverint et omnibus expeditionibus adfuerint.
© Ingo G. Maier (Melbourne, Australia) || Draft posted: 14.Nov.2019, revised: 14.Dec. 2021 || https://www.notitiadignitatum.org/ 59
agency director on his official tours in his domain). Such a prescription would have been unnecessary if the officium had been staffed by soldiers.
c the privileges earned by serving soldiers exceeded those of officers serving in officia. ${ }^{268}$
The laws referring to the officium of a $\boldsymbol{M}$ - provide no evidence for the existence of any officium that was staffed by serving soldiers assigned to it from army units. Consequently, while the meaning of the description officium [.] deputatur is clear, the descriptions refers to an officium about which no law contains any regulations. And this is because such an officium would have been inconsistent with the laws concerning the status and the personnel and the responsibility of an ordinary or regular officium in the imperial service.

## §[9d6] Status and duties of the secretariat

Every officium existed to assist and to advise the director of an agency, whether civil or military, in fulfilling his duty to implement legally all government policies and any imperial instructions (mandata), through the agency that was under his delegated jurisdiction. The ultimate responsibility of the officium was to the emperor, not to the director, and, to that extent, the officium was independent of the latter.
Once established, an agency was a permanent or continuing entity until it was abolished. The officium of the agency was staffed by serving officers who held progressional career positions in which advancement was by promotion (based on seniority and performance) through a series of positions of increasing rank. And employment, from enlistment until retirement, was mostly within the same officium. In contrast to the staff of the officium, the director did not reach his position by a statutory progression through a series of positions. Instead, he was appointed by the emperor and his tenure was entirely at the discretion of the latter and often brief.

The director was responsible and accountable to the emperor for the management of the agency; but he was jointly responsible, with the agency officium, for the implementation of laws, imperial instructions and policy. This joint responsibility is exemplified by the many laws indicating that the director and officium were both subject to penalties for transgressions against those laws.
For example, as mentioned in $\S[9 \mathrm{c}]$, a law prescribed a penalty of $30 £$ gold for a $d u x$ and $50 £$ gold for his officium if defence improvements were not met, and $50 £$ gold for the officium of the regional $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ if any failure was not reported to the central $\boldsymbol{M}$-. Another law informs the $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ that both the soldiers and the officium will incur a penalty of $12 £$ gold if meadows at Apamea are again mutilated by animals used by the army. In most such laws, the penalty for the officium was greater than that for the director. In almost all instances, the penalty was imposed on the entire officium, but sometimes, also additionally, on its senior officers. ${ }^{269}$

The penalty against the officium was greater because of the dual responsibility imposed on it by the central government, in addition to its administrative (judicial, financial and clerical) tasks. The first responsibility of an officium was to provide legal advice to the director regarding his duties; ${ }^{270}$ and the second was not only to contradict the director in any attempt by him to deviate from instructions and policies, but also to actively resist any unlawful instruction that he issued. ${ }^{271}$

For example, one law prohibited $\boldsymbol{M M}$ (plural) from extorting limitanei or foederati units and threatened, with a four-fold penalty, any apparitores who carried out an order to do so. ${ }^{272}$ Another law which denied the $\boldsymbol{M M}$ the authority to issue evectiones (travel permits) threatened his officium with a severe penalty if

[^14]he continued to do so. ${ }^{273}$ A law denying any $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ the right to issue probatoriae to enlist soldiers in any numerus equitum vel peditum, or soldiers on any limes, prescribes a penalty of $100 £$ gold for any violation by the officium. ${ }^{274}$
The responsibility of the officium did not end when the agency director was replaced by his successor. A director of civil or military regional agency, including a comes rei militaris or dux, was required to remain, for 50 days after his successor had arrived, in the region that he had administered, so that any complaints could be investigated, and if the agency officium failed to prevent the departure of its former director, it was subject to a penalty of $30 £$ gold. ${ }^{275}$
Central to the joint responsibility of the director and the agency officium was the princeps (chief officer). Every administrative act of the officium required the written approval (adnotatio) of the princeps; ${ }^{276}$ no apparitor was to perform any service, for anyone, without an order from the princeps; ${ }^{277}$ no person was to be produced in any court without the authorisation of the princeps, ${ }^{278}$ and no court order was to be acted upon without his knowledge. ${ }^{279}$ While an approval to be enlisted in any officium, whether civil or military, required a documentary imperial authorisation (probatoria), prepared in one of the imperial bureaus, ${ }^{280}$ the employment and dismissal of officers in the officium was controlled by the princeps through his management of the classified roll (matricula) of the agency personnel. ${ }^{281}$
The relationship between the agency director and the princeps, both jointly responsible for the implementation of imperial policy, was not dissimilar to the relationship existing currently in parliamentary systems, in which a minister ('director') implements policy through a ministry ('agency'), comprising a domain - such as defence - and a department ('secretariat') with its permanent secretary ('chief of the secretariat').

The importance of the princeps was based not only on his position as the chief officer of the officium, which was responsible for ensuring that the actions of the agency director were not inconsistent with laws, imperial instructions and policy, but also on his position as the representative of the central government in its surveillance of the actions of the agency director.
In the eastern part, the surveillance by the central administration came increasingly under the control of the magister officiorum (master of court services \& imperial agents). This director controlled, in his agency and under his jurisdiction, the schola agentum in rebus (corps of imperial agents) from among whose officers the princeps of the officium in most eastern civil and military agencies was appointed. Even in the officium of the praefectus praetorio (the highest ranked position in the imperial service), the

[^15]princeps was appointed from the schola agentum in rebus, ${ }^{282}$ and there is no evidence to indicate that the same form of appointment did not apply in the officium of a $M$-. And to ensure that such appointments were not compromised, or open to collusion, any officer retiring from an officium was forbidden from enrolling in the schola agentum in rebus to ensure that he was subsequently not able to be appointed from the schola to be the princeps of the officium from which he had retired. A law dated 443 exemplifies this provision in relation to the officium of any dux. ${ }^{283}$
In the same year, 443, the surveillance by the magister officiorum over the activities of any regional $\boldsymbol{M}$ was further increased when he was given the responsibility for inspecting the frontier defences and their military units, and for providing an annual report of inspections to the emperor. ${ }^{284}$ In c. 468 an ambiguous law states that duces, their apparitores (secretariat officers), the limitanei, and the praepositi (commanders) of castra (camps or forts) were all to be under the jurisdiction of the magister officiorum, provided that the powers previously exercised by the magistri militum on the frontiers of oriens, thraciae and illyricum were maintained. ${ }^{285}$ And finally, in 529 , it was prescribed that any appeal against a decision of any director of a military agency, including appeals against decisions by a $\boldsymbol{M}$-, were to be heard jointly by the praefectus praetorio and quaestor sacri palatii (chief legal officer at court), whose decisions were final. ${ }^{286}$
It appears that surveillance of the activities of military comites and of duces was exercised, in the first instance, by the central $\boldsymbol{M}$-. In the eastern part of the Roman state, this is exemplified in the law dated 412, mentioned above in $\S[9 \mathrm{c}]$, which required the officium of the $d u x$ to report to that of the $\boldsymbol{M M}$-per thracias which was to report to that of the central MaP. ${ }^{287}$ A similar system of surveillance operated in the western part of the Roman state, at least by the end of the 4thC. This is indicated in a law dated 398

[^16]which ordered that, the princeps and the numerarii (accountants) of the comes africae are to be appointed from the officium of the MaP (meaning the cnetral $\boldsymbol{M}$-) in the same way that they are being appointed the the officia of the other comites and duces. ${ }^{288}$
It will be apparent that the description officium [.] deputatur in the Cnd was inconsistent with the laws concerning the semi-independent status, the personnel and the responsibilities of officia in the imperial service. An officium staffed by soldiers assigned to it from army units, would have operated under a military command and discipline structure that would have made it impossible for the officium to meet its responsibilities of advising the agency director and, if necessary, of resisting any delinquency by him. And such an officium could not have been jointly responsible, with the director of the agency, for the implementation of government policies and imperial instructions.

In summary, the observations about the officia associated in the Cnd with five eastern $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and described as officium cardinale (Cnd.12.44, 15.36) and officium in numeris militat \& in officio deputatur (Cnd.9.42, 18.32, 21.33) include the following:
a The two descriptions officium cardinale and officium [.] deputatur exist only in texts derived from the Cnd.
b It is unknown whether the two descriptions existed in the earliest pre-Cnd lists that were represented by the 5 eastern officia lists in the Cnd or whether such descriptions were annotations that were added or interpolated (perhaps as a marginal or interlinear note) in a later pre-Cnd text during the transmission of that text.
c The reason for division of the five officia into two groups, identified by the two descriptions, is not apparent from the minor differences in the service positions named in the five officia lists.
The positions in the three officia described as officium [.] deputatur were identical, and these positions differed from the remaining two described as officium cardinale only to the extent that the one in Cnd. 12 had two principes instead of one in all the others, while Cnd. 15 listed both an adiutor and mensores instead of primiscrinii [.] numerarii in the others.
d The five $\boldsymbol{M}$ - were listed in rank order of their positions in both the precedence list Cnd. $1 / 2$ and in the sequence in which the agencies associated with them were arranged in the Cnd.
The use of cardinalis was, therefore, unrelated to the ranks of the five $\boldsymbol{M}$ - since the two $\boldsymbol{M}$ - associated with an officium cardinale were listed second and third among the five.
e The meaning of the description officium cardinale, and the reason for its existence in the Cnd, are not known. The purpose of this description was to express some difference between an officium cardinale and an officium [.] deputatur.
f The use of cardinalis in relation to the two officia (Cnd.12.44, 15.36) is not clarified by texts from the 6 thC. As mentioned above, Cassiodorus distinguished the princeps cardinalis from his vicarius (deputy or substitute), while Isidorus suggested the general meaning of "principal, chief, fundamental, important (of prime importance)". 289
$g$ Laws relating to the officium of an agency directed by a $\boldsymbol{M}$ - applied equally to all $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and these laws either state or imply that these officia were staffed by civil officers, not by serving soldiers assigned from army units;
h An officium [.] deputatur is not regulated in, and is incompatible with, the laws referring to officia because an officium that was staffed as described in the Cnd could not have met the prescribed responsibilities of officia to advise and, if necessary, to resist, the agency director.
Consequently, there are two alternatives:
either
1 the description officium [.] deputatur represented in the lists Cnd.9, 18, 21 was an accurate representation of an officium that actually existed during the period 344-534 and, therefore, the agencies containing such an officium existed temporarily, and possibly intermittently, as did the appointments of $\boldsymbol{M}$ - to direct those agencies;

288 Cod.Theod.1.7.3(398) - see note 377 and Attachment 11.
289 A copy of the Etymologiarum sive originum libri XX compiled by Isidorus Hispalensis (560-636), uses the adjective cardinalis once. A description of the names of winds includes the statement (13.11.14): Ex omnibus autem ventis duo cardinales sunt: Septentrio et Auster.
or
2 the agencies represented in the lists Cnd.9, 18, 21 were permanent and continuing ones within the imperial service, as were the appointments of $\boldsymbol{M}$ - to direct those agencies and, therefore, the description officium [.] deputatur in those lists was an inaccurate representation of an officium within the service during the period 344-534.

## §[9d7] Temporary officia?

Some people have speculated that each of the three officia described as an officium [.] deputatur was, or could have been, a temporary or short-term officium in which the administrative tasks that were associated with the duties of a $\boldsymbol{M}$ - were performed by soldiers in the units under his immediate command.

Two types of temporary officia could be imagined: a provisional officium that perhaps existed between the creation of an agency and the enlistment of an ordinary or regular officium; or, alternatively, an extraordinary officium that assisted an extraordinary $\boldsymbol{M}$ - (as described in $\S[4 \mathrm{f}]$ ).
In regard to the first alternative, no law attests the existence of such a provisional officium. Three laws refer to the creation of agencies. The first law, which mentions the creation of the agency directed by the MMper armeniam [etc], refers to the military units allocated to the new agency, and to the name of the agency that it replaces, but not to any officium. ${ }^{290}$ The other two laws, which established the agencies of the praefectus praetorio, and of the duces in the reconquered diocese and provinces in Africa in 534, contain details of their officia, including the names of positions and their allowances, but no indication that the stated arrangements were provisional. ${ }^{291}$
In relation to the second alternative, the laws that mention the appointment or the operations of an extraordinary $M$ - (temporary, reserve or substitute) make no reference to an associated officium. But while the laws do not mention such officia, some form of these must have existed to assist an extraordinary $\boldsymbol{M}$ - with the administrative tasks (judicial, financial and clerical) that were necessarily associated with the command, provisioning and discipline of expeditionary army units. And it is probable that those tasks were performed by soldiers in the units under the immediate command of the $\boldsymbol{M}$ - (as had been the situation with the staff of imperial provincial governors before the reforms of Diocletianus). It may be conjectured that the personnel of such officia were not mentioned in the laws because they were not recognised as permanent officia within permanent agencies.

Any speculations about the officia in the five eastern agencies directed by $\boldsymbol{M}$ - would consider what is known about those five positions $M$-. The Cnd related two of these positions to an officium cardinale (one central M-Cnd. 12 MMpraes. and one regional M-Cnd. 15 MMper orientem) and the other three to an officium [.] deputatur (one central M- Cnd. 9 MMpraes. and two regional M-Cnd. 18 MMper thracias and Cnd. 21 $\boldsymbol{M M}$ per illyricum). In the following comments, the two central and three regional positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - are considered separately.
Some observations can be made about the regional positions MMper orientem MMper thracias and MMper illyricum with an officium [.] deputatur) in comparison the position MMper orientem with an officium cardinale).
a A law dated c. 468 transfers to the magister officiorum an unspecified amount of jurisdiction over duces, their apparitores and the praepositi limitanei \& castrorum (commanders of borders and forts), provided that the traditional or customary power that magistri militum have long held in relation to the limites of oriens, thraciae and illyricum is retained. ${ }^{292}$ These three "border" regions correspond to the names of the agencies represented by the lists Cnd.15:oriens, Cnd.18:thraciae and Cnd.21:illyricum. And this law identifies these three areas, in c.468, as those in which $M$ - have traditionally exercised power, but any regional $\boldsymbol{M}$ - (whose positions the law does not name) exercised that power subject to the ultimate jurisdiction of the central $\boldsymbol{M}$ - over the military personnel within these three areas. ${ }^{293}$

290 Cod.Iust.1.29.5(528-530).
291 Cod.Iust.1.27.1(534) the position praefectus praetorio africae; Cod.Iust.1.27.2(534) the position named $\boldsymbol{M a P}$ (in $\S 17$ ) and $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ (in $\S 35$ ) - see note 111 .
292 Cod.Iust.12.59.8(c.468) - see note 285.
293 The ultimate jurisdiction of the central magistri over the border regions is exemplified in Cod.Theod.7.17.1(412) and Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492).
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b Between 347-534, there were at least 60 laws that were each addressed to a named officer serving in the position $\boldsymbol{M}$-. Of these 6 were addressed to $\boldsymbol{M M}$ per orientem but only one each to a MMper thracias and to a MMper illyricum. ${ }^{294}$
By comparison one letter each was also addressed to a MMper africam, to a MMdalmatiae, and to a MMper armeniam [etc] whose positions were not mentioned in the Cnd. 295
c Between 393-443, there were only 4 laws that were each addressed to two named $\boldsymbol{M}^{296}$ (no available laws are addressed to more than two named officers) and among these, the only one that includes designations with the names of the positions is addressed to MMpraes. and MMper orientem. And this general law, dated c. 443 , concerns the dignities that are to be awarded to the princeps and to the numerarii retiring from the officia of all $M$-.
d The officium of a MMper orientem is referred to explicitly in 6 laws, ${ }^{297}$ and implicitly in another law in connection with his court. ${ }^{298}$
By comparison, the officium of a MMper thracias is mentioned only in a law dated 412, ${ }^{299}$ (which prescribes an ascending sequence of accountability and reporting from the officium of a dux to the officium of the MMper thracias to the officium of the central MaP) and the officium of a MMper illyricum is mentioned only in a law dated c.472, ${ }^{300}$ (which refers to the officium of at least one MMpraes. and that of a MMper illyricum but not that of a MMper thracias.).
e The only law addressed to a MMper illyricum prohibits any relocation of military units from existing locations without imperial authorisation and, if permission is granted, requires involvement of the praefectus praetorio (whose many responsibilities included rations or pay and allowances within the imperial service, and the costs associated with troop movements). ${ }^{301}$
f The name MaP, which was mostly used to refer to the central $\boldsymbol{M}$-, is also attested referring to the MMper orientem but not to either the MMper thracias or MMper illyricum. ${ }^{302}$
g The inscriptions refer to a MMper orientem in 506 and an ex-MMper thracia(-s?|-m? $)^{303}$, in 525.304
These observations do not prove, but would not be inconsistent with the possibility, that in comparison with a permanent position MMper orientem, with an officium cardinale, the positions MMper thracias and MMper illyricum, each with an officium [.] deputatur, were temporary positions to which appointments were made intermittently (perhaps resulting from the fluctuating Roman control of the Danubian border).
The pre-Cnd lists that were represented by the domain lists Cnd.18, 21 need not have been in agency lists associated with the positions MMper thracias and MMper illyricum. Those pre-Cnd lists may have been just regional lists of military units deployed in the dioceses Thraciae and Illyricum. There was no necessary connection between official lists of regional comitatenses units (like those represented by the domain

294 Oriens: Cod.Theod.16.8.9(393), Cod.Theod.12.1.175(412), Cod.Theod.1.7.4(414), Cod.Theod.8.1.15(415), Cod. Theod.7.4.36(424), Cod.Iust.1.27.2(534); Thraciae: Cod.Theod.7.17.1(412); Illyricum: Cod.Iust.1.29.4(491-518).

Addressed to two named $\boldsymbol{M}$ - not identified by designations: Cod.Theod.7.4.18+7.9.3(412) - see notes 85 and 2251; Cod.Theod.1.8.1(415) - see note 224; Nov.Theod. 7.4(415); - see notes 112 and 210. Addressed to two named $\boldsymbol{M}$ - with identified designations: Cod.Iust.12.54.4(c.443) - see notes 129 and 224. 12.59.10(c.472), Cod.Iust. 1.29.3(476-85).

Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492). Cod.Theod.7.17.1(412) - see note 235. Cod.Iust.12.59.10(c.472) - see note 167. Cod.Iust.1.29.4(491-518). Cod.Iust.1.29.3(476-85), Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492). ex-MM: that is, either a retired officer or honorary officer. See Attachment 3 \#165 and 169.
lists in $C n d .15,18,21$ ) and either ordinary or extraordinary regional positions $\boldsymbol{M}$-: that is, the units listed in the Cnd as being in the dioceses Thraciae and Illyricum did not exist in such official lists either only because, or only when, there was a serving MMper thracias or MMper illyricum. It was observed from the laws mentioned in $\S[3 \mathrm{a}]$ that regional units, comprising mainly both comitatenses and limitanei soldiers, were under the immediate command of both comites and duces and these were subject to a regional $\mathbf{M}$ whenever one was appointed. The military units in a region were, therefore, listed either in association with comites and duces, or with an $M$-, as exemplified in the Cnd in its western lists where most of the regional comitatenses units were named in lists associated with the position of a regional $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and the positions of comites rei militaris, as mentioned in $\S[12 \mathrm{a}]$.

## $\S[10]$ The two eastern central positions M-

In the preceding section $\S[9 \mathrm{~d} 7]$ it was suggested that the evidence in the laws referring to the regional MMper orientem (Cnd. 15 directing an officium cardinale) and to the two regional MMper thracias and MMper illyricum (Cnd. 18 and Cnd. 21 each directing an officium [.] deputatur) would not be inconsistent with the possibility that the positions MMper thracias and MMper illyricum were temporary positions to which appointments were made intermittently.
A similar conclusion could be suggested in relation to the central MMpraes. (Cnd. 9 directing an officium [.] deputatur) when compared with the other central MMpraes. (Cnd. 12 directing an officium cardinale) on the basis of the following observations from both from the laws and the Cnd.

## §[10a] The laws

During the period 344-534, there were 36 eastern laws each addressed to a named officer serving in the position $\boldsymbol{M}$-. Of these laws, 6 were addressed to $\boldsymbol{M M}$-per orientem but only 1 each to a MMper thracias, a MMper illyricum, a MMper africam, a MMdalmatiae, and a MMper armeniam [etc]. During that period it is probable that there was, at all times, at least one central MMpraes., and that this officer was the recipient of most laws that were addressed to a single $M$ - whose position was not identified with either a central or regional designation.
During the same period, 344-534, among the laws addressed to named officers, only two included the central designation -praes. One of these, dated c.443, is addressed jointly to Appolonius, magister militum praesentalis (MMpraes.) and Anatolius, magister militum per orientem (MMper orientem.); ${ }^{305}$ the other law, dated 492, was addressed to Ioannes, magister militum praesentalium (MMpraes.). ${ }^{306}$ No law is addressed jointly to two or more $\boldsymbol{M}$-serving simultaneously in two or more central positions.

In the law addressed to the MMpraes. Iohannes in 492, the immediate jurisdiction over the milites in the numeri praesentales that were stationed in eastern regions is transferred from the MMper orientem to the duces in those regions. ${ }^{307}$ It advises Iohannes that an ad responsum (adjutant) and an adiutor (assistant) are to be sent from his officium (de officio tuae sublimitatis) to assist duces in their adjudication of cases. And it adds that if no ad responsum is available from the apparitio (i.e. officium) of Iohannes, one may be sent from the officium of "another" or "the other" MMpraes. (de officio alterius viri excelsi magistri militum praesentalis). ${ }^{308}$
This reference to a second central $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ and his officium, ${ }^{309}$ is not without problems:
a alterius is the possessive form of the adjective alter-a-um, which generally means "the other" (of two) but is used in the laws mostly to refer to "another" (among a particular category of things), ${ }^{310}$ so that

305 Cod.Iust.12.54.4(c.443) - see notes 129 and 225.
306 Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492) - see note 225.
307 Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492) - see note 236.
308 Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492)§1: [.] de officio alterius viri excelsi magistri militum praesentalis [.].
309 Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492)§1b: [.] ad responsum de apparitionibus vestris [.].
310 Cod.Iust.5.34.5(293): a praeside alterius provinciae; Cod.Theod.13.5.5(326): sive plebei seu potioris alterius dignitatis; Cod.Iust.3.13.4(331): aut comitis orientis vel alterius spectabilis iudicis imploret auxilium; Cod.Theod.8.4.18(394): transfugiendi in alterius militiae ordinem; Cod.Theod.11.24.4(399): cuiuslibet ille fuerit dignitatis; Cod.Theod.11.28.11(416): primipili vel cuiuslibet alterius tituli gratia;
it is not known whether the reference alterius magistri is to "of the other" (one of two), or "of another" (one of several) MMpraes. The assumption that the meaning must be "of the other" just because only two central positions were represented in the Cnd, is not only the beginning of a circular argument, but also an unwise assumption, since a law dated 535, which names the recipients to whom copies are being sent, identifies three MMpraes. ${ }^{311}$
b a second MM-praes. is not named in the address to the law, ${ }^{312}$ nor named as the recipient of a copy of it: ${ }^{313}$ that is, the law does not state that another central position actually existed at this time; the law simply authorises a contingency provision (qui de officio alterius viri excelsi magistri militum praesentalis [.] destinatur, in locis, in quibus apparitionis tuae sublimitatis ad responsum non contigerit reperiri);
c the law states that an ad responsum sent from "another" or "the other" central officium is to have the same rights as those of the ad responsum sent from the officium directed by the MMpraes. (Iohannes) to whom the law is addressed, suggesting that the second ad responsum did not, either initially or normally, have those rights (perhaps because his status was not that of a normal apparitor);
d the soldiers whose jurisdiction is being transferred by this law are identified as being under the ultimate jurisdiction of the agency directed by the MMpraes. (Iohannes) to whom the law is addressed; ${ }^{314}$
e in abolishing an existing process that allowed a soldier to be accused about the same matter simultaneously in several different courts, the law identifies these courts: once as the court of the MMper orientem, ${ }^{315}$ and four times as the court of the MMpraes. (Iohannes) to whom the law is addressed, 316 without referring to a court of any other MMpraes.

These observations from the laws do not support the assumption, based on the Cnd, that there were two, and only two, ordinary or permanent central positions MMpraes. in the eastern part of the Roman state.
§[10b] The two eastern central lists Cnd.9, 12
The contents of the two central lists Cnd. 9 and Cnd.12, had the format (domain $=>$ officium $=>$ evectiones) common to almost all agency lists among the eastern lists in the Cnd. But the representation of their contents as being those of two separate agency lists, raises some issues.
a In the precedence list Cnd. $1 / 2$ the service positions to which an illustris grade of dignity was attached were named in the rank order (highest to lowest) of those positions during most of the period before $534 .{ }^{317}$ Given the order in which the regional positions MMper orientem, MMper thracias, MMper illyricum were arranged in the Cnd, it is unexpected that the central list Cnd. 9 MMpraes. (with an officium [.] deputatur) preceded the central list Cnd. 12 MMpraes. (with an officium cardinale).

Cod.Theod.12.3.2(423): ut alterius fortunae vel honoris homines; Cod.Theod.8.1.17(433) alterius sacramenti privilegiis perfruantur; Cod.Theod.5.3.1(434): vel cuiuslibet alterius loci clericus; Cod.Iust.2.7.8(440): a cohortis vel alterius vilioris condicionis; Nov.Val.35(452): corporatus urbis Romae vel cuiuslibet urbis alterius; Cod.Iust.1.3.26(459): cuiuslibet alterius professionis; Cod.Iust.12.25.4(474): alterius iudicis minoris vel maioris; Cod.Iust.1.49.1(475): vel codicilli alterius administrationis; Cod.Iust.3.24.3(485-6): nullius alterius iudicis; Cod.Iust.12.29.3(484-91): alterius iudicis iurisdictioni.
311 According to Nov.Iust.22(535): epil. there were, at that time, three: Germanus, Zitta (Tzitta,Sittas), Maxentianus.

312 Unlike, for example, in Cod.Iust.12.54.4(c.443) addressed to Appolonius and Anatolius.
313 Unlike, for example, in Cod.Theod.1.81(415) which is addressed to Florentius, but is concluded with a note stating that a copy was sent to Sapricius (scripta eodem exemplo Sapricio magistro militum).
314 Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492)§pr: dispositiones [.] excelsae tuae sedis sub cuius iurisdictione <praefati milites> consistunt.

315 Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492)§6: apud excelsam magisteriam per orientem potestatem.
316 Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492)§6: in iudicio sublimitatis tuae and apud sedem maginitudinis tuae; §6a: in iudicio tuae sublimitatis; §6b: iudicium tuae celsitudinis.
317 With the exception that the position quaestor sacri palatii mostly preceded that of magister officiorum.
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b The names of the two central positions in the Cnd were indistinguishable; and they were the only two positions with that characteristic among the positions of agency directors in the eastern lists.
In the Cnd, whenever the category name of an agency director referred to more than one position (such as praefectus praetorio, magister equitum \& peditum, proconsul, vicarius, comes rei militaris, dux, consularis, corrector, praeses) the unique positions within the category were distinguished from each other by designations added to the category name (for example, praefectus praetorio orientis, praefectus praetorio illyrici). But there was no distinction between the position names of the two $\boldsymbol{M} M_{\text {praes. }}$ in any of the items in which the names were listed, as represented in this table:318

Table 8

| Precedence list Cnd.1/2 | 5 Magistri equitum \& peditum in praesenti duo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MM-praes. Cnd.7-9 * | MM-praes. Cnd.10-12 * |
| Picture caption |  | magister militum praesentalis | 10.a: magister militum praesentalis |
| Domain list heading |  | magister militum praesental(is) | 12.a magister militum praesentalis |
| Officium list heading | 9.42 | magisteria in praesenti potestas | 12.44 magisteria in praesenti potestas |
| Evectiones item | 9.50 | Magister(:) milit(:) in praesenti | 9.50 Magister(:) militum in praesenti |

* The names in this table are in the nominative form (case) whereas some in the Cnd were in the genitive.
(:) indicates that the word in the Cnd contained an abbreviation whose expansion is unknown.
c In the precedence list, item Cnd.1/2.5 was apparently Magistri equitum \& peditum in praesenti duo. This item is unusual, not only because of the unattested combination of magister in praesenti, ${ }^{319}$ but also because the plural magistri was ambiguous in its location.
The four items that existed in Cnd.1/2.5-8 ${ }^{320}$ are represented as follows:
Magistri equitum \& peditum in praesenti duo (\& OPLB et TVM; presenti OPT praesenti LBVM; duo OPTLVM II B)
Equitum \& peditum per orientem (\& OPBVM et TL)
Equitum \& peditum per thratias
(\& OPLVM et TB; thratias OPTVM thracias LB)
Equitum \& peditum per illyricum (\& OPVM et TLB; illyricum PTBVM ylliricum OL)
in which the first word, magistri, was intended either to precede each of the three following items:
Magistri equitum \& peditum in praesenti duo
<magistri> Equitum \& peditum per orientem
<magister> Equitum \& peditum per thratias
<magister> Equitum \& peditum per illyricum
or was intended to represent the singular magister in all four items: ${ }^{321}$
Magistri
<magister> equitum \& peditum in praesenti duo
<magister> Equitum \& peditum per orientem
<magister> Equitum \& peditum per thracias
<magister> Equitum \& peditum per illyricum
in which the singular magister would be incompatible with duo in the same item. Obviously, magister was to be understood in the last three items and would also have referred to the first item if the number (duo) had not been added. And when that number was added, the addition was inconsistent with use of such numbers elsewhere in this precedence list.
In this list, wherever an item comprised both the category name of a position and a number, that item was followed by the names of the individual positions denoted by that number: for example,
| Comites domesticorum duo | Equitum | Peditum |
$\mid$ Proconsules $i i \mid$ Asiae $\mid$ Achaiae |
| Vicarii iiii | Asianae | Ponticae | Thratiarum | Macedoniae ${ }^{322}$

318 Böcking, 1839-53, distinguished the two $\boldsymbol{M}$ - (in the page headers to the pictures and lists associated with them) as Magister militum praesentalis I and Magister militum praesentalis II and Seeck, 1876, copied this. The numbers $I$ and $I I$ did not exist in the Cnd.
319 See §[4h2] and §[7b7]]
320 The Cnd did not, of course, have any item numbers - see §[6].
321 A similar arrangement and abridgement existed within the same precedence list in the items:
Cnd.1/2.20 Magistri scrinorum
. 21 Memoriae
. 22 Epistolarum
. 23 Libellorum
.24 Craecarum
in which the plural magistri scriniorum was not intended to represent the first two words of each of the following four items.
so that the item Magistri equitum \& peditum in praesenti duo would be the only exception to the observed pattern. This fact, together with the observation that the names for the two central positions were identical, suggests the probability that the number duo did not exist in the earliest pre-Cnd list that was represented by Cnd. $1 / 2$ and that the number was interpolated later, to create agreement between the precedence list and the existence, at that time of two central lists of which those in Cnd. 9 and Cnd. 12 are a copy.
d The officium in the central list (Cnd.9) and in the three regional lists (Cnd.15, 18, 21) each had a single chief officer (Habet [.] principem). ${ }^{323}$ But the second central agency, Cnd.12, with an officium cardinale, had two (Habet [.] principes duos) and this was the only officium list in the Cnd that had more than one princeps. 324

## $\S[10 \mathrm{c}]$ The two eastern domain lists in Cnd.9, 12

In each of the two central lists Cnd. 9 and Cnd.12, the domain list consists entirely of the names of military units, arranged under headings naming their classes (the cavalry vexillationes palatinae and vexillationes comitatenses, and the infantry legiones palatinae, auxilia palatina, and pseudocomitatenses: the central, lists did not include any legiones comitatenses). ${ }^{325}$
The similarity between these two domain lists is greater than that which existed between any other two Cnd domain lists that consisted of military units. The similarity is observed in the number of units, their classes, and the listing of corresponding units in the same sequence. The main examples are tabulated as follows:

Table 9

|  | Magister militum praesentalis | Magister militum praesentalis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 36 Units = $\mathbf{1 2}$ cavalry + 24 infantry |  | 36 Units = 12 cavalry + 24 infantry |
| List Cnd. 9 |  | List Cnd. 12 |  |
| 9 | Equites catafractarii biturigentes | 9 | Equites catafractarii |
| 11 | Equites quinto dalmatae | 11 | Equites sexto dalmatae |
| 13 | Equites primi scutarii | 12 | Equites secundi scutarii |
| 15 | Equites primi clibanarii parthi | 14 | Equites secundi clibanarii parthi |
| List Cnd. 9 |  | List Cnd. 12 |  |
| 27 | Constantiani | 26 | Constantiniani |
| 28 | Matoiaci seniores | 27 | Mattiaci iuniores |
| 29 | Sagittarii seniores gallicani | 28 | Sagittarii seniores orientales |
| 30 | Sagittarii iuniores gallicani | 29 | Sagittarii seniores orientales |
| 37 | Felices honoriani iuniores | 37 | Felices arcadiani iuniores |
| 39 | Primi theodosiani | 38 | Secundi theodosiani |
| 40 | Tertii theodosiani | 41 | Quarti theodosiani |

322 And, similarly, the remaining lists in Cnd.1/2 following each of these rubicated items: | Comites rei militaris duo, | Duces per aegyptum duo $\mid$ per ponticam unus $\mid$ per thracias duo $\mid$ per illyricum ii $\mid$ Consulares quindecim per orientem $v \mid$ per asianam tres $\mid$ per ponticam $i i \mid$ per thracias $d u o \mid$ per illyricum tres $\mid$ Praesides xl| per aegyptiacam quinque \| per orientem viii| per asianam vii | per ponticam viii $\mid$ per thracias quatuor $\mid$ per illyricum octo $\mid$ Correctores $i i \mid$.
323 See Table 8.
324 And it was one of only two officium lists in which a number was attached to the word princeps: the agency directed by the dux syriae et eufratensis syriae included the items: Officium [.] habet [.] principem unum (Cnd.65.22-23).
In the Cnd, three military officers were described as directing dual administrations, in which each officer serving as both regional director of soldiers and as provincial governor. The Cnd named these three positions as those of the comes per isauriam et praeses (Cnd.54), the dux et praeses mauritaniae caesariensis (Cnd.137) and the dux arabiae et praeses (Cnd.61). The first two of these directors were each listed as having a single officium with one princeps. But the dux arabiae had two officia: one as dux (Cnd.61.24-30) and the other as praeses (Cnd.61.31-39) each headed by its own princeps, whereas the eastern central list Cnd. 12 had only one officium but two principes.

The first writer who reported these similarities, in greater detail, was Dietrich Hoffmann, ${ }^{326}$ who concluded that the similarities attested a deliberate plan in the formation of the army. ${ }^{327}$
An alternative possibility is that the domain lists Cnd. 9 and Cnd. 12 represented two pre-Cnd lists that had been derived from an earlier single list that was at some time divided into two parts to indicate the fact that there were sometimes two contemporary $\boldsymbol{M}$ - both serving simultaneously in the position $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$-praes.

## $\S[10 \mathrm{~d}]$ Two corresponding series of pictures Cnd.7-8 and 10-11

The similarities between the two domain lists in Cnd. 9 and Cnd. 12 were matched by similarities in the pictures Cnd.7-8 and Cnd.10-11 that included drawings of discs representing shields captioned with the names of infantry units.
Any comments about drawings in the Cnd are made in the context of the fact that the exact form of every drawing in the Cnd, and of any decoration, is unknown. That is, while it is often certain as to what is being represented by a drawing and decoration in the primary copies, the exact form of the drawing and decoration that existed in the Cnd is unknown: firstly, because no primary copy has exactly the same form as that existing in any other primary copy and, secondly, because - in the absence of the Cnd - no drawing in any primary copy can be identified as being an accurate copy of the drawing in the Cnd.

For example, where all the drawings of a shield in the primary copies agree that the corresponding drawing in the Cnd had a decoration representing a bird (Cnd.7.4 or 7.5), the exact form of the decoration in the $C n d$ is unknown because no drawing of that bird is exactly the same in all the primary copies. Even where the decoration of a shield consists of only a single central circle, its diameter varies between copies. Where there is sufficient agreement among the drawings in the primary copies it is often possible, therefore, to suggest the entity or the geometric pattern that was represented by a drawing or its decoration in the Cnd but not its exact form in the latter.

From the drawings in the primary copies it is apparent that picture Cnd.8 contained several drawings whose decorations were similar to those on corresponding drawings in picture Cnd. 11 as indicated in the following picture (whose drawings are derived from those in the primary copy $\mathbf{W}$ ):

Cnd. 8

and these drawings and their captions are identified in the following table:

[^17]Table 10


Each of these two pictures Cnd. 8 and Cnd. 11 was the second picture in a series of two: the series of pictures Cnd.7-8 preceded list Cnd. 9 and the series Cnd.10-11 illustrated list Cnd.12.
The first picture in each of these two series, that is, pictures Cnd. 7 and Cnd. 10 also exhibited similarities, but of a different kind. ${ }^{328}$ Each of these two pictures contained 8 drawings representing shields. Among their first 6 drawings, both pictures contained 1 shield with radial panels $(\boldsymbol{A})$ and 1 with two quadrupeds \& a crescent $(\boldsymbol{B})$. To these the first picture added 2 shields each with a bird $(\boldsymbol{C})$ and 2 with a star $(\boldsymbol{D})$; while the second picture added 2 with a sun $(\boldsymbol{F})$ and 2 with twisted ropes $(\boldsymbol{F})$. The sequence (top to bottom, left to right) in which the shields with these decorations was arranged into the two pictures was:

|  | Row 1 | Row 2 | Row 3 |  |  | Row 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cnd. 7 | A | $\mathrm{C}=$ | $=\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{D}=$ | $=\mathrm{D}$ | B |
| Cnd. 10 | B | $\mathrm{E}=$ | $=\mathrm{E}$ | $\mathrm{F}=$ | $=\mathrm{F}$ | A |

The writer who first examined the decorations of these drawings in detail was Robert Grigg, ${ }^{329}$ who concluded that the similarities in Cnd.7-8 and Cnd.10-11 perhaps resulted from an attempt to represent a visual analogy for the closely related lists. ${ }^{330}$

## §[10e] Summary

a The evidence in the laws referring to the five eastern positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - would not be inconsistent with a conclusion that the positions MMpraes. (Cnd.12) and MMper orientem (Cnd.15), related in the Cnd with an officium cardinale, were ordinary or permanent positions, while the positions MMpraes. (Cnd.9), MMper thracias (Cnd.18) and MMper illyricum (Cnd.21), each associated in the Cnd with an officium [.] deputatur), were temporary positions to which appointments were made intermittently.

[^18]b The references in the laws to the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - do not support the assumption, based on the Cnd, that there were two, and only two, ordinary or permanent central positions MMpraes. in the eastern part of the Roman state. A potential second MMpraes. is suggested in the law dated 492 and three contemporary officers are attested in a law dated 535.
c Two positions MMpraes. in the Cnd were represented by indistinguishable names and the reference duo in Cnd.1/2.5 was inconsistent with the use of all other similar numbers in the same Cnd list.
d The similar number of units, their categories, and the sequence in which they were named in the domain lists Cnd. 9 and Cnd. 12 suggests that those two lists represented two pre-Cnd lists that had been derived from an earlier single list that was at some time divided into two parts.
e The similarities in both the decoration and sequence of the drawings of shields of military units in the pictures Cnd.7-8 (related to Cnd.9) and Cnd.10-11 (related to Cnd.12) suggest that one of these series of picture was imitated by the other.

## §[11] The Cnd positions M- in the western lists

## §[11a] The names of the western positions M-

The western precedence list, Cnd.85/6, referred to three service positions named $\boldsymbol{M}$-, each of which was identified as the director of an agency represented by an agency list. The various names of these positions in the Cnd were:

| magister peditum in praesenti | magister peditum | magister peditum praesentalis |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| magister equitum in praesenti | magister equitum | comes \& magister equitum praesentalis | magisteria potestas |
| magister equitum per gallias | magister equitum galliarum | comes \& magister equitum galliarum |  |

In addition, some regional officium lists each described the princeps of each as being appointed from the officium of one, or several, central $\boldsymbol{M}$ - variously named:
magister / magistri militum praesentalium
magister / magistri militum praesentalium a parte peditum magister praesentalium a parte peditum
magistri militum praesentalium [.] a parte peditum [.] a parte equitum
And, immediately following the officium list associated with the last regional director of soldiers (the dux mogontiacensis), the Cnd contained a series of lists beginning with an item that included the name of the position:
magister militum praesentalium a parte peditum. ${ }^{331}$

## $\S[11 b]$ Some differences between the eastern and western lists and pictures for the position $\mathbf{M}$ -

The western lists and pictures that were associated with the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - differed in several respects from the corresponding eastern ones. Among these differences the most apparent included the following:
a the five eastern positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and the three western positions $\boldsymbol{M P}, \boldsymbol{M E}$ and $\boldsymbol{M E p e r}$ galias were all named in a precedence list, in an agency list associated with each $\boldsymbol{M}$-, and (except for the position MEper gallias) in the caption to the first picture in a series of pictures related to each agency list.
Apart from those two lists and captions, no eastern position $M$ - was mentioned in any other eastern list item or picture caption. By contrast, a western central position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - was mentioned in several western lists in addition to the precedence list and the agency lists of the positions $\boldsymbol{M P}$ and $\boldsymbol{M E}$.
b The western precedence list Cnd.85/6 named the positions of 6 comites rei militaris and 12 duces and all except two of these were named in the agency list of the $\boldsymbol{M P}$ as being under the jurisdiction of the latter (only 10 of the 12 duces were named, omitting the dux sequanicae and dux tractus armoricani et nervicani although the Cnd contained agency lists for both, in which it was stated that the princeps officii of each was appointed by the central $M$-).
There was no comparable list of comites and duces in of the agency list of the western ME or in those of any of the five eastern $M$-.
c the domain list of each eastern $\boldsymbol{M}$ - comprised both cavalry and infantry units, as did the domain list of the western MEper gallias. But the domain list of the western MP included only infantry units and that of the western central $\boldsymbol{M E}$ only cavalry units.
d in the domain lists of the eastern $M$-, the military units were listed in the sequence cavalry=>infantry, (consistent with the early position name magister equitum et peditum - MEP ). The same sequence was also used in most other lists of military units in the Cnd, ${ }^{332}$ and was reversed in only two laws. ${ }^{333}$

331 All these names for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$-, are also tabulated in Attachment 8 .
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But in the western lists, the agency list of the $\boldsymbol{M P}$ (naming only infantry) preceded that of the $\boldsymbol{M E}$ (naming only cavalry). The same sequence (infantry=>cavalry) existed in the domain list for the MEper gallias but there are indications that this was a reversal of the sequence cavalry=>infantry that existed in the pre-Cnd list represented by that domain list in the Cnd. Yet in the only law in which the words numeri and vexillationes coexisted and were used to denote comitatenses infantry and cavalry, they were named in the sequence (infantry=>cavalry). ${ }^{334}$
e In the agency lists for the five eastern positions $M$ - and for the two central western positions named $\boldsymbol{M P}$ and $\boldsymbol{M E}$, the domain list was followed by the secretariat list. But the agency list for the MEper gallias was arranged in the sequence: domain list (infantry) $=>$ secretariat list $=>$ domain list (cavalry).
f the two pictures associated with the agency list of each eastern $\boldsymbol{M}$ - contained drawings of discs representing shields that were captioned with the names of only infantry units mentioned in the following domain list. The shields in the six pictures associated with the agency list of the western $\boldsymbol{M P}$ were also captioned with the names of only infantry units. But those in the two pictures preceding the agency list related to the central $\boldsymbol{M E}$ represented only cavalry units.
$g$ There were no pictures explicitly identified as being related to the agency list associated with the position MEper gallias.

## $\S[11 \mathrm{c}]$ The names of the western service positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in laws and inscriptions

As previously noted, ${ }^{335}$ the laws and inscriptions provide the following facts about the names that were used for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the western lists in the Cnd:
a the following names do not exist in the laws and inscriptions and are, therefore, not attested as accurate copies of names used officially or in official texts:
magister peditum
(Cnd.92.a)
magister peditum in praesenti
(Cnd.85/6.5)
magister peditum praesentalis
(Cnd.98/9.1 and 98/9.149)
magister equitum in praesenti
(Cnd.85/6.6)
magister equitum praesentalis
(Cnd.102/5.1)
magister equitum per gallias
(Cnd.85/6.7 and 102/5.163)
magister equitum galliarum
(Cnd.102/5.114 and 102/7.217)
magister militum praesentalium a parte peditum
(Cnd.149.4 and 156/8.22)
magister praesentalium a parte peditum
(Cnd.132.12)
b the use of the following names in official texts is attested, as indicated: magister equitum (Cnd.100.a) used in 2 eastern and 5 western laws but not certainly as the complete name of a position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and is not used to refer to that position in any inscription.
magisteria potestas (Cnd.102/5.45)
used in both eastern and western laws, but not in inscriptions.

332 The sequence (cavalry=>infantry) was also used in the naming of the domestici (imperial household guards: Cnd 29 and 114) The same sequence existed in the naming of the non-comitatenses military units in most of the agency lists associated with the regional military directors: dux thebaidos (Cnd.56/7), dux palaestinae (Cnd.59), dux arabiae (Cnd.61), dux foenicis (Cnd.63), dux syriae \& eufratensis (Cnd.65), dux osrhoenae (Cnd.67), dux mesopotamiae (Cnd.69), dux armeniae (Cnd.71), dux scythiae (Cnd.74), dux moesiae II (Cnd.76), dux moesiae I (Cnd.78), dux daciae ripensis (Cnd.80), comes tingitaniae (Cnd.130), dux pannoniae II (Cnd.141), dux valeriae (Cnd.143), dux pannoniae I (Cnd.145), dux raetiae I\&II (Cnd.147), dux belgicae II (Cnd.152). Among similar agency lists, infantry units are named first only in those associated with the positions: comes r.m. per aegyptum (Cnd.52), dux tractus armoricani \&nervicani (Cnd.151) and dux britanniorum (Cnd.154) \{britanniorum OPLV=brittaniorum TM britanniarum AB ILAB were independently derived from an absent copy of the Cnd that was independent of any primary copy of the Cnd ]. The form -iorum instead of -orum also existed in Cnd.23.42 and 63.14\}.
333 Cod.Theod.8.1.5(357) and Cod.Iust.1.29.1(386/7) - see note 180.
334 The western law Cod.Theod.7.4.23(396) - see note 119.
335 In $\S[4 \mathrm{e}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{~b}]$, which are partly summarised in $\S[7 \mathrm{~b}]$.
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magister militum praesentalium / magistri militum praesentalium
(Cnd.128.23+24, 133.4, 137.11, 139.17, 147.24, 151,17, 154.41, 156/14)
used in two eastern laws dated c. 443 and 492, and possibly in one eastern inscription dated 521 (on 3 diptychs as magister equitum et peditum praes.(-entalis?/-entalium?).
c the phrase in praesenti does not exist in conjunction with any name for the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in any law or any inscription.
d there is no evidence, in any law or inscription, that any officer serving as $\boldsymbol{M}$-, whether in an ordinary or an extraordinary position:
i was allocated a command over only infantry units in a manner in which the command could, as a result, be referred to as being that of a magister peditum (MP); or
ii was allocated a command over only cavalry units in a manner in which the command could, as a result, be referred to as being that of a magister equitum (ME).
e The domain list associated in the Cnd with the position MEper gallias comprises both comitatenses cavalry and infantry units indicating that the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ must have denoted something other than the command of only cavalry units.
f no western law is addressed to more than one named officer serving as $\boldsymbol{M}$ - , whereas two eastern laws are each addressed to 2 named $\boldsymbol{M}$-, and another 2 eastern laws are each addressed to one named $\boldsymbol{M}$ but have a note stating that a copy is sent to another named $\boldsymbol{M}$ - .

## $\S[11 \mathrm{~d}]$ Some names for western positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - existing with eastern forms

As just noted, the western lists of the Cnd represent the service positions of two $M$ - with names, or combinations of names, whose underlined words are not attested, in any law or inscription, as having been combined with the word magister:

```
Cnd.92.a: magister peditum, 85/6.5: magister peditum in praesenti, 98/9.1 \& 149: magister peditum praesentalis
Cnd.100.a: magister equitum, 85/6.5: magister equitum in praesenti, 102/5.1: comes et magister equitum praesentalis,
```

The existence of these unattested names in the western lists in the Cnd can be compared with the existence of the phrase in praesenti and the eastern adjective praesentalis in the alleged names of service positions in comparable position names in the eastern lists. The comparable eastern and western items are the following:

Table 11: Names for the service positions of the central $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the Cnd

| Eastern lists |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Precedence list: $1 / 2.5$ <br> magistri equitum et peditum in praesenti duo | Precedence list: $\mathbf{8 5} / \mathbf{6}$ <br> magister peditum in praesenti <br> magister equitum in praesenti |
| Domain list headings (sub dispositione): 9.1, 12.1 | Domain list headings (sub dispositione): 98/9.1, 102/5.1 <br> $[.$.$] magistri militum praesentalis$ <br> $[.$.$] magistri militum praesentalis$ |
| Secretariat list headings (officium ...): 9.42, 12.44 magistri peditum praesentalis |  |
| $[.$.$] suprascriptae magisteriae in praesenti potestatis$ | $[.$.$] comitis et magistri equitum praesentalis$ |

## Given that:

a the phrase in praesenti is not used in inscriptions and is not used in any law in association with any name for the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$-;
b the adjective praesentalis exists in combination with magister only in eastern laws (c. 443 and the other four from between 492-534), and in an inscription, repeated in 521 on three diptychs;
c the eastern name magister militum praesentalis is attested in laws, and probably in a repeated inscription, but the western names magister peditum praesentalis and magister equitum praesentalis exist only in copies of the $C n d$;
it is apparent that the existence of the phrase in praesenti and the adjective praesentalis in corresponding items in eastern and western lists in the Cnd cannot have been created twice independently. Those eastern names were the model from which the western names were either initially derived, or later amended. A possible reverse relationship (west to east) is precluded by the fact that the word praesentalis is attested only in eastern laws after c. 443 and an eastern inscription reproduced in 521 on
three objects. It is not known whether the western imitation of the phrase and adjective that existed in the corresponding eastern list items occurred in a pre-Cnd compilation or only in the Cnd.

## §[12] The Cnd western lists with the names of comitatenses units

## $\S[12 \mathrm{a}]$ The lists of comitatenses units

In the Cnd, all the eastern military units that were explicitly identified as comitatensis units were named only in the agency lists associated with the five eastern positions $\boldsymbol{M}$-. By contrast, most western comitatenses units were named twice:
a the comitatenses infantry units were named in the agency list Cnd.98/9, associated with the position $\boldsymbol{M P}$, whose domain list included the names of only infantry units. All except 5 of these units were also named in the captions to the drawings representing shields in the pictures Cnd.92-97 that preceded the agency list. The captions and the domain list together identified 128 infantry units.
b the comitatenses cavalry units were named in the agency list Cnd.102/5.1-52, associated with the position $\boldsymbol{M E}$, whose domain list included the names of only cavalry units. All except 2 of these units were also named in the captions to the drawings representing shields in the pictures Cnd.100-101 preceding the agency list. The captions and domain list together identified 41 cavalry units.
c these two central agency lists were immediately followed, in Cnd.102/5.53-260, by 13 lists that comprised 1 officium list and 12 domain lists (mostly partial) comprising the names of comitatenses infantry units (in lists 1-3, 5-8) and cavalry units (in lists 9-13). These lists identified $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ infantry units and 44 cavalry units. ${ }^{336}$

All these lists are indicated in the following table, in which the headings under which the units were named are printed in bold type (the italicised numbers 1-13, along the right side margin did not exist in the Cnd but are used in the table to simplify some references to the lists in the following descriptions):

Table 12

| Cnd | * | List headings | Contents of the lists |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92-97 | P | Insignia v.i. magistri peditum | 6 pictures |  |
| 98/9.1-19 | D | Sub dispositione v.i. magistri peditum praesentalis | 6 comites, 10 duces |  |
| 98/9.20-148 |  | Legiones palatinae, Auxilia palatina, Legiones comitatenses, Pseudocomitatenses | 128 infantry |  |
| 98/9.149-155 | S | Officium suprascripti magistri peditum praesentalis | $6+$ secretariat positions |  |
| 100-101 | P | Insignia v.i. magistri equitum | 2 pictures |  |
| 102/5.1-44 | D | Sub dispositione v.i. et comitis magistri equitum praesentalis Vexillationes palatinae, Vexillationes comitatenses | 41 cavalry units |  |
| 102/5.45-52 | S | Officium suprascriptae magisteriae potestatis | 7+ secretariat positions |  |
| 102/5.53-90 | D | Qui numeri ex praedictis per infrascriptas provincias habeantur intra italiam | 37 infantry units | 1 |
| 102/5.91-113 | D | Intra illyricum cum v.s. comite illyrici | 22 infantry units | 2 |
| 102/5.114-162 | D | Intra gallias cum v.i. magistro equitum galliarum | 48 infantry units | 3 |
| 102/5.163-169 | S | Officium v.i. magistri equitum per gallias | 7+ secretariat positions | 4 |
| 102/5.170-186 | D | Intra hispanias cum s. comite | 16 infantry units | 5 |
| 102/5.187-191 | D | Intra tingitaniam cum v.s. comite | 4 infantry units | 6 |
| 102/5.192-204 | D | Intra africam cum v.s. comite africae | 12 infantry units | 7 |
| 102/5.205-208 | D | Cum v.s. comite britanniarum | 3 infantry units | 8 |
| 102/5.209-216 | D | Item vexillationes intra italiam | 7 cavalry units | 9 |
| 102/5.217-229 | D | Intra gallias cum v.i.comite et magistro equitum galliarum | 12 cavalry units | 10 |
| 102/5.230-249 | D | Intra africam cum v.s. comite africae | 19 cavalry units | 11 |
| 102/5.250-256 | D | Intra britannias cum v.s. comite britanniarum | 6 cavalry units | 12 |
| 102/5.257-260 | D | Intra tingitaniam cum v.s. comite tingitaniae | 3 cavalry units | 13 |
| 106 | P | Insignia viri illustris magistri officiorum |  |  |
| Abbreviations (not used in the Cnd): $\quad$ P D S $=$ P(ictures), D (omain list), S (ecretariat=officium list); $\quad$ v.i. and v.s. $=$ |  |  | v (ir) i (llustris), v (ir) s (pectabilis) |  |

## §[12b] The 13 lists comprising Cnd.102/5.53-260

In order to place the following observations about these lists in their proper context, it is necessary to make the following introductory comments.

The lists in the Cnd comprised two precedence lists, one eastern (Cnd.1/2) and one western (Cnd.85/6). Each of these was followed almost exclusively by agency lists (one of which was fragmentary by

[^19]1426/7), ${ }^{337}$ with the exception of four composite lists, comprising one relating to the positions comites domesticorum and the other to those of magistri scriniorum within both the eastern and western lists. ${ }^{338}$
In addition to the precedence list and agency lists, the compilation of western lists also contained a third category of lists. This consisted of two series of lists: the first series, Cnd.102/5.53-260, comprised 13 lists, each identified by a heading, and the second series, Cnd.156/8.22-87, which was a fragmentary by 1426/7, comprised lists arranged under 12 list headings, of which one was duplicated. ${ }^{339}$

These two series of lists had some common features.
a Each of the two series of lists immediately followed an agency list on the same page and without any intervening blank space or gap: that is, the lists Cnd.102/5.53-260 immediately followed the agency list associated with the position ME (that ended at Cnd.102/5.52), and the lists Cnd.156/8.22-87 followed the agency list associated with the position dux mogontiacensis (that ended at Cnd.156/8.21).
By contrast, no part of any agency list in the Cnd occupied the same page as any part of any other agency list (excepting only the agency lists associated with the positions of both western praefecti praetorio that existed together on the same page, Cnd.89).
b The first item in each of the two series of lists (Cnd.102/5.53: numeri ex praedictis [.etc.] and Cnd.156/8.22: Item praepositurae magistri militum [.etc.]) referred to items in another list that did not immediately precede that item. But no other agency list in the Cnd referred to the existence of any other agency list.
c The first item in each series referred to the agency list associated with the position of the central $\boldsymbol{M}$-, either indirectly (Cnd.102/5.53: numeri ex praedictis [.etc.]) or directly (Cnd.156/8.22: Item praepositurae magistri militum [.etc.]).
d The series Cnd.102/5.53-260 did not have a heading comprehending all its 13 lists, while the fragmentary condition of the second series, Cnd.156/8.22-87 makes it uncertain whether its first heading referred to the entire list.
The first series, Cnd.102/5.53-260, existed between the agency list associated with the position ME (Cnd.102/5.1-52) and the agency list related to the position magister officiorum (Cnd.107). But that location, between two agency lists, does not identify all 13 lists as comprising a single list divided into 13 sections. And these 13 lists were not identified as being a single list, either by any single heading for the entire series, or by any reference system since, as mentioned previously, ${ }^{340}$ the Cnd did not have any system of numbering attached to its pictures, drawings, picture captions, drawing captions, drawing inscriptions, lists or list items; and its contents were not divided into numbered sections such as books, titles, chapters, paragraphs, list or items.
In other words, the 13 lists comprising Cnd.102/5.53-260 did not have

- any numbers such as: Caput VII (Böcking 1839-53) or cap.VII or VII or Oc.VII (Seeck 1876); or
- any headings such as: Numeri sub magistris militum (Böcking), or Distributio numerorum (Seeck).

These numbers and headings, that were interpolated into their editions by both Böcking and Seeck, on the basis of unwarranted assumptions, have misrepresented these 13 lists in the Cnd in ways that have occasioned a widespread and continuing misunderstanding of their contents.
Although the fragmentary condition of the second series of lists, Cnd.156/8.22-87 makes it impossible to determine the exact relationship between the first heading and all the lists that followed it, these lists also did not have

- the numbers: Caput XL (Böcking 1839-53) or cap.XLII or XLII or Oc.XLII (Seeck 1876); or
- the headings: Praepositurae mag. mil. praes. ped. (Böcking), or Praepositurae magistri peditum (Seeck).

337 Cnd.54.14-19: the agency list associated in with the position dux libyarum.
338 Cnd.30: comites domesticorum equitum sive peditum; Cnd.36: magister memoriae [etc]; Cnd.114: comites domesticorum equitum sive peditum; Cnd.117: magister memoriae [etc].
339 Nelson, C.A.H. \& Nelson L.H., Occident 42 of the Notitia Dignitatum - dating and structure: Res publica litterarum: studies in the classical tradition (Univ. Kansas, Lawrence) 31980 pp.115-129) correctly identified the lists Cnd.156/8.22-87 as representing fragments of a larger group of pre-Cnd lists.
340 See $\S[6]$.
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§[12b1] The agency list for the position named MEper gallias
The service positions to which the highest division of the illustris grade of dignity was attached by 375 were, in order of decreasing rank, those of praefectus praetorio, praefectus urbis and $\boldsymbol{M}$-, ${ }^{341}$ and they were listed in this order, not only in the laws and in the compilations of the latter, but also in the precedence lists in the Cnd, and in the sequence of the agency lists associated with the positions named in that precedence list. And since the position $\boldsymbol{M E} \boldsymbol{E P e r}^{\text {gallias was named in the precedence list immediately }}$ after the positions named $\boldsymbol{M P}$ and $\boldsymbol{M E}$, and since each of those positions was each associated with an agency list, it would be expected that those two agency lists would be followed by one related to the position MEper gallias.
That position in the Cnd, between the list associated with a central $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and the following agency list related to the position magister officiorum, was occupied by the series of 13 lists Cnd.102/5.53-260. But among these 13, there were the following three lists that were associated with the service position $\boldsymbol{M E} \boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{p e r}}$ gallias:

| $102 / 5.114-162$ | D | Intra gallias cum viro illustri magistro equitum galliarum | 48 infantry units |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $102 / 5.163-169$ | S | Officium viri illustris magistri equitum per gallias | $7+$ secretariat positions |
| $102 / 5.217-229$ | D | Intra gallias cum viro illustri comite et magistro equitum galliarum | 4 |

whose contents comprised those of an ordinary agency list (that is: a domain list and an officium list).
The fact that these three lists represented two parts of a pre-Cnd agency list whose contents were arranged in the sequence:
domain list: cavalry (102/5.217-229) => infantry (102/5.114-162)
officium list (102/5.163-169)
which is the same as the arrangement as that in the similar agency lists of the 5 eastern $\boldsymbol{M}$-, is indicated by two observations:
a the domain list (infantry) in Cnd.102.5.114-162 immediately preceded the officium list Cnd.102.5.163-169; and
b the heading (Cnd.102/5.217) that preceded the names of the cavalry units (Cnd.102/5.218-229) contained the complete title of the position (that is, combined the name of the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - with the dignity comes), ${ }^{342}$ identifying it as the opening heading to an entire domain list. ${ }^{343}$
This demonstrates that the three lists $(3,4,10)$ in the Cnd represented a pre-Cnd agency list whose parts and their sequence (domain cavalry, domain infantry, officium) were common to those of most agency lists in the Cnd, but which was subsequently divided into two parts (cavalry) |-( (infantry, officium) of which the first part (cavalry) was not only placed after the second (infantry, officium), but also not consecutively. And, therefore, the three lists in the Cnd were an inaccurate copy of a pre-Cnd agency list.
Although it was transformed before its representation in the Cnd, this agency list for the position $\boldsymbol{M E}$ per gallias, whose domain comprised both cavalry and infantry units (as did the domains of the eastern $\boldsymbol{M}$-) also demonstrates that the position name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ did not denote the position of a $\boldsymbol{M}$ - who commanded only cavalry units.
§[12b2] The military units of the comes africae, comes tingitaniae, comes britanniarum
The 13 lists in Cnd.102/5.53-260, included the following 6 lists associated with three comites rei militaris:

| 102/5.187-191 | D | Intra tingitaniam cum v.s. comite | 4 infantry units | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102/5.192-204 | D | Intra africam cum v.s. comite africae | 12 infantry units | 7 |
| 102/5.205-208 | D | Cum v.s. comite britanniarum | 3 infantry units | 8 |
| 102/5.230-249 | D | Intra africam cum v.s. comite africae | 19 cavalry units | 11 |
| 102/5.250-256 | D | Intrira britannias cum v.s. comite britanniarum | 6 cavalry units | 12 |
| 102/5.257-260 | D | Intra tingitaniam cum v.s. comite tingitaniae | 3 cavalry units | 13 |

[^20]But the first two of these three comites commanded not only comitatenses, but also limitanei units and these were named in their Cnd agency lists, whose parts were arranged as follows (the agency list of the comes britanniarum did not have a list of limitanei units):
Table 13

|  | Cnd. 127 | Cnd. 129 | Cnd. 133 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Picture caption drawings | Comes africae 16 drawings of forts | Comes tingitaniae 8 drawings of forts | Comes britanniae 1 drawing of a fort |
|  | Cnd. 128 | Cnd. 130 | Cnd. 133 |
| Domain list heading list items | Sub dispositione v.s. comitis africae <br> limitanei * <br> Praepositus limitis <br> (16 praepositi in various places) | Sub dispositione v.s. comitis tingitaniae Limitanei Praefectus alae (6 positions in various places) | Sub dispositione v.s. comitis britanniarum <br> Provincia britannia |
| Secretariat list items | Officium autem habet idem v.s. comes hoc modo <br> (9 list items) | Officium autem habet idem v.s. comes hoc modo <br> (9 list items) | Officium autem habet idem v.s. comes hoc modo <br> (7 list items) |
| Abbreviation (this was not used in the Cnd): v.s. = v(ir) s(pectabilis) * see note 345 |  |  |  |

When a regional military agency included both comitatenses milites and milites limitanei these two categories were separately identified, as is apparent from the arrangements prescribed by Iustinianus, when he ordered the restoration of former military deployments in the diocese Africa upon its reconquest in 534. ${ }^{344}$ And the Cnd lists of comitatenses and limitanei units that were associated in the Cnd with the comes africae and comes tingitaniae indicate that these lists represented pre-Cnd domain lists that comprised both categories of units within an agency list.

## §[12b3] The agency list of the comes tingitaniae

As indicated in Table 13, the military units named in the domain list of the comes tingitaniae immediately followed a heading consisting of the single word Limitanei, while the border positions named in the domain of the comes africae were listed under a heading whose letters were derived from a misreading of the word Limitanei. ${ }^{345}$ The word limitanei did not exist in the agency list of any other comes or $d u x$ in the Cnd so that its presence in Cnd. 128 and Cnd. 130 implied that the military entities that were listed under that heading were distinguished from another category of entities, listed under a different heading, which can have been only for the comitatenses units that were named among the 13 lists in Cnd.102/5.53-260.
That a pre-Cnd agency list of the comes tingitaniae contained both a list of the comitatenses units represented by Cnd.102/5.257-260 \& 187-191, and the limitanei units and officium represented by the agency list Cnd.130, and that those two classes of units were named under those two headings (comitatenses and limitanei) in that pre-Cnd agency list, is indicated by the list of all three cavalry units within the 13 lists, among which they were named as:

344 Cod.Iust.1.27.2(534): Iustinianus A. Belisario magistro militum per orientem || [.]
§4. Et omnes diligenter [.] festinent, [.] laborando, usque ad illos fines provincias Africanas extendere, ubi ante invasionem Vandalorum et Maurorum res publica Romana fines habuerat et ubi custodes antiqui servabant, sicut ex clusuris et burgis ostenditur. [.]
§7. Sicut ergo [.] duces ac milites secundum nostram dispositionem in locis seu civitatibus quibus iussimus sedeant, [.] in quibus uniuscuiusque provinciae antiquus limes constitutus erat, quando florente Romana re publica memoratae provinciae integrae tenebantur.
§8. Pro limitaneis vero ordinandis [.] necessarium nobis esse videtur, ut extra comitatenses milites per castra milites limitanei constituantur, qui possint et castra et civitates limitis defendere et terras colere, [.etc.]. Haec autem non solum in limitaneos volumus observari, sed etiam in comitatenses milites.
§13. Cum autem [.] per labores tuos antiquos fines omnis Africa receperit, et docuerit nos de omni ordinatione totius Africanae dioeceseos, id est quanti et qui milites in quibus locis vel civitatibus constituti sunt et quanti limitanei in quibus locis vel limitibus constituti sunt, tunc iubemus tuam magnitudinem ad nostram clementiam remeare. [.].
345 The heading Cnd.130.2 in the domain list for the comes tingitaniae was Limitanei (in the primary copies optlabVm) but the corresponding heading Cnd.128.2, for the comes africae, was Vinitanei Vinitanei OPLVM, Vmctanei $\mathbf{T}$, Limitanei AB [see note 332 relating to LAB] which apparently resulted from a confusion of the letters $\mathbf{L m}$ and uın during its transmission.
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Cnd.102/5.258: equites scutarii seniores comitatenses
Cnd.102/5.259: equites sagittarii seniores comitatenses
Cnd.102/5.260: equites cardueni comitatenses.
The classification of these three cavalry units being comitatenses units was attested by the fact that all three were also named in the central cavalry list, Cnd.102/5.1-44. ${ }^{346}$ Consequently, the addition of the designation comitatenses to the name of each cavalry unit in Cnd.102/5.258-260 was unnecessary to distinguish these units from any other cavalry units in Cnd.102/5.209-260. Apparently, therefore, in a preCnd agency list, the three units were listed under the heading comitatenses, to distinguish them from the following list of limitanei and, when this pre-Cnd list was copied (that is, when the list of comitatenses was excerpted to become part of the 13 lists), the heading comitatenses was included with each of the three unit names as represented in Cnd.102/5.258-260.

That pre-Cnd agency list was represented in the Cnd in two parts, as illustrated in the following table and described below:

Table 14


In other words, a pre-Cnd agency list related to the comes tingitaniae
a contained a domain list comprising both comitatenses and limitanei units whose names were arranged under those two words as headings;
b the first part of the domain list (comitatenses)
i was excerpted and transferred to be associated with the lists of comitatenses units represented by those in Cnd.102/5.53-260; in which
ii that excerpt was divided into two parts, whose initial sequence (cavalry=>infantry) was inverted (infantry=>cavalry), which were placed in two non-consecutive positions among the lists in Cnd.102/5.53-260; and
c the remainder a pre-Cnd agency list (the domain list of the Limitanei units and the officium list) comprised the contents that were represented by the agency list Cnd.130.

## §[12b4] The agency list of the comes africae

The process described in relation to the agency list associated with the position of comes tingitaniae, and to the list of comitatenses units related to that position, is also proposed for the corresponding lists of the comes africae. While the designation comitatenses was included with the name of all three cavalry units of the comes tingitaniae among the 13 lists in Cnd.102/5.53-260, it was included with the name of only one of the 19 cavalry units commanded by the comes africae. ${ }^{347}$ But the existence of the heading Limitanei in the Cnd agency list of the comes africae, and the existence of the lists of the comitatenses cavalry and infantry units under his command among the 13 lists, together suggest that the Cnd lists for this comes represented a similar pre-Cnd agency list to that represented by the Cnd lists for the comes tingitaniae.
A similar conclusion is suggested in relation to the agency list, and the military units list, associated with the position of comes britanniarum. But there were some noteworthy differences.

[^21]§[12b5] The agency list of the comes britanniarum
The only military units that were associated in the Cnd with the position comes britanniarum were those named in 2 lists of comitatenses units (3 infantry and 6 cavalry) among the 13 lists in Cnd.102/5.53-260. The 2 lists were arranged in the same manner among the 13 lists as those for the comes tingitaniae and comes africae: that is, the infantry list preceded the cavalry one, and the two lists existed in non-consecutive positions. But, unlike the Cnd agency lists for those two comites, the list for the comes britanniarum (Cnd.133) did not contain either the heading Limitanei, or any list of military units or border positions. Instead, its domain list had the single item Cnd.133.2: Provincia britannia. ${ }^{348}$
The presence of that single item, and the representation of it by a captioned fort in the associated picture, likened the agency list for the comes britanniarum (Cnd.133) to the pseudo agency lists, on the following two pages in the Cnd, for the comes italiae (Cnd.134) and the comes tractus argentoratensis (Cnd.135). Each of these two lists also contained a domain list consisting of only a single item but, unlike the agency list for the comes britanniarum, neither contained an officium list, and neither of the comites was associated with the command of any military units named in the 13 lists.
These observations suggest that the comitatenses units related to the comes britanniarum in Cnd.102/5.53260 and the agency list Cnd. 133 was derived from a pre-Cnd list whose domain list comprised only the comitatenses cavalry and infantry units represented by Cnd.102/5.205-208 and 250-256, but no limitanei. It is speculated that, when the list of comitatenses units had been excerpted and transferred to comprise part of the 13 lists represented by Cnd.102/5.53-260, the remainder of the pre-Cnd agency list retained only the officium list that was represented by the list in Cnd.133. And to enable that officium to be represented as part of an agency list, the absent (excerpted and transferred) domain list was replaced with the interpolated list item Provincia britannia. The identification of that item as an interpolation is suggested by the fact that the item did not refer to either of the two provinces Britannia I or Britannia II, or to the diocese Britanniae, and by the probability that the item would not have existed if the agency list had included the comitatenses units that were represented in Cnd.102/5.205-208 and 250-256 as being cum viro spectabili comite britanniarum.
Observations similar to those made in this section about pre-Cnd agency lists associated with the comites tingitaniae, africae, britanniarum, were first made and published by Erich Polaschek in 1936. ${ }^{349}$
§[12b6] The 4 lists comprising Cnd.102/5.53-90, 91-113, 170-186, 209-216
As mentioned in the preceding four sections, the 13 lists that comprised Cnd.102/5.53-260 included:
a 3 lists that represented, in a transformed copy, a pre-Cnd agency list for the position MEper gallias that existed in the exact position of the rank of that service position relative to the ranks of the positions associated with the agency lists that immediately preceded and followed it in the Cnd; and
b 6 lists that represented excerpts from the domain lists of 3 pre-Cnd agency lists for the positions comes africae, comes tingitaniae and comes britanniarum. And each of these 3 excerpts, when transferred to accompany the transformed agency list for the MEper gallias, was divided into two parts that were placed, in an inverted sequence (infantry=>cavalry), in non-consecutive positions within the collection of lists that were represented within the 13 lists.
so that these 9 lists in the Cnd inaccurately represented the arrangements in and, therefore, were inaccurate copies of, the pre-Cnd lists from which they were derived. The transformation that produced the 3 lists (a), and the excerptions and transfers that produced the 6 lists (b), required only the items that were represented in the Cnd for the positions ME-per gallias, and for the comes africae, comes tingitaniae and comes britanniarum and could, therefore, have occurred at any time.
The remaining 4 lists among the 13 named the comitatenses infantry units intra italiam, intra illyricum and intra hispanias, and the comitatenses cavalry units intra italiam. These 4 lists were the following ones that are numbered $(1,2,5,9)$ in Table 12:

348 The primary copies have: prouincia $\mathbf{O P L B V}=$ prouintia $\mathbf{T}$, prouinciae $\mathbf{A M}$; britania $\mathbf{O}$, brittannia $\mathbf{T V}$, britanniae LAB, brita $\mathbf{P}$, absent $\mathbf{M}$.
349 Polaschek, E., Notitia dignitatum: in Pauly, A,. Wissowa, G., Kroll, W., Mittelhaus, K., \& Ziegler, K.(eds.), Real-Encyclopädie der klassischen Altertumswissenschaft. (Stuttgart, Druckenmüller) hbd. 331936 coll.1077-1116 in col.1100.

| $102 / 5.53-90$ | D | Qui numeri ex praedictis per infrascriptas provincias habeantur intra italiam | 37 infantry units |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $102 / 5.91-113$ | D | Intra illyricum cum v.s. comite illyrici | 22 infantry units |
| $102 / 5.170-186$ | D | Intra hispanias cum s. comite | 16 infantry units |
| $102 / 5.209-216$ | D | Item vexillationes intra italiam | 7 cavalry units |

The following observations can be made about these four lists:
1 These four lists of military units (Cnd.102/5.53-90, 91-113, 170-186, 209-216) can be divided into two groups: the first three lists named only comitatenses infantry units, and the fourth list named only comitatenses cavalry units.

2 The heading to the first list identified its units as numeri (Cnd.102/5.53) and the heading to the fourth list referred to its units as vexillationes (Cnd.102/5.209). These two words coexist in only one law in which numeri refers to comitatenses infantry and vexillationes to comitatenses cavalry. ${ }^{350}$
The use of the word numeri in item Cnd.102/5.53, to refer to comitatenses infantry units, cannot have been derived from the context in which the word numerus existed in any other item in the Cnd.
3 In the only law in which the words numeri and vexillationes coexist, and are used to denote comitatenses infantry and comitatenses cavalry, they are named in that sequence (infantry=>cavalry) which corresponds to the sequence in which the two western central lists existed in the Cnd, in which the list with the position MP (Cnd.98/9), that named only comitatenses infantry units, was followed by the list with the position $\boldsymbol{M E}$ (Cnd.102/5.1-52), that named only comitatenses cavalry units.
That sequence was the reverse of the one (cavalry=>infantry) that existed in the Cnd in the agency lists associated with the five eastern positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and in pre-Cnd agency list that was represented by the lists Cnd.102/5.217-229 and 114-169 for the MEper gallias. ${ }^{351}$
4 Among the 4 lists, the first list (Cnd.102/5.53-90) began with the heading: Qui numeri ex praedictis per infrascriptas provincias habeantur intra italiam. These words were written immediately after the last item of the agency list associated with the central position $\boldsymbol{M E}$, on the same page as the latter and without any intervening blank space or gap.
The last list (Cnd.102/5.209) began with the heading: Item vexillationes intra italiam which also followed the preceding list without any intervening blank space or gap.

5 Since the words numeri and vexillationes referred to comitatenses infantry and cavalry (\#2 above) the heading Qui numeri ex praedictis per infrascriptas provincias habeantur intra italiam meant: "the infantry (units) which, from (among) the previously-mentioned (ones), shall/may be had (located, stationed) throughout the below-written provinces within Italia."
The words Item vexillationes intra italiam mean: "Also the cavalry (units) within Italia".
The adverb item has many meanings, including "also, likewise, further, next, moreover", and it existed thirteen times within the Cnd:352
a once (Cnd.102/5.209) among the 4 lists;
b once (Cnd.61.31) at the beginning of a list of officium positions;
c once (Cnd.156/8.22) at the beginning of a list of military entities that were unrelated to the agency list that immediately preceded the word Item (\#6 below); and
d ten times at the beginning of a list of military units or entities that immediately followed other lists of military units within the same agency list.
indicating that item denoted a repetition, in regard to the following list of cavalry units, of the description in the opening heading that preceded lists of infantry units, thereby comprehending the bracketed words in the sentence: (qui) vexillationes (ex praedictis per infrascriptas provincias habeantur) intra italiam.

350 Cod.Theod.7.4.23(396)=Cod.Iust.12.37.8 - see note 126.
351 Also see note 332.
352 Cnd.12.42 and 15.25 and 21.23: Item pseudocomitatenses; 61.31: Item officium praesidis eiusdem provinciae; 74.18 and 76.20: Item legiones riparienses; 78.10 and 80.19: Item legiones; 102/5.209: Item vexillationes; 154.16: Item per lineam valli; 156/8.22: Item praepositurae; 156/8.63: Item in provincia italia; 156/8.66: Item in provincia italia mediterranea.

6 It is uncertain whether the phrase per infrascriptas provincias and the following two words, intra italiam in Cnd.102/5.53 were represented as one item in the Cnd or as two items. ${ }^{353}$
But that uncertainty does not affect the fact that provinciae cannot refer to any of the three regional designations (Italia, Illyricum, Hispaniae) that were used in the 4 lists, since all three refer to dioceses. If provinciae was used correctly, it means that the lists of units, certainly within the diocese Italia, and probably also within dioceses Illyricum and Hispaniae, were accompanied in a pre-Cnd list by headings or by annotations that indicated the provincial location of those units within a diocese, and that such headings or annotations were not represented in the Cnd.
The presence of provincial designations in similar lists of military units or entities was indicated by a second series of lists in Cnd.156/8.22-87. This series, which was fragmentary in the Cnd, ${ }^{354}$ began with the heading: Item praepositurae magistri militum praesentalium a parte peditum in italia which was written after the last item in the agency list associated with the position dux mogontiacensis, on the same page and without any intervening blank space or gap.
This fragmentary series in Cnd.156/8.22-87 and the series of 4 lists Cnd.102/5.53-90, 91-113, 170-186, 209-216, shared two common features that distinguished them from all the other lists in the Cnd:
a the first item in both series referred to the existence of another list (ex praedictis or Item praepositurae) which, demonstrably, was not the list that immediately preceded that first item; and
b the first heading in both series refers to the position of the central $M$-, either explicitly (praepositurae magistri militum praesentalium) or implicitly (numeri ex praedictis - referring to comitatenses infantry units named in the agency list related to the central position named MP).
In this second series, of lists Cnd.156/8.22-87, the military units and entities were arranged under the headings represented in the following table:

Table 15

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 156 / 8.1-12 \\ & 156 / 8.13-21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}} \end{array}$ | Sub dispositione viri spectabilis. ducis mogontiacensis Officium autem habet idem v.s dux hoc modo | 11 service positions $8+$ secretariat positions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 156/8.22 | D | Item praepositurae magistri militum praesenta | parte peditum in italia |
| 156/8.23-24 |  | in provincia venetia inferiore | praef.classis |
| 156/8.25-27 |  | in provincia flaminia | praef.. mil; praef. classis |
| 156/8.28-29 |  | in provincia liguria | praef.classis |
| 156/8.30-31 |  | in provincia campania | praef.classis |
| 156/8.32-36 |  | in provincia gallia $\underline{\underline{r}}$ riparensi | praef.classis; praef.mil; trib.coh. |
| 156/8.37-38 |  | in provincia populana | trib.coh. |
| 156/8.39-40 |  | in provincia lugdonensi prima | praef.classis |
| 156/8.41-42 |  | in provincia lugdonensi senonia | praef.classis |
| 156/8.43-48 |  | in provincia hispaniae callaecia | praef.leg; trib.coh. |
| 156/8.49-50 |  | in provincia tarraconensi | trib.coh. |
| 156/8.51-62 |  | - | praef.laetorum (12 items) * |
| 156/8.63-65 |  | Item in provincia italia | praef.sarmat. gentil. (2 items)* |
| 156/8.66-87 |  | Item in provincia italia | praef.sarmat. gentil. (21 items - fragmentary last item)* |
| 159 | P | Consularis campaniae |  |

*Laeti and Sarmatae are listed together in a western law addressed to Stilicho, $\boldsymbol{M V} \boldsymbol{M}$ in $400 .{ }^{355}$
The heading Cnd.156/8.22: Item [.] in italia was followed by four headings naming provinciae in italia in which units associated with the position of the central $M$ - were stationed. This suggests the probability that the words per infrascriptas provincias [.] intra italiam in Cnd.102/5.53 represented those in a pre-Cnd list in which such a heading was followed by similar references to the provincial locations of the listed military units associated with the central M-. ${ }^{356}$

353 A list item is defined in note 1 . In the primary copies of Cnd.102/5.53, intra italiam begins on a new line in OT; continues on the same line in PLVM; Intra italiam begins on a new line in AB. In the primary copies of Cnd.102/5.209, intra italiam begins on a new line in OP; continues on the same line in NTVM; intra Italiam continues on the same line in lab.

See $\S[12 b]$.
Cod.Theod.7.20.12(400): Impp. Arcadius et Honorius AA. Stilichoni magistro utriusque militiae. || pr. [.] Quisquis igitur laetus alamannus sarmata vagus vel filius veterani aut cuiuslibet corporis dilectui obnoxius et florentissimis legionibus inserendus testimoniales ex protectoribus vel cuiuslibet dignitatis obtinuit vel eas, quae nonnumquam comitum auctoritate praestantur, ne delitiscat, tirociniis castrensibus inbuatur. [.].

The units of comitatenses infantry in the central list (Cnd.98/9) were divided into four groups, each under a heading identifying its class name. Although the corresponding infantry units in the list Cnd.102/5.53-90 intra italiam were not identified with their class names, the units were mostly named in the same sequence in which they were named in the central list (Cnd.98/9).
But there were at least three interruptions to the sequence of the listings between Cnd.102/5.78AP\|79LP; $85 \mathrm{PS} \|=>86 \mathrm{LC}, 86 \mathrm{LC}|-?| 88 \mathrm{AP}$ that may have reflected changes in the provincial location of the units:

| Table 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102/5. | 98/9. | 102/5. = | 98/9. | 102/5. = | 98/9. | 102/5 | . $=$ | 98/9. | 102/5. = | 98/9. |
| . $54=$ | $=.21 \mathrm{LP}$ | . $62=$ | . 36 AP | . $70=$ | . 47 AP |  | $=$ | . 89 AP | . $86=$ | .122 LC |
| . $55=$ | $=.22 \mathrm{LP}$ | $.63=$ | . 37 AP | . $71=$ | . 48 AP |  | $=$ | . 29 LP | . $87=$ | . - |
| . $56=$ | $=.23 \mathrm{LP}$ | . $64=$ | . 38 AP | . $72=$ | . 53 AP |  | $=$ | . 30 LP | . $88=$ | . 64 AP |
| . $57=$ | $=.24 \mathrm{LP}$ | $.65=$ | . 39 AP | . $73=$ | . 70 AP |  | $=$ | . 106 LC | . $89=$ | . 74 AP |
| . $58=$ | $=.25 \mathrm{LP}$ | . $66=$ | .39.1 AP | . $74=$ | . 74 AP | . 82 | $=$ | . 116 LC | . $90=$ | .137 PS |
| . $59=$ | $=.26 \mathrm{LP}$ | . $67=$ | . 43 AP | . $75=$ | . 75 AP | . 83 | $=$ | . 103 LC |  |  |
| . $60=$ | $=.34 \mathrm{AP}$ | . $68=$ | . - | . $76=$ | . 77 AP | . 84 | $=$ | . 110 LC |  |  |
| . $61=$ | $=.35 \mathrm{AP}$ | . $69=$ | . 44 AP | . $77=$ | . 79 AP | . 85 | $=$ | .131 PS |  |  |
| $\mathbf{L}$ (egio) $\mathbf{P}$ (alatina); |  | $\mathbf{A}$ (uxilium) $\mathbf{P}$ (alatinum); |  | L(egio) C(omitatensis); |  |  | PS(eudocomitatensis legio) |  |  |  |

7 The two headings numeri [.] intra italiam (Cnd.102/5.53) and [.] vexillationes intra italiam (Cnd.102/5.209) did not identify the agency to which those units belonged. And there is no evidence that those military units were associated with any agency related to the position comes italiae that was mentioned elsewhere in the Cnd. This conclusion is indicated by the observations that:
a neither of the two lists of military units that immediately followed the phrase intra italiam in Cnd.102/5.53-260 was associated with either the position comes italiae, or with the dignity comes attached to any service position.
The absence of such a reference distinguished these two lists from all other headings among the 13 lists in Cnd.102/5.53-260 each of which identified the position of the regional commander as either magister or comes; and
b there was no evidence that Cnd. 134 represented a pre-Cnd agency list that contained a domain list that either comprised or included comitatenses units.
Cnd. 134 was represented an agency list but contained only one item: Tractus italiae circa alpes, and no officium list and, as mentioned previously, ${ }^{357}$ was probably an interpolation.
It is concluded, therefore, that the military units listed under the headings numeri [.] intra italiam and vexillationes intra italiam were associated with the agency directed by an officer other than a comes italiae.

8 The first of the 4 lists began with the heading: Qui numeri ex praedictis per infrascriptas provincias habeantur intra italiam.
The phrase ex praedict- and the participle and adjective praedictus/a/um did not exist elsewhere in the Cnd. But the phrase ex praedict- exists in several laws, ${ }^{358}$ which exemplify its meaning: ex (from, out of, according to); praedictis (aforementioned, preceding, previously-named). The heading stated, therefore, that the list of numeri that follows it were derived from among those previously mentioned (qui numeri ex praedictis [numeris]).

[^22]The only western list of numeri that preceded the heading Qui numeri (Cnd.102/5.53) was the central list that existed in Cnd.98/9.20-148 within the agency list related to the position MP. This central list of numeri was a comprehensive list that named 128 comitatenses infantry units distributed throughout the western part of the Roman state. In this comprehensive central list, the numeri were arranged in groups under headings that named their classes: legiones palatinae, auxilia palatina, legiones comitatenses, pseudocomitatenses.
But that comprehensive central list of numeri in Cnd.98/9:
a was separated from the qui numeri heading and list Cnd.102/5.53-90 by the intervening central list Cnd.102/5.1-52 naming vexillationes associated with the position named ME;
b did not contain any headings or annotations to identify the regions (either dioeceses or provinciae) in which the numeri were stationed; and
c did not identify which numeri, from among those in the comprehensive list in Cnd.98/9, were under the immediate command of the central $M$ -
and these two pieces of information (b-c) could not have been derived from any pre-Cnd comprehensive list that contained only the headings that were represented in Cnd.98/9.20-148.
Information about the location of most western numeri, and about the agency in which they were stationed, was available from the agency lists related to the MEper gallias, comes africae, comes tingitaniae and comes britanniarum.
But the same information was not available to identify the units in Italia, Illyricum and Hispaniae because there were no agency lists associated with the comites in Illyricum and Hispaniae and because the pre-Cnd comprehensive list represented in Cnd.98/9 did not identify the units in Italia under the immediate command of the central $\boldsymbol{M}$-. And, because that information was not available there, the pre-Cnd lists represented by Cnd.102/5.53-90, 91-113, 170-186 were produced to indicate which numeri, from among those named in the preceding comprehensive list, were stationed in Italia-Illyricum-Hispaniae and, in identifying the numeri that were in Italia, the pre-Cnd list that was represented by Cnd.102/5.53-90 identified the units that were under the immediate command of the central $M$ - at or near the court, since the latter was at Ravenna, intra italiam, during most of the 5thC.
9 The last of the 4 lists, Cnd.102/5.209-216, began with the heading: Item vexillationes intra italiam which was followed by a list of seven comitatenses cavalry units.
The relationship between this vexillationes list Cnd.102/5.209-216, and the comprehensive list of vexillationes in the central list Cnd.102/5.1-54 associated with the position ME, was the same as the relationship, described in \#8, that existed between the numeri lists Cnd.102/5.53-90, 91-113, 170-186 and the comprehensive list of numeri in the central list Cnd.98/9, related to the position MP. And the cavalry list Cnd.102/5.209-216 identified those vexillationes which, from among those named in the preceding comprehensive list represented by Cnd.102/5.1-52, were under the direct command of the central $M$ - intra italiam.
10 The pre-Cnd lists represented by the lists Cnd.102/5.53-90 (qui numeri [.] intra italiam) and Cnd.102/5.209-216 (vexillationes intra italiam) immediately preceded the pre-Cnd agency list associated with the position MEper gallias and, in that location, occasioned the transformation of the latter to agree with the sequence (infantry $=>$ cavalry) that was based on the sequence of the preceding agency lists Cnd.98/9 (infantry) and Cnd.102/5.1-52 (cavalry) which had been arranged to agree with the names of the service positions MP (Cnd.85/6.5) and ME (Cnd.85/6.6) in the precedence list. And that transformed agency list of the MEper gallias was then augmented by domain list excerpts transferred from the agency lists of the comes africae, comes tingitaniae and comes britanniarum.
That transformation, and those excerptions and transfers, can have occurred in any pre-Cnd compilation. As previously mentioned, the use of the word numeri in item Cnd.102/5.53, to refer specifically to comitatenses infantry units, and the identification of the numeri under the direct command of the central $\boldsymbol{M}$ - intra italiam, cannot have been based on information that was available in any pre-Cnd compilation that contained only what was represented by the Cnd. By contrast, the transformation of a pre-Cnd agency list for the MEper gallias, and the excerption and transfer of domain list items from a pre-Cnd agency lists for the comites africae, tingitaniae and britanniarum, could have been produced at any time and required no information other than that represented in the Cnd, and no knowledge other than that which could be derived from that information.

11 The remaining two lists among the 4 named only comitatenses infantry units. The names in the first list, Cnd.102/5.91-113, had the heading: Intra illyricum cum viro spectabili comite illyrici, and the second list Cnd.102/5.170-186, was headed: Intra hispanias cum viro spectabili comite.
The available evidence about these two positions is insufficient to provide a reasonable explanation about the pre-Cnd lists from which those in the Cnd were derived.
The positions comes illyrici and comes <hispaniarum>? intra hispanias were not named in either the western precedence list Cnd.85/6, or in the lists Cnd.98/9.2-19 of comites and duces under the jurisdiction of the position $M P$, and neither position was that of the director of an agency represented by an agency list, nor identified as occupying an ordinary service position.

Table 17

| Precedence list Cnd.85/6 |  | Agency list related to MP Cnd.98/9 |  | Individual agency lists |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| .30 | Comites rei militaris | .2 | Comites militum | Cnd. |  |
| .31 | Italiae | .3 | Italiae | 128 | Africa |
| .32 | Africae | .4 | Africae | 130 | Tingitania |
| .33 | Tingitaniae | .5 | Tingitaniae | 132 | Litoris saxon(:) per britanniam |
| .34 | Tractus argentoratensis | .6 | Tractus argentoratensis | 133 | Britanniarum |
| .35 | Britanniarum | .7 | Britanniarum | $134^{*}$ | Italia |
| .36 | Litoris saxonici per britannias | .8 | Litoris saxonici per britannias | $135^{*}$ | Argentoratensis |

The only conclusion that can actually be demonstrated in relation to these two comites and lists is that these two infantry lists provided information (about units in Illyricum and Hispaniae) that was not available in - could not have been derived from - any pre-Cnd agency list that contained only what was represented in the Cnd.
12 The total number of comitatenses units intra italiam (that is, the units under the immediate command of the central $\boldsymbol{M}$-, represented in the $C n d$ as two positions named $\boldsymbol{M P}$ and $\boldsymbol{M E}$ ) was comparable to that of other positions $\boldsymbol{M}$-, all of which, both eastern and western, commanded both cavalry and infantry units. The comparative figures are tabulated as follows:
Table 18 Comitatenses and other units commanded by $\boldsymbol{M}$ - and by comites rei militaris

|  | Eastern lists |  |  |  |  | Western lists |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | Mpr. | Mpr. | Mor. | Mthr. | Millyr. | MP | ME | MEpg. | coAfr. | coTng. | coBrit. | colllyr. | coHsp. |
| Cnd | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 21 | 102/sital | 102/Sital | 102/5gall | 102/5afr | 102/5tng | 102/5brit | 102/5illyr | 102/5hsp |
| cavalry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vex pal | 5 | 6 | - | 3 | - | - | 5 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| vex com | 7 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | 8 | 18 |  | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | +1* | +1* | +1* | 3 | +5 | - | - |
|  | 12 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 2 | - | 7 | 12 | 19 | 3 | 6 | - | - |


| leg pal | 6 | 6 | - | - | 1 | 8 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aux pal | 18 | 17 | 2 | - | 6 | 20 | - | 17 | 1 | 2 |  | 13 | 11 |
| leg com | - | - | 9 | 21 | 8 | 5 | - | 10 | 7 | 2 |  | 5 | 5 |
| pseudocom | - | 1 | 10 | - | 9 | 2 | - | 10 | 1 | - |  | 3 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | +2* |  | +11* |  |  | +3* | +1* |  |
|  | 24 | 24 | 21 | 21 | 24 | 37** | - | 48** | 12** | 4** | 3** | 22** | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | Praepositurae <br> Italia <br> Galliae <br> Hispaniae |  | Cnd.156/8 | Limitanei |  | Cnd. 128 | Cnd. 130 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  | 16 | 7 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  | +1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* This number of units was not named in the central lists Cnd.98/9 or Cnd.102/5.1-52 so their classes are unknown (see Attachment 9).
** This number includes duplications of units named in central lists (that is, units either transferred, or divided, between different regions): 359

3 cavalry units: Cnd. 102/5.213 Italia $=.219$ Galliae $\quad 222$ Galliae $=.253$ Britanniae $\quad 232$ Africa $=.258$ Tingitania
3 infantry units: Cnd. 102/5.82 Italia $=.191$ Tingitania 122 Galliae $=.112$ Illyricum $\quad 201$ Africa $=.190$ Tingitania
Summary:
The Cnd did not contain a "chapter" or section numbered "VII" or any heading such as "Numeri sub magistris militum" or "Distributio numerorum". These misleading numbers and headings were interpolated by, respectively, Böcking and Seeck to refer to the series of 13 lists Cnd.102/5.53-260.
These 13 lists Cnd.102/5.53-260 comprised a transformed representation of a pre-Cnd agency list of the MEper gallias, augmented by excerpts that had been transferred to it from pre-Cnd agency lists of the comes africae, comes tingitaniae and comes britanniarum.
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That transformed list, and those transferred excerpts, were added to four earlier lists that identified those comitatenses units that were located intra italiam, intra illyricum and intra hispanias, from among the units named in the comprehensive lists represented by Cnd.98/9 and Cnd.102/5.1-52.
Any discussion of the 13 lists Cnd.102/5.53-260 should begin with a consideration of those 4 lists, which are those that would remain if an agency list for the ME-per gallias were reconstructed from 3 lists among the 13 and if the units related to the comes africae, comes tingitaniae and comes britanniarum in another 6 lists were relocated to their agency lists.

## §[13] The Cnd western central lists 98/9 and 102/5.1-52

The preceding section §[12b6]] noted that two lists qui numeri (Cnd.102/5.53-90) and item vexillationes (Cnd.209-216) represented lists that had been produced to indicate those numeri and vexillationes which, from among those named in the comprehensive lists represented in Cnd.98/9 and Cnd.102/5.1-52, were under the immediate command of the central $\boldsymbol{M}$-intra italiam.

The expression, "the central $M-$ ", is deliberately chosen because it can be used to refer to either one position or to several positions and because there is some doubt about whether the lists Cnd.98/9 and Cnd.102/5.1-52 accurately represented two separate pre-Cnd agency lists.

## $\S[13 \mathrm{a}]$ Two agency lists or one?

While the Cnd represented the two central lists as two agency lists (each with a domain list and officium list) there are several observations that occasion doubts about the extent to which that was an accurate representation of corresponding pre-Cnd lists. These observations include the following:
a the two western central lists each named only one category of comitatenses: the first list, Cnd.98/9, in an agency list for a position named $\boldsymbol{M P}$, comprised only infantry units, and the second list, Cnd.102/5.152 , in an agency list for a position named $\boldsymbol{M E}$, consisted only of cavalry units.
All other six agency lists related to $M$-, both eastern and western, named units in both categories with each $\boldsymbol{M}$-, as did the domain lists of the comites africae, tingitaniae, and britanniarum who also commanded comitatenses units.
b the name $\boldsymbol{M P}$ is not mentioned in any law or inscription and the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ is not certainly attested as the complete official name of a service position.
c no law or inscription attests any officer serving as $\boldsymbol{M}$-, whether in an ordinary or extraordinary position, as being allocated command of only infantry or only cavalry comitatenses units;
d the name $\boldsymbol{M E}$ did not denote the position of a $\boldsymbol{M}$ - who commanded only cavalry, as indicated by the position ME Mer gallias, which commanded both cavalry and infantry;
e the first central list represented the MP as having jurisdiction over all western comites rei militaris, each commanding both comitatenses cavalry and infantry, but no such jurisdiction was assigned in the second central list to the position $\boldsymbol{M E}$;
f the two western central lists each contained a comprehensive list of comitantenses units distributed throughout the western part of the Roman state.
All other lists of comitatenses units, both eastern and western, named units only in either two eastern central areas, or in three eastern and one western regional areas (oriens, thraciae, illyricum, galliae);
g the first central list, Cnd.98/9, with the comprehensive list of infantry units, was separated from the list of infantry under the command of the $\boldsymbol{M}$ - intra italiam, Cnd.102/5.53-90, by the intervening second central list, Cnd.102/5.1-52, with the comprehensive list of cavalry units.
It is more likely than not, that the pre-Cnd lists that were represented by the lists numeri [.] italiam (Cnd.102/5.53-90) and vexillationes [.] italiam (Cnd.209-216) were two parts of a single list that was attached to a single pre-Cnd comprehensive central list, rather than two parts divided between and added to two central comprehensive lists.
h the ratio $1: 5$ of cavalry to infantry units intra italiam was the second lowest among the eight position $M$ - in the Cnd, ${ }^{360}$ suggesting either an unusual circumstance in relation to the western central cavalry list, or the probability that the two western central lists coexisted in a single pre-Cnd list.
i the representation, in the Cnd, of the pre-Cnd agency list for the MEper gallias exemplified a pre-Cnd agency list that had been:
i divided into two parts |(1) cavalry || (2) infantry, officium| that were
ii arranged in inverted sequence | (2) infantry, officium $\|$ (1) cavalry
to agree with the preceding sequence of the central infantry ( $\boldsymbol{M P}$ ) and cavalry ( $\boldsymbol{M E}$ ) lists, which agreed with the sequence of the positions named $\boldsymbol{M P}$ and $\boldsymbol{M E}$ in the precedence list Cnd.85/6.5-6.
Given these observations, there are several possible explanations about the two western central lists that were represented as two agency lists, including:

1. the possibility that there were two pre-Cnd agency lists, and that one of these attributed all the comitatenses infantry units to the control of a MP, while the other assigned all comitatenses cavalry units to the control of a ME, almost exactly as represented in Cnd.98/9 and Cnd.102/5.1-52;
or
2 the possibility that there were two pre-Cnd agency lists, one for a position named $\boldsymbol{M P}$ and another for a position named $\boldsymbol{M E}$, each of which commanded both comitatenses cavalry and infantry units (as did the other 6 positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the $C n d$ ), but that these two dual category lists (cavalry+infantry) were later converted to one infantry list and one cavalry list so that these single category lists could be used as agency lists whose domains agreed with the position names MPeditum and MEquitum in the precedence list (even though the position MEquitum per gallias commanded both categories); or
2. the possibility that there were two pre-Cnd agency lists, one for a position named $\boldsymbol{M P}$ and another for a position named $\boldsymbol{M E}$, and all cavalry units and all infantry units, together with jurisdiction over all comites rei militaris and duces, were attributed to the position $\boldsymbol{M P}$ and none to the position $\boldsymbol{M E},{ }^{361}$ but this list was later divided into one cavalry and one infantry list (in the same way that the pre-Cnd agency list for the MEper gallias was divided into two parts) so that these single category lists could be used as agency lists whose domains agreed with the position names MPeditum and MEquitum in the precedence list.
The evidence is insufficient to decide between these and other possibilities, but the observations in the following sections $\S[13 b]$ and $\S[13 c]$ need to be considered in any speculations.

## §[13b] The officium lists in the two central lists

Like almost all the agency lists in the Cnd, the two central lists each consisted of a domain list and officium list. The two central lists began with the following headings to their domain list:

Cnd.98/9.1: $\quad$ Sub dispositione viri illustris magistri peditum praesentalis
Cnd.102/5.1: $\quad$ Sub dispositione viri illustris comitis et magistri equitum praesentalis 362
These two headings had the standard form that existed in both the eastern and western agency lists, comprising: the name of the service position, preceded by the name of the grade of dignity that was attached to the position.
But the officium list in both of the central lists had features that may indicate that these secretariat lists did not accurately represent pre-Cnd lists.
a By 375, the highest division of the illustris grade of dignity was attached to the positions praefectus praetorio, praefectus urbis and $M$-, and among these three, the first two were the highest-ranked positions.
In the Cnd the officium list for the two eastern praefecti (oriens, illyricum) and three western ones (italia, galliae, roma) all began with the words officium viri illustris (once in a plural form) that were followed by the name of the position: e.g. officium viri illustris praefecti praetorio orientis.

361 Such a position would be similar to those in the Cnd that were named in both the precedence list and in an item among the agency lists, but were either not represented at all, or not effectively, by an agency (domain + officium) list: for example, Cnd.1/2.9=21.42: praepositus sacri cubiculi; Cnd.85/6.15=114.5: primicerius sacri cubiculi; Cnd.85/6.31=134.1: comes italiae; Cnd.85/6.34 =135.1: comes tractus argentoratensis.
362 This heading was similar to: Cnd.102/5.217: cum viro illustri comite et magistro equitum galliarum that preceded the list of comitatenses cavalry units commanded by the ME-per gallias.

And among the $\boldsymbol{M}$ - that form existed in relation to the position MEper gallias (Cnd.102/5.163: Officium viri illustris magistri equitum per gallias).
By contrast the headings for the two western central $\boldsymbol{M}$ - were:
Cnd.98/9.149: Officium suprascripti magistri peditum praesentalis (MP)
Cnd.102/5.45: Officium suprascriptae magisteriae potestatis (ME)
These were the only 2 officium headings in which the first word, officium, was followed by suprascript- instead of autem (61) or vir- (6) or non (1) or praesidis (1). ${ }^{363}$
b The form suprascripti + service position (Cnd.98/9.149) did not exist elsewhere in the Cnd.
An expanded form existed in 4 eastern lists and 1 western items (Cnd.23.36; 26.17; 28.7; 113.28) in the form suprascripti viri+dignity+position: e.g. suprascripti viri illustris magistri officiorum.
c The form suprascriptae magisteriae potestatis in (Cnd.102/5.45) did not exist elsewhere in the Cnd. The officium of each eastern $M$ - all had magisteria potestas (the 2 central officia with suprascriptae, the 3 regional officia without) but all 5 included a designation (in praesenti, or per orientem or per thracias or per illyricum) with the words magisteriae potestatis. Cnd.102/5.45 had no such designation.
d The name magisteria potestas that existed in the officium heading in each of the five eastern lists for $\boldsymbol{M}$-, and in the second central list related to $\boldsymbol{M E}$ (Cnd.102/5.45), was not used in the first central list for the position MP, even though the name magisteria potestas was used to refer to the central position of Stilicho MM, in the important western law Cod.Theod.1.7.3(398)addressed to him. ${ }^{364}$
e The officium list related to the central $\boldsymbol{M E}$, included the position Primiscrinius immediately after that of Numerarius. The same combination existed elsewhere in the Cnd only in the officium of the proconsul africae (but with two numerarii instead of one).
The two positions Numerarius, Primiscrinius in Cnd.102/5.47-48 may be related, either to the item Primiscrinii qui numerii fiunt that existed in four of the officia of the five eastern $\boldsymbol{M}$-, or to an item such as Cnd.91.23 Primiscrinius sive numerarius in the officium list of the praefectus urbis <romae>.
With the exception of that additional position, primiscrinius, the two officia in the central lists Cnd.98/9 and Cnd.102/5.1-52 were identical, as indicated in the following table that names the officer positions in the 5 eastern and 3 western officia directed by $\boldsymbol{M}$-:


[^24]364
See note 377 and $\S[14]$.
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## $\S[13 \mathrm{c}]$ The pictures associated with the central lists

All the agency lists associated with a position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the Cnd (except the transformed list related to the MEper gallias) were preceded by a series of pictures. The first picture in each series contained a drawing representing a table supporting a rectangular emblem accompanied by drawings of variously decorated discs, representing the shields of military units, each of which was drawn below a drawing caption that was related to a different one of the units named in the following agency list. These drawings representing shields were extended onto a second page or, in one case, onto five pages.
There were differences between the eastern and western pictures associated with the agency lists of $\boldsymbol{M}$-:
a The agency lists of the five eastern $\boldsymbol{M}$ - were each preceded by a series of 2 pictures (Cnd.7-8, 10-11, 1314, 16-17, 19-20). The first western central list was preceded by a series of 6 pictures (Cnd.92-97) while the second western central list ( $\boldsymbol{M E}$ ) was preceded by a series of 2 pictures (Cnd.100-101).
b The first picture in each of the 5 eastern series had a picture caption that included a designation with the position name (e.g. Insignia viri illustris magistri militum praesentalis or Insignia viri illustris magistri militum per orientem). The first picture in each of the 2 western series also had a picture caption, but without any designation (Insignia viri illustris magistri peditum, Insignia viri illustris magistri equitum).
c In the agency lists of the 5 eastern $\boldsymbol{M}$-, the domain list comprised both cavalry and infantry units, but the shield captions in all ten pictures represented only infantry units. The western central list Cnd.98/9 (MP) named only infantry units and all the shield captions in the 6 pictures Cnd.92-97 represented only that category.
Similarly, the western central list Cnd.102/5.1-52 (ME) named only cavalry units which was the only category represented in the two pictures $C n d .100-101$. And, within the $C n d$, these two pictures were the only ones whose drawing captions, over discs representing shields, named comitatenses cavalry units.
d The agency list of the MEper gallias (Cnd.102/5.217-229, 114-169) was the only agency list for a position $\boldsymbol{M}$ that was not preceded by pictures, or a picture caption, in the Cnd.
e Each of the five eastern series of pictures contained shield drawings that represented a particular category of the units stationed in the area or region allocated to each $M$-. But the shield drawings in the two western series represented the units in comprehensive lists and most of these were not under the immediate command of the central $\boldsymbol{M}$-.
f The 5 series of eastern pictures each contained sufficient shield drawings to ensure that every infantry unit named in the 5 related domain lists could be represented by a captioned drawing.
But both western series had an insufficient number of shield drawings for the number of units to be represented:
i The 6 pictures (Cnd.92-97) contained 123 drawings while the following list Cnd.98/9 named 128 infantry units. ${ }^{365}$
Four of those 128 units named in Cnd.98/9 were not represented by drawings but, as described elsewhere, ${ }^{366} 4$ shield drawings and captions for these units were present in a pre-Cnd series of pictures.
A fifth unit was not represented by a drawing because its name was combined with that of another unit within the same single list item (Cnd.98/9.39: Batavi | matriciaci seniores) and was, therefore, not identified as a separate unit when the captions were either added or revised.
ii The 2 pictures (Cnd.100-101) contained 39 shield drawings while the list (Cnd.102/5.2-44) named 41 cavalry units.
The captions to the shield drawings omitted the names of the last two units (Cnd.102/5.44-45) and there is no evidence, either that a pre-Cnd series contained an additional two drawings, or that any single list item contained the name of more than one unit.
This observation, concerning the presence of 39 shield drawings instead of 41 in the series (Cnd.100-101), combined with the observation about the apparent absence of a series of pictures for the (transformed) agency list of the MEper gallias, may be relevant to the consideration of the relationship that may have existed, in a pre-Cnd compilation, between the lists that were represented by the two western central lists Cnd.98/9 and Cnd.102/5.1-52.

365 See Attachment 9.
See Appendix 9: Shield emblems in the Cnd at: https://www.notitiadignitatum.org/
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To clarify the following comments, it may be useful to compare the two pictures in the series Cnd.100-101 with the first picture in each of the 5 eastern series, and with the first two pictures in the western series Cnd.92-97.


It is apparent from the comparison that the 41 cavalry units named in (Cnd.102/5.2-44) could all have been represented by a captioned shield drawing in (Cnd.100-101) if, in the first picture (Cnd.100), there had been: a 4 rows of 5 each (as in the first picture, Cnd. 92 , in the preceding series); and
b 1 shield drawing, instead of 4, beside the drawing of a table (as in the 3eastern pictures Cnd.13, 16, 19),
The fact that this was not done, and that 2 listed units were not represented by captioned drawings, suggests an alternative.

## Observing:

a that no first picture in any of the other series contained 4 shield drawings beside that of a table, and b that the first picture to each of the other three central lists (Cnd.7, 10, 92) had only 2 such drawings, it is possible that a pre-Cnd picture represented by Cnd. 100 also had 2 shields beside the table but that another 2 were later added. Without those additional 2 shields, the pictures Cnd.100-101 would have represented a pre-Cnd series with 37 shields (17+20).
It may be coincidental, but:

- the military units listed in the domain of the MEper gallias named exactly 37 infantry units that were attested as comitantenses; and,
- comitatenses infantry units were the only ones represented in the captions to shield drawings in all the other series of pictures related to the agency lists of the $\boldsymbol{M}$-, both eastern and western.

The list of infantry units intra gallias (Cnd.102/5.114-162) actually named 48 units, but only 37 of these were also named in the central list Cnd.98/9. The additional 11 units were named among the last 14 units in the Gallic list Cnd.102/5.149-162. Within those 14 units, only three (Cnd.102/5.154-5, 158) were named in the central list (Cnd.98/9.146-148) and, in that comprehensive list, they were the last three names and were classed as pseudocomitatenses. It is assumed from this that the other 11 units in the Gallic list Cnd.102/5.149-162 were also in the same class, but that is not attested since the lists of military units in Cnd.102/5.53-260 did not have class headings such as those in the comprehensive lists in Cnd.98/9 and Cnd.102/5.1-52. Moreover, the agency list of the MEper gallias in the Cnd represented a pre-Cnd agency that had been transformed and which may, like the pre-Cnd agency lists of the comites africae and tingitaniae, have also contained limitanei units.

The preceding considerations suggest the possibility that two pre－Cnd pictures，associated with a pre－ Cnd agency list of the MEper gallias，had been converted into the form，and located in the position，that was represented by the pictures Cnd．100－101．If this possibility is considered to be reasonable，it may need to be included in any speculation about whether the two western central lists Cnd．98／9 and Cnd．102／5．1－52 represented a single pre－Cnd list that had been divided into two parts．

## §［13d］The drawings related to the dignity of the position $\mathbf{M}$－

During most of the 5 thC，the illustris grade of dignity was attached to the same nine positions（some of which were usually held by two or more contemporary officers），from praefectus praetorio to comes domesticorum．${ }^{367}$ These nine positions were mostly divided into two groups differing from each other by the ranks of the positions within each group and the two groups corresponded to two divisions of the illustris grade．The usual two groups were：

| Table 20 |  | Dignity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service positions | Ranks | Grade | Divisions |
| praefectus praetorio | 1 | Illustris | 1 |
| praefectus urbis | 2 | Illustris | 1 |
| magister militum | 3 | Illustris | 1 |
| praepositus sacri cubiculi | 4 | Illustris | 1 |
| quaestor sacri palatii | 5 | Illustris | 2 |
| magister officiorum | 6 | Illustris | 2 |
| comes sacrarum largitionum | 7 | Illustris | 2 |
| comes rerum privatarum | 8 | Illustris | 2 |

The rank order of these 9 positions was apparent from the sequence in which they were named（from the highest rank to the lowest）in the precedence lists represented in the Cnd．And the illustris grade that was attached to those 9 positions was stated in the domain list headings in the Cnd agency lists．But the two divisions of the illustris grade and，therefore，the two groups of these positions，are apparent from Cod．Theod．，Cod．Iust．and Nov．，but were not described or defined in the Cnd and could not have been determined from any pre－Cnd compilation that contained only the information that was represented by the Cnd．

Those divisions were，however，indicated by different decorations on a particular drawing，but not in a way in which those decorations could be identified as referring to the divisions of the grade of dignity，or in which their distribution among specific pictures could be explained，without a prior knowledge of the laws that created and reflected those two divisions and the positions that were related to them．
As mentioned in $\S[6 \mathrm{c}]$ ，with the exception only of the two pictures Cnd．83－84，each picture，or the first picture in each series of pictures，contained the drawing of a rectangular emblem which，especially in the pictures related to agencies directed by officers with the illustris grade of dignity，was decorated with geometric stripes and was drawn as placed on a table． 368
In the eastern pictures related to agencies directed by officers with the illustris grade of dignity，the 12 rectangular emblems pictures were decorated with one of two different designs or patterns that were distributed among the drawings of the rectangular emblems as follows：

| Table 21 Cnd eastern lists |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 4 praefectus praetorio illyrici 7 magister militum praes． 10 magister militum praes． <br> Q 13 magister militum per orientem <br> Q 16 magister militum per thracias <br> 8 19 magister militum per illyricum |  | 合 22 magister officiorum <br> 是 24 quaestor <br> 8 25 comes sacrarum largitionum <br> \＆ 27 comes rerum privatarum <br> 经 29 comes domesticorum equitum <br> \＆ 29 comes domesticorum peditum |

$\mathbf{Y}=$ yellow／gold， $\mathbf{W}=$ white；
$\Rightarrow$ indicates that the emblem was drawn as placed on a table；
／／indicates that the central rectangle contained the drawing of either one or of two human portraits or busts．

[^25]The two decorations were not distributed according to the grade illustris（which was attached to all these positions），nor according to the different ranks of the positions（which differed as previously described）， nor according to any criterion other than the divisions of the illustris grade of dignity．
The importance of these drawings exists in the number of different decorations and their distribution． While these two decorations have not been certainly attested as accurate copies of decorations that were officially used on Roman objects，their distribution among these 12 rectangular emblems agrees exactly with the two divisions of the illustris grade of dignity，and with the two groups of positions to which each grade and division of the illustris dignity was attached．
In the 12 eastern drawings，the existence of two different decorations to indicate the two divisions of the illustris grade dignity，and the distribution of those decorations among the 12 eastern emblems，to identify the two groups of positions to which each division of the illustris grade dignity was attached， was consistent with the laws about the illustris grade and about the ranks of the positions related to each division of the grade．
By contrast，the distribution of the same two decorations among the 10 rectangular emblems in the corresponding western pictures was not consistent with those laws．In those 10 western drawings，the distribution of the two decorations among the rectangular emblems placed the two positions that were identified in the Cnd as $\boldsymbol{M P}$ and $\boldsymbol{M E}$ within the second division of the illustris grade，instead of the first division that was accorded to the five positions $\boldsymbol{M}$－in the eastern drawings．：

| Table 22 Cnd western lists |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 且 87 praefectus praetorio italiae娒 90 praefectus urbis romae |  | \＆ 92 magister peditum <br> \＆ 100 magister equitum 88 106 magister officiorum尾 108 quaestor E 109 comes sacrarum largitionum 112 comes rerum privatarum臼 114 comes domesticorum equitum 114 comes domesticorum peditum |

The reason for the difference between the eastern and western distribution of the two decorations on the rectangular emblems is not explained in the available evidence．Speculative possibilities about the association of the western positions $\boldsymbol{M}$－with the second－division decoration could include：
a that the decoration reflected the period before 372 when the position $\boldsymbol{M}$－had not yet been incorporated into the division of the illustris grade that was attached to the positions praefectus praetorio and praefectus urbis；
b that a second－division decoration was used because someone considered that a magister peditum and a magister equitum could not be in the same division of the illustris grade as an eastern magister militum；

## $\S[14]$ The western central position＇magister militum praesentalium＇

## §［14a］The Cnd name＇MM－praesentalium＂

The western precedence list contained the following three names for positions $\boldsymbol{M}$－：
Cnd．85／6．5：magister peditum in praesenti
Cnd．85／6．6：magister equitum in praesenti
Cnd．85／6．7：magister equitum per gallias．
each of which was represented as being the position of the director of an agency that was represented by an agency list．And in those lists，the names of the positions were referred to as：

| Cnd．98／9： | magister peditum praesentalis |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cnd．102／5．1－52： |  |
| comes et magister equitum praesentalis and magisteria potestas |  |
| Cnd $102 / 5.217$ |  |
| 114 | comes et magister equitum galliarum |
| 163 | magister equitum galliarum |
| magister equitum per gallias． |  |

These names，that existed in the precedence list and in the three agency lists，did not exist in any other list in the Cnd．．
By contrast， 14 items in the officium lists of 12 western agency lists，and 1 heading in another series of lists，referred to a position $\boldsymbol{M}$－that was not named in the precedence list and was not named as director of an agency represented by an agency list．These 15 references existed in the following items：

Table 23

| officia |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102/5.164 | principem | ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium uno anno a parte peditum alio a parte equitum |
| 128.20 | principem commentariensem numerarios duos | ex officiis magrm(:) militum praesentalium uno anno a parte peditum alio a parte equitum |
| 128.23 |  | ex officio magistrum militum praesentalium alternis annis |
| 128.24 |  | ex utrisque officiis magistros militum praesentalium singulos |
| 130.11 | principem | ex officio magistr(:) militum praesentalium uno anno a parte peditum alio anno a parte equitum |
| 133.4 | principem | ex officio magistri militum praesentalium alternis annis |
| 137.11 | principem | ex officio magistri militum praesentalium alternis annis |
| 139.17 | principem | ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis |
| 147.24 | principem | ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis <br> 147.25 numerarios duos ex utrisque officiis praesentalibus singulos |
| 151.17 | principem | ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis 151.18 numerarium a parte peditum uno anno |
| 154.41 | principem | ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis <br> 154.43 numerarios ex utrisque officiis omni anno |
| 156/8.14 | principem | ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis <br> 156/8.15 numerarium a parte peditum semper 156/8.16 commentariensem a parte peditum semper |
| 132.12 | principem | ex officio magistri praesentalium a parte peditum |
| 149.4 | principem | ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium a parte peditum |
| praepositurae |  |  |
| 156/8.22 |  | praepositurae magistri militum praesentalium a parte peditum |

The form of each of these 15 items in the table represents the form that existed in the Cnd, and several of these forms differ from those in which the corresponding items were represented in the edition published by Otto Seeck in $1876 .{ }^{369}$
Seeck based his representation of the form of each of these items on three assumptions:
a that the name magister militum praesentalium a parte peditum was synonymous with the name magister peditum (MP), as indicated by the fact that he represented the item Cnd.156/8.22: Item praepositurae magistri militum praesentalium a parte peditum in italia, which he thought was the title to the series of lists Cnd.156/8.22-87, with the title Praepositurae magistri peditum in his page-headers to pp.215-219;370
b that the adjective praesentalis qualified the noun magister and not miles;
c that the nouns magister and officium agreed in number (singular or plural).
On the basis of these assumptions, Seeck introduced the following emendations into his edition (indicated below by italics letters in the underlined words):
Cnd.130.11 Principem ex officio magistr(:) militum praesentalium uno anno a parte peditum alio anno a parte equitum Seeck 1876 p. 178 Principem ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium, uno anno a parte peditum, alio anno a parte equitum
Cnd.130.13 Numerarios duos singulos ex officio supradicto Seeck 1876 p. 178 Numerarios duos, singulos ex officiis supradictis

Cnd.132.12 Principem ex officio magistri praesentalium a parte peditum Seeck 1876 p. 181 Principem ex officio magistri militum praesentalis a parte peditum
Cnd.133.4 Principem ex officio magistri militum praesentalium alternis annis Seeck 1876 p. 183 Principem ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis
Cnd.137.11 Principem ex officio magistri militum praesentalium alternis annis Seeck 1876 p. $185 \quad$ Principem ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis

Cnd.149.4 Principem ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium a parte peditum Seeck 1876 p. 203 Principem ex officio magistri militum praesentalis a parte peditum
Cnd.158.22 Item praepositurae magistri militum praesentalium a parte peditum in italia Seeck 1876 p .215 Item praepositurae magistri militum praesentalis a parte peditum | In Italia
It is not known whether, and if so to what extent, similar assumptions by scribes may have affected preCnd texts during their transmission.

[^26]The 15 items listed in the table contained the following three names for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$-:

1 magister militum praesentalium magistri militum praesentalium
2 magister militum praesentalium a parte peditum magistri militum praesentalium a parte peditum
(Cnd.133.4, 137.11)
(Cnd.139.17, 147.24, 151.17, 154.41, 156/8.14)
(Cnd.156/8.22 and probably 132.12)
(Cnd.149.4)

3 magistri militum praesentalium [.] a parte peditum [.] a parte equitum (102/5.164)
while the Cnd form of the remaining 4 items (Cnd.128.20, 128.23, 128.24, 130.11) is unknown.
Among these three names, the one that was common to them all was the name magister or magistri militum praesentalium (MMpraesentalium).
Only one of the 15 items did not exist in the officium list of a western agency list. That item existed in the fragmentary series of lists Cnd.156/8.22-87. In this series, which named military units in various provinces within the dioceses Italia, Galliae and Hispaniae, the first list was headed (Cnd.156/8.22): Item praepositurae magistri militum praesentalium a parte peditum in italia, in which the phrase intra italiam identified the $\boldsymbol{M}$ Mprasentalium as the central $\boldsymbol{M}$ - ${ }^{371}$
As noted previously, ${ }^{372}$ praesentalis does not exist in western laws and was not used in eastern laws before c .443 . In the $C n d$, it existed in conjunction with the name $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in two eastern picture captions (Cnd.7.a and 10.a: magister militum praesentalis) and two eastern list items (Cnd.9.1 and 12.1: magister militum praesentalis) and this name also existed in two eastern laws (c. 443 and 492). ${ }^{373}$ In the western lists praesentalis existed in three list items (Cnd.98/9.1; 98/9.149: magister peditum praesentalis and Cnd.102/5.1: magister equitum praesentalis) and these two names exist only in texts derived from the Cnd. ${ }^{374}$ In all these items in the Cnd, praesentalis existed in the singular and described magister.
Among the 15 Cnd items containing the name MMpraesentalium, at least 3 items (Cnd.133.4, 137.11, 156/8.22), and probably 2 others (Cnd.132.12, 128.23) combined the singular magister with the plural milites praesentales in the position name magister militum praesentalium. This is consistent with the use of the adjective praesentalis in the 5 eastern laws that contain it, and in which it is used in the combinations magister militum praesentalis ( 2 times), magister militum praesentalium (2), milites praesentales (4), domestici praesentales (1), numeri praesentales (1) and agmina praesentalia (1). ${ }^{375}$
Since the name MMprasentalium, which existed in 15 items in western Cnd lists, is attested in two eastern laws dated c. 443 and 492 but in no western ones, and since the word praesentalis elsewhere in the Cnd existed only in the singular form in combination with magister, it must be concluded that the name MMprasentalium could not have been derived from any pre-Cnd compilation that contained only the names magister militum praesentalis or peditum praesentalis or equitum praesentalis that were represented in the Cnd: that is, the name MMprasentalium must have been introduced into a pre-Cnd compilation by someone who had knowledge of the use of that name in the east (if not also knowledge of either of the two laws in which the name is now known to have been used).

The existence in the Cnd of the name MMprasentalium, which is attested in the laws as a name that was used officially, differs from the western names magister peditum praesentalis and magister equitum praesentalis, which are not attested in laws or inscriptions and about which there is circumstantial evidence to indicate that the adjective praesentalis in those items was derived from its existence in eastern names for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in a pre-Cnd compilation. ${ }^{376}$

[^27]§[14b] The law Cod.Theod.1.7.3(398)
As mentioned above, 14 of the 15 items in which the name MMpraesentalium existed in the Cnd were items within western officium lists, and 12 of those items referred to the appointment of the princeps of the officium in a regional agency from among officers in the agency of the central $\boldsymbol{M}$-. This method of appointment is described, and its application was extended, in the law Cod.Theod.1.7.3(398). ${ }^{377}$

This law, addressed to Stilicho MM, instructs him to ensure that the princeps (chief of the officium) and the numerarii (accountants) are to be sent each year (unius anni spatio) from the officium of the central M- (ex officio magisteriae potestatis) to the comes africae, in the same manner that they are also being sent to the comites and duces of the various provinces and borders (sicut [.] viris comitibus et ducibus diversarum provinciarum et limitum [.] mittantur).
The fact that this instruction was implemented is confirmed by three items in the officium list of the comes africae:

| Cnd.128.20 | Principem ex officiis magrm(:) militum praesentalium, uno anno a parte peditum, alio a parte equitum |
| :--- | :--- |
| 128.23 | Commentariensem ex officio magistrum |
| 128.24 | Numerarilitum praesentalium alternis annis |
|  |  |

The statements in these three items were similar to those contained in 11 other western officium lists in the Cnd..$^{378}$ Most of those items also include the position commentariensis among those appointed from the central officium. If the inclusion of that position in the Cnd was an accurate representation, then the items in the latter refer to a later law of which no copy is available.
The law Cod.Theod.1.7.3(398) is not represented in Cod.Iust. and there are no indications that its provisions were implemented in the eastern part of the Roman state. Although the law refers to the appointment only of principes and numerarii, the law is especially important for two reasons:
a It describes a method of appointment for whose implementation the texts derived from the Cnd provide the only available evidence.
The statements in the two items Cnd.128.20, 24, and similar items in 11 other western officium lists, indicate that these items were ultimately based on knowledge of the provisions represented in this law (or a similar later one that is not available). And this observation is evidence for the fact that at least some of the list items in the Cnd were ultimately derived, either wholly or partly, from official texts.
b While those items in 12 western officium lists in the Cnd were ultimately derived from a law whose provisions about the appointment of the princeps and numerarii were described in Cod.Theod.1.7.3, the representation of those provisions in the Cnd was inaccurate as a result of changes that were introduced during the transmission of the text. And the law not only enables these changes to be identified, but also to indicate what the items in the Cnd were probably intended to describe.

## $\S[14 \mathrm{c}]$ The law Cod.Theod.1.7.3 compared with related items in the Cnd

The primary copies of the Cnd provide the only available evidence for the implementation of the provisions represented in the law cod.Theod.1.7.3, and that law may also indicate what the Cnd items were intended to mean, but there are also some differences between those items and the law.
a The law refers to the comes africae as vir spectabilis but to the other comites (rei militaris) and duces as viri clarissimi. In the Cnd the spectabilis grade of dignity was attached to the positions of all western comites and duces. The earliest law that refers to a vir spectabilis dux is the eastern law Cod.Theod.7.4.30(409)=Cod.Iust.12.37.13 followed next by the eastern law Cod.Theod.8.4.27(422). This means either that the items in the Cnd reflect a later law, or that an earlier pre-Cnd text was later revised.

[^28]b The law states that the appointments to be made from a central officium to the comes africae are already also being made to other comites and duces. It is not known when these other appointments began to be made.
The law implies, but does not explicitly state, that it refers to all other comites and duces. But the Cnd contained four officium lists (in Cnd.141, 143, 145, 152) that did not refer to appointments from the central $\boldsymbol{M}$-.
c In the 14 Cnd lists that referred to appointments from a central officium, the position commentariensis was added to the two secretariat positions (princeps, numerarius/ii) that are named in the law.
The appointment of a commentariensis from a central officium was explicitly stated only in Cnd.128.23 (perhaps implicitly in other lists which include abridgements, denoted by various forms of $u$ tr( $($ ), uterque, ut supra, supradictus, whose meaning is contextually mostly uncertain and partly unknown).
The name numerarius or numerarii was explicitly associated with a central officium in only Cnd.128.24, 147.24, 151.18, 154.43, 156/8.15 (perhaps implicitly in other lists - see the previous comment)
d The law does not state the number of numerarii in the officium of each comes or $d u x$.
In the Cnd, the numbers varied:
i 1 numerarius in Cnd.141, 143, 145, 151, 152, 156/8;
ii 2 numerarii in Cnd.128, 130, 132, 133, 137, 147 and also probably also in Cnd.102/5.163-169, 139, 149, 154, in which no number is stated.
e The appointment of the princeps and numerarius/ii from a central officium suggests that those two secretariat positions were the most important at the time of the law.
This is confirmed by the observation that these two positions occupied the first two places in the officium lists Cnd.132, 137, 139, 147, 149, 151, 156/8 in which they immediately preceded the position commentariensis (in the sequence p-n-c). ${ }^{379}$
In Cnd.130, 133. 154 the three positions also occupied the first three places, but were listed in the sequence (p-c-n). And that sequence also existed in Cnd.102/5.163-169, in which the commentariensis was not appointed from a central officium. It also existed in Cnd.128, but in this officium the three positions existed as items $1,4,5$ instead of $1,2,3$.
The origin and significance of these differences are unknown.
f The law Cod.Theod.1.7.3 implies that the period of employment in the positions of the princeps and numerarius appointed from a central officium was for one year (unius anni spatio). This period of appointment was indicated in the Cnd by various references to uno anno, alio anno and alternis annis in the descriptions that referred to appointments from the officium of the central $\boldsymbol{M}$-.
The Cnd contained the following three forms of these descriptions relating to appointments to the position princeps:
i (princeps) ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis (Cnd.139.17, 147.24, 151.17, 154.41, 156/8.14)
or ex officio magistri militum praesentalium alternis annis (Cnd.133.4, 137.11)
ii (princeps) ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium a parte peditum (Cnd.149.4)
or ex officio magistri praesentalium a parte peditum (Cnd.132.12)
iii (princeps) ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium uno anno a parte peditum alio a parte equitum (Cnd.102/5.164)
or ex officio magistr(:) militum praesentalium uno anno a parte peditum alio anno a parte equitum (Cnd.130.11)
These Cnd descriptions attest the annual period of appointment (uno anno, alio anno) that was prescribed in Cod.Theod.1.7.3. But the meaning of the remaining descriptions is unknown: partly because the Cnd descriptions varied between a singular and plural while the law refers to one officium and one central $M$-; but mainly because the meaning of the Cnd references to a parte peditum and a parte equitum, in the context in which it was used, is unknown.
There is insufficient evidence to determine the meaning of the phrase alternis annis. The combination of ex officio magistri militum and alternis annis could be interpreted to mean that appointments from the officium of the central $\boldsymbol{M}$ - were made only every second year, which would be incompatible with the prescriptions in Cod.Theod.1.7.3. But it would appear that, given the existence of the phrases: uno

379 This same sequence princeps $=>$ numerarius $/ i i=>$ commentariensis ( $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{c}$ ) also existed in the four officia Cnd.141, 143, 145, 152 that received no appointments from a central officium: namely, Cnd.141: dux pannoniae II; Cnd.143: dux valeriae ripensis; Cnd.145: dux pannoniae I \& norici ripensis; Cnd.152: dux belgicae secundae.
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anno a parte peditum alio anno a parte equitum, the phrase alternis annis was probably intended to represent an abridgement of the former.
g the law is addressed to Stilicho as $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ and refers to appointments from his officium, that is referred to as the officium of the central MaP (ex officio magisteriae potestatis). There is no mention of another central officium or of another central $\boldsymbol{M}$-, (the name $\boldsymbol{M a P}$ was used only once in the plural number in the laws). ${ }^{380}$
The Cnd references to the central officium varied between a singular and plural number.
While the exact form of some of the descriptions in the Cnd cannot be certainly reconstructed, the remainder referred to appointments being made from, either:
i. an officium of a $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{M}$ in Cnd.132.12, 133.4, 137.11, and possibly 130.11, 128.23; or
ii the officia of more than one MM: Cnd.102/5.164, 139.17, 147.24, 149.14, 151.17, 154.41 156/8.14, and possibly 128.20, 128.24.

The laws did not display an entirely consistent agreement in number (singular or plural) between magister and officium, as exemplified in the statement: Cod.Theod.12.6.6(365): qui in officio magistrorum equitum et peditum militarunt which was adapted, but without a change in number, in Cod.Iust.12.54.2: qui in officio magistrorum equitum ac peditum militiam sortiti sunt.
But this is probably not an explanation for the variation between officium and officia in the Cnd when considering that the law of 398 refers to a single officium and is addressed to a single central $\boldsymbol{M}$-.
Throughout the 15 items listed in Table 23, the existence of the possessive plural form praesentalium in the Cnd is known with certainty from the agreement of all primary copies of the Cnd. As already mentioned, in all other combinations of the words magister and praesentalis in the Cnd both agree in the singular (in 4 eastern and 2 western items) so that it is unknown whether, in the items referring to more than one central officium, the plural militum praesentalium caused a change to a singular magister and, consequentially, to a singular officium (as exemplified in some of the emendations made by Seeck).

## $\S[14 \mathrm{~d}]$ The designations a parte peditum /a parte equitum

Among the 15 items that contained the name MMpraesentalium in the Cnd western lists, 14 existed in 12 officium lists and 1 in a heading to a list that was not related to an officium. Among these 14 items, 5 used the phrases a parte peditum and/or a parte equitum in the following two forms:
i ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium uno anno a parte peditum alio a parte equitum (Cnd.102/5.164, 128.20?) ex officio magistr(:) militum praesentalium uno anno a parte peditum alio anno a parte equitum (Cnd.130.11?)
ii ex officiis magistrorum militum a parte peditum (Cnd.149.4) ex officio magistri a parte peditum (Cnd.132.12?)
In addition to these 5 items, there existed 1 other item that contained the name MMpraesentalium and that was not part of an officium list. That item was the heading (Cnd.156/8.22): praepositurae magistri militum praesentalium a parte peditum which suggested, like item Cnd.149.4, that a parte peditum referred to magister militum praesentalium (rather than, for example, to officium).
The following observations can be made about these 6 items:
a the two phrases, a parte peditum and a parte equitum coexist in the first two of the 15 items that contained the name MMpraesentalium in the Cnd. This is important because the first item that names a position or describes a circumstance that is repeated in following lists is sometimes more likely to contain a more accurate or less abridged copy of the intended form of that item. ${ }^{381}$
b the name magister peditum praesentalium a parte peditum existed in two items (Cnd.156/8.22 and 149.4) but the Cnd did not have the name magister militum praesentalium a parte equitum.

[^29]381 For example, according to the Cnd, the princeps of the officium of some directors of diocesan civil agencies, and of most directors of regional and provincial military agencies, were officers who, with the ducenarius grade dignity, were appointed from the schola agentum in rebus (corps of imperial agents) under the jurisdiction of the magister officiorum.
The first item in the Cnd that referred to such an appointment described it as, Cnd.40.5: Principem de schola agentum in rebus ducenar(:) qui $\underline{a} d o r a t a ~ c l e m e n t i a ~ p r i n c i p a l i ~ c u m ~ i n s i g n i b u s ~ e x i t ~ t r a n s a c t o ~$ biennio. The next two occurrences, Cnd.42.18, 46.11. omitted the words transacto biennio and, by the fifth one, the item was Cnd.50.9: Principem qui de schola agentum in rebus est.
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c the name magister peditum praesentalium a parte peditum exists only in texts derived from the Cnd.
d the two phrases do not exist in any law or inscription.
e the two phrases in combination with the word magister (singular or plural) exist only in texts derived from the Cnd.
f the two phrases in combination with the word domestici exist in the copy of a text written by Cassiodorus at the Gothic court in Italia c.507/11, to refer to the cavalry and infantry in the royal household guards (domestici partis equitum et peditum). ${ }^{382}$
$g$ the two phrases existed only in the sequence a parte peditum $=>$ a parte equitum which is consistent with the sequence $\boldsymbol{M P}$ and $\boldsymbol{M E}$ in both the precedence lists and two western central lists of comitatenses units.
h the name magister militum (cavalry+infantry) and the phrase a parte peditum (infantry) are incompatible (which explains why the combination does not exist in the laws and inscriptions).
In the Cnd, the name MMpraesentalium existed in most western officium lists but not in the precedence list or as director of an agency represented by an agency list, while the names $\boldsymbol{M P}$ and $\boldsymbol{M E}$ existed in the precedence list and in the central lists represented as agency lists, but in no officium list.
As mentioned previously, ${ }^{383}$ the name MMpraesentalium, which is attested in eastern laws as having been used as an official name, must have been introduced into a pre-Cnd compilation by someone who had knowledge of that use of that official name. It is apparent that this person was not prepared to use the names magister peditum praesentalis or magister equitum praesentalis (which exist now only in texts derived from the Cnd) that were available in the two western central agency lists. It is unlikely that this person would have used the unattested name magister militum praesentalium a parte peditum. So the suspicion exists that the two phrases a parte peditum and a parte equitum resulted from an attempt, by someone else, to relate the name MMpraesentalium to the names MP and ME.

It is interesting to note that the 15 items in the Cnd that contained the name MMpraesentalium, which is attested in eastern laws, included the 12 items that are the only available evidence that attest the implementation of the provisions described in Cod.Theod.1.7.3 regarding the appointment of principes to regional military officia. This raises unanswerable questions about whether the 12 items that were represented in the Cnd existed in the earliest pre-Cnd list for each officium, or whether they were added later and, if so, whether as a supplement to, or as a replacement for, a corresponding pre-Cnd item.

## [15] Conclusion

It is certain that many of the lists that existed in the Cnd were ultimately derived, either wholly or partly, from lists that were created as official lists in the Roman imperial service. Most list items in the Cnd referred to, or represented, entities and related matters that are attested to have existed in the Roman state at various times before c.500, although not all simultaneously in any particular year. No item in the Cnd referred to any entity and related matter that can be proved to have been created, or to have existed for the first time, after c. 500.
The value of the items in the Cnd as historical evidence depends upon the number of items that were ultimately derived from official lists created in the Roman state and the accuracy with which such items were represented in the Cnd.
A determination of the extent to which the lists in the Cnd were derived from such official lists depends, firstly, on the extent to which the items in the Cnd can be reconstructed from the primary copies of it and, secondly, on the extent to which the form in which an entity or related matter was represented in the Cnd (that is, the spelling of words and their sequence in any item) was consistent with the form in which the same entity or related matter was represented in Latin official texts, or copies of them that are independent of the Cnd. Those texts are now available mostly as copies of Roman imperial laws, supplemented by administrative texts produced in the Roman state as inscriptions on objects or texts written on papyrus.
In this appendix, a comparison is made between the references that existed in the Cnd to the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - (which, in official texts, was mostly named magister militum - master of soldiers), and the

[^30]references that exist to the same position, and related matters, in the copies of imperial laws and in inscriptions. The large number of both those copies of laws, and of inscriptions; and the variety of the places in which the original texts were created (in both the eastern and western parts of the Roman state); and the extensive period during which the original texts were produced (344-534) are together sufficient to enable useful conclusions to be drawn.
The results of this comparison are described in conclusions to various sections throughout this appendix and, without repeating any of these here, a few general observations may be appropriate.
A comparison between the names for the service position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - that existed in the Cnd, and those in the copies of imperial laws and in inscriptions, indicates, firstly, that several of the names in the Cnd were inaccurate representations of the names existing in those copies of imperial laws and in inscriptions; and, secondly, that the extent of the inaccuracy was significantly greater in the western lists in the Cnd than in its eastern lists.
Although the $C n d$ contained different names for each of the five eastern positions $\boldsymbol{M}$-, at least one of the Cnd names for each eastern position is attested as having been used in the laws or inscriptions, while the same is not true for any of the names that existed in the Cnd for the three western positions $M$-.

Indeed, among those western names in the Cnd, unhistorical and confected names such as magister peditum praesentalis, or magister militum praesentalium a parte peditum indicated, in different ways, attempts to attach eastern elements to western names by someone who was ignorant of the names that were officially used for the western positions $M$-.
Those invented and false names are associated with the unexplainable observation that, while the Cnd identified its first western central position $M$ - with the name magister peditum, and allocated to that position a jurisdiction over all regional directors of soldiers, and over all comitatenses infantry units in the western part of the Roman state, the name magister peditum does not exist in any law or in any inscription produced during the period 344-534. And no law or inscription refers to any service position to which there was allocated the command of only infantry units in a manner in which the appointee to that position could be referred to officially as being a magister peditum.
In addition to the inaccurate representation of the official names for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in some of the names that existed in the $C n d$, it is certain that the western lists associated with a regional $\boldsymbol{M}$-, and the lists related to three comites commanding comitatenses within the Cnd, were transformed copies of pre-Cnd agency lists that had the same sorts of items, in the same arrangement, as most agency lists in the Cnd. These transformations, which included excerptions from pre-Cnd agency lists, and transfers of items between pre-Cnd agency lists, required no information other than the items as represented in the Cnd, and no contemporary knowledge of the entities or related matters to which those items referred. These changes could, therefore, have been produced in any pre-Cnd compilation or, possibly, even during the production of the Cnd.
These few comments do not imply that the eastern lists for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the Cnd were wholly consistent with matters related to the positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the laws and inscriptions. There is, for example, no evidence that all five eastern positions $\boldsymbol{M}$ - named in the $C n d$, or only five positions, existed simultaneously in any particular year or period, or that two central positions $M$ - co-existed as ordinary and permanent positions. And, in relation to their officia, the officium cardinale associated with two of the five positions is unattested in any text independent of the Cnd, while the officium [.] in numeris militat for the remaining three positions, which is also unattested independently, is inconsistent with the provisions in the laws relating to agency secretariats.
Consequently, in relation to the imperial service position $M$-, the value of the items in the Cnd as historical evidence is twofold: firstly, items in the Cnd can validate, and be themselves validated by, evidence that is available in texts that are independent of the Cnd. And, secondly where any item in the Cnd cannot be validated by independent evidence, it provides only probable or possible evidence for the existence of an entity or related matter that existed in the Roman state. (depending on the extent to which the item can be reconstructed from the primary copies of the Cnd, and on the context in which the item occurred in the Cnd).
But where the forms of items referring to entities and related matters in the available copies of Roman imperial laws, and in administrative texts in inscriptions produced in the Roman state differ significantly from the forms that existed in the Cnd to refer to the same entities and matters, there is no available
evidence about either the earliest pre-Cnd compilation, or about the transmission of its contents, that would justify or require that the forms of items in the Cnd should be preferred to the forms in those copies of laws and in administrative texts.

Attachment 1: Summary table. The Latin names for the service position $M$ - in laws, inscriptions and a papyrus text

In the following table, these abbreviations are used:
Laws E/W
identify the part of the Roman state in which the emperor who created the law initially and mostly resided, being either the $\mathbf{E}$ (astern) or $\mathbf{W}$ (estern) of the two parts into which the Roman state was administratively divided, initially in 364 and, with modifications, permanently after 395-7;
Inscriptions and Papyrus E/W/R
identify the $\mathbf{E}$ (astern) or $\mathbf{W}$ (estern) part of the Roman state in which the text containing the name of the service position was written on papyrus or inscribed on a stone, metal or ivory object (within the Western part, objects located within $\mathbf{R}$ (oma) are separately identified);
Cnd EL / WL
identify the $\mathbf{E}$ (astern) $\mathbf{L}$ (ists) and the $\mathbf{W}$ (estern) $\mathbf{L}$ (ists) that were contained in the Cnd and referred, respectively. to the eastern and western part of the Roman state. The EL and related pictures occupied pages 1-82 and the WL and pictures pages 85-164.
All service position names, whether singular or plural in the texts referred to, are written in the singular form (with one exception).

|  | Number of times the name is used in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Laws |  | Inscriptions |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Papyr } \\ \hline \text { E } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Cnd |  |
| Names for the service position of magister (militum, etc) | E | W | E | W |  | EL | WL |
| magister peditum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| magister peditum | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Cnd magister peditum in praesenti | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Cnd magister peditum praesentalis | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| magister equitum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| magister equitum \& Cnd | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| magisterium equitum (honorarii codicilli) W 372 |  | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Cnd magister equitum in praesenti | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Cnd magister equitum praesentalis | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Cnd magister equitum per gallias | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Cnd magister equitum galliarum | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| magister equitum \& peditum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| magister equitum et/ac peditum | 10 | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| magister equitum peditumque | - | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - |
| magisterium equitum peditumque | 1 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| magister peditum \& equitum | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| magister equitum peditum | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| magister equitum et peditum praes. | - | - | 3 | -- | - | - | - |
| Cnd magister equitum \& peditum in praesenti duo | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Cnd magister equitum \& peditum per orientem | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Cnd magister equitum \& peditum per thracias | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Cnd magister equitum \& peditum per illyricum | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| exmagistro equitum \& peditum | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| magister militiae equestris ac pedestris | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| magister utriusque militiae | 13 | 7 | 1 | 21 | - | - | - |
| magister utriusque militiae per africam | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| magister utriusque militiae per orientem | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| magister militiae per orientem | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| comes utriusque militiae | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| exmagistro utriusque militiae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |


|  | Number of times the name is used in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Laws |  | Inscriptions |  | Papyr | Cnd |  |
| Names for the service position of magister (militum, etc) | E | W | E | W | E | EL | WL |


| magister militum (in the section titles Cod.Theod.1.7; 6.6; 6.7) | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| magister militum (in the section titles Cod.Iust. 1.29; 12.3; 12.4; 12.54) | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| magister militum | 52 | 19 | 7 | 1 | - |
| magisterium militare | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| magistri militum per diversas regiones | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| magister militum per orientem \& Cnd | 6 | - | 1 | - | - |
| magist-? militum orientis | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| magist-? militum orientalium | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| magister militum per thracias $\quad \&$ Cnd | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Cnd magister(:) militum per thracias ex-magistro militum per thracia(:) | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| magister militum per illyricum \& Cnd | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Cnd magister(:) militis per illyricum | - | - | - | - | - |
| magist-? militum illyrici | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| magister militum per gallias | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| magister militum dalmatiae | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| magister militum per armeniam [etc] | 1 | - | - | - | - |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | - |
| - | - |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |


| magister militum praesentalis <br> magister militum praesentalis alter $\&$ Cnd | 1 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| magister militum praesentalium magist-? militum praesentalium | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
|  | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| magist-? militum utriusque prae[?] | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cnd magister(:) milit(:) in praesenti | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Cnd magister(:) militum in praesenti | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Cnd magister militum praesentalium a parte peditum | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Cnd magister praesentalium a parte peditum | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Cnd magister militum prasentalium [..] a parte peditum [..] a parte equitum | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| magister militum vacans | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| magisteria potestas (in the section title Cod.Theod.8.3) |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | - | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| magisteria potestas | \& Cnd | 16 | 2 | - | - | - |  |  |
| magisteria militaris potestas |  | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| magisteria militum potestas |  | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cnd magisteria in praesenti potestas |  | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| magisteria per orientem potestas | \& Cnd | 4 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Cnd magisteria per thracias potestas |  | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Cnd magisteria per illyricum potestas |  | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| magisteria per orientem administratio |  | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Other service position names not including magister

| culmen militare |  | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vir illustris comes |  | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Column totals Combined totals | 143 | 44 | 15 | 29 | 1 | 24 | 24 |
|  |  | 187 |  | 44 |  | 1 | 48 |  |

## Uncertain Cnd items excluded from the preceding figures

| Cnd. 128.20 | m ? militum praesentalium [..] a parte peditum [..] a parte equitum |  | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cnd.128.23 | m ? militum praesentalium |  | 1 |
| Cnd. 128.24 | m ? militum praesentalium | 1 |  |

Attachment 1
Chronological and regional distribution of the figures in the preceding Summary Table:

| Name of the service position |  | Decades 340-530 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Papyrus administrative text | Part | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 | 430 | 440 | 450 | 460 | 470 | 480 | 490 | 500 | 510 | 520 | 530 |  |
| magister peditum | E | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |


| Laws | Part | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 | 430 | 440 | 450 | 460 | 470 | 480 | 490 | 500 | 510 | 520 | 530 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| magister equitum | E | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | W |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| magisterium equitum (honorarii codicilli) | W |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |


| Laws | Part | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 | 430 | 440 | 450 | 460 | 470 | 480 | 490 | 500 | 510 | 520 | 530 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| magister equitum et/ac peditum | E | 1 | 5 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | W |  |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| magister equitum peditum | E |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| magisterium equitum peditumque | E |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| magister peditum et equitum | E |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | W |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| exmagistro equitum et/ac peditum | E |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Inscriptions | Part | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 | 430 | 440 | 450 | 460 | 470 | 480 | 490 | 500 | 510 | 520 | 530 |  |
| magister equitum et peditum | E |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| magister equitum peditumque | W |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | R |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| magister equitum et peditum praes. | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |


| Laws | Part | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 | 430 | 440 | 450 | 460 | 470 | 480 | 490 | 500 | 510 | 520 | 530 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| magister utriusque militiae | E |  |  |  |  | 2 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
|  | W |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| magister militiae equestris ac pedestris | W |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| magister utriusque militiae per africam | E |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| magister utriusque militiae per orientem | E |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |


| comes utriusque militiae | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Cod.Theod.7.9.3(393) with the address: ceteris comitibus utriusque militiae is one extract of a law of which another extract (Cod.Theod.7.4.18) has the address: ceteris comitibus et magistris utriusque militiae.



| Inscriptions | Part | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 | 430 | 440 | 450 | 460 | 470 | 480 | 490 | 500 | 510 | 520 | 530 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| magister utriusque militiae | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | W |  |  |  | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
|  | R |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 3 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| exmagistro utriusque militiae | W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

## Attachment 1



| Laws | Part | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 | 430 | 440 | 450 | 460 | 470 | 480 | 490 | 500 | 510 | 520 | 530 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| magisteria potestas | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 8 |  |  | ? 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 16 |
| " | W |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Section title in the books in Cod.Theod. | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| magisteria militaris potestas | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| magisteria militum potestas | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| magisteria per orientem potestas | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| magisteria per orientem administratio | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |

## Other names

| Laws | Part | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 | 410 | 420 | 430 | 440 | 450 | 460 | 470 | 480 | 490 | 500 | 510 | 520 | 530 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| culmen militare | W |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| vir illustris comes | E |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| vir illustris comes | W |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

The W law, Cod.Theod.6.6.1(382) is the only law under the heading de consulibus, praefectis, magistris militum et patriciis.
The E law, Cod.Theod.7.1.17(398) refers to milites sent to court (comitatus) and under the authority of v.i. comites there which can only refer to v.i. comites (et magistri), as indicated in the copy of the law in Cod.Iust.12.35.13 (viris illustribus comitibus, sub quorum regimine constituti sunt).
The W law, Cod.Theod.7.4.23(396) is to be communicated to tribuni of numeri, vexillationes and scholae through the v.i. comites who would comprise the magistri of soldiers and, depending on which scholae are meant, either magister officiorum and/or comes domesticorum

Attachment 2: The Latin names for the service position $M$ - in copies of the main compilations of Roman imperial laws.

The following table lists the different names used in Cod.Theod., Cod.Iust., and in the related Novellae, for the service position generally named magister militum. The laws are arranged in chronological order and the following abbreviations are used:

Ref:
These numbers (1-133) are used to identify corresponding laws in Attachments 2-3 and are extended (134-176) to refer to corresponding inscriptions and writing on papyrus in Attachments 3-4.
Law
Refers to CTh (=Cod.Theod.), CIu (=Cod.Iust.), Nov.(+ abbreviated name of the issuing emperor), and any other Roman imperial laws related to, but not included in, these compilations.
Laws that are linked by $=\mid$ in Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust. are considered to be different excerpts from the same law, or $=\mid$ ? possibly from the same law.
Date:
Indicates the known date or, in italics, the probable date, of the creation of the law.
By:
Identifies the part of the Roman state in which the emperor who created the law initially and mostly resided - being either the $\mathbf{E}$ (astern) or $\mathbf{W}$ (estern) of the two parts into which the Roman state was administratively divided, initially in 364 and, with modifications, permanently after 395-7;
Position:
Indicates the name of the serving officer, or the name of the service position, or both, contained in the law and whether the name occurs in the $\mathbf{A}$ (ddress) or $\mathbf{C}$ (ontents) of the law or in a $\mathbf{S}$ (ubscript) naming additional recipients of copies of the law.
The names of the service position and, if stated, the names of the serving officers to whom the laws are addressed, have been converted to their corresponding singular or plural nominative form if a different form exists in the law.

| Ref | Law | Date | By | Position |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | CTh.5.6.1 | 347 | E | A | Bonosus | magister equitum = CIu.6.62.2 |
| 002 | CTh.7.1.2 = | 349/52 | E | A | Silvanus | comes et magister equitum et peditum |
| 003 | CTh.8.7.3 = | 349/52 | E | A | Silvanus | comes et magister militum |
| 004 | CTh.8.7.4 | 353/4 | E | C | - | magistri equitum et peditum |
| 005 | CTh.8.7.5 | 353/4 | E | C | - | magistri equitum et peditum |
| 006 | CTh.8.1.5 | 357 | E | C | - | magistri peditum et equitum |
| 007 | CTh.12.1.38 | 357 | E | C | - | magistri equitum ac peditum |
| 008 | CTh.15.12.2 | 357 | E | C | - | magistri equitum ac peditum |
| 009 | CTh.1.7.1 | 359 | E | C |  | magister equitum ac peditum |
| 010 | CTh.11.1.1 | 360 | E | C |  | Eusebius, exconsule et exmagistro equitum et peditum |
| 011 | CTh.7.4.12 | 364 | E | A | Victor | magister militum = CIu.12.37.3 |
| 012 | CTh.8.3.1 | 364 | W | C | - | magistri equitum ac peditum = CIu.12.54.1 |
| 013 | CTh.9.2.2 | 365 | W | C | - | magistri militum $\quad$ CIu.9.3.1 = magister militum |
| 014 | CTh.8.1.10 | 365 | W | A | Iovi<n?>us | magister equitum |
| 015 | CTh.7.1.7 | 365 | W | A | Iovi<n?>us | magister equitum et peditum |
| 016 | CTh.12.6.6 | 365 | W | C | - | magistri equitum et peditum <br> Clu.12.54.2 $=$ magistri equitum ac peditum |
| 017 | CTh.7.1.8 =\|? | 365 | E | A | Equitius | comes et magister militum |
| 018 | CIu.11.68.3 =\|? | 365 | E | A | Equitius | magister equitum et peditum |
| 019 | CTh.7.20.9 | 366 | W | A | Dagalaifus | magister militum |
| 020 | CTh.7.1.9 | 367 | W | A | Iovinus | magister equitum |
| 021 | CTh.7.1.10 | 367 | W | A | Iovinus | magister militum |
| 022 | CTh.7.20.11 | 368? | W | A | Iovinus | magister militum |
| 023 | CTh.8.5.30 | 368 | W | C | - | inlustres magistri equitum et peditum |
| 024 | CTh.3.14.1 =\|? | 370/3? | W | A | Theodorus | magister equitum |
| 025 | CIu.4.41.1 =\|? | 370/3? | W | A | Theodotus | magister militum |
| 026 | CTh.8.7.11 | 371 | W | A | Severus | magister militum = CIu.12.59.1 |
|  |  |  |  | C |  | magistri militum et comites et duces omnes (absent CIu) |
| 027 | CTh.7.1.11 | 372 | W | A | Severus | magister militum |
| 028 | CTh.12.1.78 | 372 | W | C | - | inlustres comites et magistri equitum ac peditum |
| 029 | CTh.6.7.1 = | 372 | W | C | - | magistri equitum ac peditum $=$ CIu.12.4.1 |


| 030 | CTh.6.22.4 =\| | 372 | W | C | - | magisterium equitum (honorarii codicilli) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 031 | CTh.7.13.8 | 380 | E | C | - | inlustres magistri equitum peditum |  |
| 032 | CTh.6.7.2 | 380 | E | C | - | magisterium equitum peditumque | = CIu.12.4.2 |
| 033 | CTh.6.6.1 | 382 | W | C | - | culmen militare |  |
| 034 | CTh.9.39.1 | 383 | E | A | Ellebichus | comes et magister utriusque militiae |  |
| 035 | CTh.8.5.44 | 384 | E | C | - | magister equitum ac peditum |  |
| 036 | CTh.10.20.11 | 384 | E | C | - | inlustres magistri utriusque militiae |  |
| 037 | CTh.7.8.3 | 384 | E | C | - | exmagistris equitum ac peditum |  |
| 038 | CTh.8.5.43 | 384-5 | W | C | - | inlustres magistri militiae equestris ac pedestris |  |
| 039 | CIu.1.29.1 | 386/7 | W | C | - | illustres comites et magistri peditum et equitum |  |
| 040 | CTh.4.17.5 | 386 | E | A | Timasius | comes et magister equitum |  |
| 041 | CTh.12.1.113 | 386 | E | A | universi comites et magistri equitum et peditum |  |  |
| 042 | CTh.7.1.13 | 391 | E | A | Richomeres | comes et magister utriusque militiae | = CIu.12.35.12 |
| 043 | CTh.12.1.128 | 392 | E | A | Abundantius | comes et magister utriusque militiae | = CIu.12.32.42 |
| 044 | CIu.2.12.25 | 392 | E | C | - | magisterium militare |  |
| 045 | CTh.1.5.10 =\|? | 393 | E | A | Addaeus | comes et magister utriusque militiae $\quad=$ Clu.1.26.4 |  |
| 046 | CTh.1.7.2 =\|? | 393 | E | A | Addaeus | comes et magister utriusque militiae |  |
| 047 | CTh.1.21.1 | 393 | E | A | comites et magistri utriusque militiae |  | = CIu.1.46.1 |
| 048 | CTh.7.4.18 = | 393 | E | A | Abundantius, Stilicho et ceteri comites et magistri utriusque militiae |  |  |
| 049 | CTh.7.9.3 =1 | 393 | E | A | Abundantius, Stilicho et ceteri comites utriusque militiae |  |  |
| 050 | CTh.16.8.9 | 393 | E | A | Addaeus | comes et magister utriusque militiae per orientem |  |
| 051 | CTh.9.7.9 | 393 | E | A | Gildo | comes et magister utriusque militiae per africam |  |
| 052 | CTh.6.24.6 | 395 | E | A | Addaeus | comes et magister utriusque militiae |  |
| 053 | CIu.12.33.3 | 395-401 | E | A | Pulcher | magister utriusque militiae |  |
| 054 | CTh.7.4.23 | 396 | W | C | - | viri illustres comites (military) | = CIu.12.37.8 |
| 055 | CTh.8.5.56 | 396 | E | A | Simplicius | magister militum |  |
| 056 | CTh.7.1.17 | 398 | E | C | - | viri illustres comites (military) | = CIu.12.35.13 |
| 057 | CTh.7.7.3 | 398 | E | A | Simplicius | comes et magister utriusque militiae |  |
| 058 | CTh.7.4.24 | 398 | E | C |  | inlustres magistri utriusque militiae | = Clu.12.37.9 |
| 059 | CTh.1.7.3 | 398 | W | A | Stilicho | magister militum |  |
|  |  |  |  | C |  | magisteria potestas |  |
| 060 | CTh.7.22.12 | 398 | W | A | Stilicho | comes et magister utriusque militiae |  |
| 061 | CTh.11.24.4 | 399 | E | C | - | magister utriusque militiae |  |
| 062 | CTh.7.5.1 | 399 | W | A | Stilicho | comes et magister utriusque militiae | = CIu.12.38.1 |
| 063 | CTh.7.20.12 | 400 | W | A | Stilicho | magister utriusque militiae |  |
| 064 | CTh.7.1.18 | 400 | W | A | Stilicho | magister militum = Clu.12.35.14 |  |
| 065 | CTh.7.18.11 | 403 | W | C | - | magistri militum |  |
| 066 | CTh.7.8.8 | 400/5 | E | C | - | comes et magister militum per orientem |  |
| 067 | CTh.7.11.1 | 406 | E | C | - | inlustres comites ac magistri militum CIu.1.47.1= illustres |  |
| 068 | CTh.7.13.18 = | 407 | W | A | Stilicho | comes et magister militum |  |
| 069 | CTh. $7.20 .13=1$ | 407 | W | A | Stilicho | comes et magister utriusque militiae |  |
| 070 | CIu.1.55.8 | 409 | W | C | - | illustres magistri equitum et peditum |  |
| ${ }^{071}$ | CTh.7.17.1 | 412 | E | A | Constans | magister militum per thracias |  |
|  |  |  |  | C |  | magisteria potestas |  |
| 072 | CTh.11.18.1 | 409/12 | W | C | - | magistri militum |  |
| 073 | CTh.7.18.17 | 412 | W | A | Constantius | magister militum |  |
| 074 | CTh.12.1.175 | 412 | E | A | Lupianus | comes et magister militum per orientem |  |
| 075 | CTh.6.14.3 | 413 | E | C | - | inlustres magistri militum | = CIu.12.35.14 |
| 076 | CIu.3.13.6 | 413 | E | C | - | magisteria potestas |  |
| 077 | CTh.7.18.16 | 413 | W | A | Gaiso | comes et magister militum | $=$ Clu. 12.42.3 |
| 078 | CTh.15.11.1 | 414 | W | A |  | magister militum (com.dom. vices agens)magister militum | = CIu.11.45.1 |
| 079 | CTh.7.4.34 | 414 | W | A | Constantius |  | = CIu.12.37.14 |
| 080 | CTh.1.7.4 | 414 | E | A | Hypatius | magister militum per orientem | = CIu.1.29.2 |
| 081 | CTh.8.1.15 | 415 | E | A | Hypatius | magister militum per orientem |  |
| 082 | CTh.7.7.5 | 415 | E | A | comites et magistri militum |  | $=$ Clu. 11.61.3 |
| 083 | CTh.1.8.1 | 415 | E | A | Florentius copy sent to | magister militum |  |
|  |  |  |  | S |  | Sapricius, magister militum |  |
| 084 | CTh.12.1.181 | 416 | W | C | - | inlustres magistri militum |  |
| 085 | CTh.6.8.1 | 422 | E | C | - | militaris magisteria potestas militare magisterium | $\begin{aligned} & =\text { CIu.12.5.1 } \\ & \text { (absent } \text { CIu) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 086 | CTh.2.23.1 | 423 | W | A | Crispinus | comes et magister equitum |  |
| 087 | CIu.3.21.2 | 423 | E | A | Macedonius | magister militum |  |


| 088 | CTh.1.8.3 | 424 | E | C | - | magister militum = Clu.1.30.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 089 | CTh.7.4.36 | 424 | E | A | Procopius | magister militiae per orientem |
| 090 | CIu.11.48.18 | 426 | W | C | - | magisteria potestas |
| 091 | CTh.7.8.16 | 435 | E | C | - | magisteria militum potestas |
| 092 | Nov.Theod. 4 | 438 | E | C | - | Anatolius, magister utriusque militiae per orientem |
| 093 | Nov.Val.6.1 | 440 | W | A | Sigisvuldus | comes et magister utriusque militiae |
| 094 | Nov.Val. 9 | 440 | W | C | - | Sigisvuldus, inlustrissimus magister militum |
| 095 | CIu.12.8.2 | 440-1 | E | C |  | vacans magister militum <br> Germanus, magister militum vacans |
| 096 | Nov.Theod.7.4 | 441 | E | A | Ariobindus copy sent to | magister militum <br> Aspar, inlustris comes et magister militum |
|  |  |  |  | C |  | ```magisteria potestas ( x 6 ) magister militum ( x 9 ) inlustris magister militum (x1) inlustres magistri militum (x1) \(=\) excerpts in Clu.7.62.33; \(12.54 .3 ; \quad 3.23 .2\)``` |
| 097 | CIu.1.46.3 =\|? | 443 | E | A | Anatolius | magister militum |
| 098 | CIu.12.59.7 =\|? | 443 | E | A | Anatolius | magister militum |
| 099 | Clu.12.54.4 =\|? | 443 | E | A <br> A | Appolonius Anatolius | magister militum praesentalis magister militum per orientem |
|  |  |  |  | C |  | magistri militum tam praesentalium quam orientalium |
| 100 | Nov.Theod. 24 | 443 | E | C | - | magisteria potestas <br> magister militum <br> $=$ excerpts exist in CIu.1.31.4; magisteriae potestates <br> inlustres <br>  $11.42)$ |
| 101 | CIu.1.51.11 | 444 | E | C | - | magistri militum |
| 102 | Nov.Val. 17 | 445 | W | A | Aetius | inlustris comes et magister utriusque militiae |
| 103 | CIu.12.40.10 | 451-2 | E | C | - | magistri militum |
| 104 | CIu.9.39.2 | 451 | E | C | - | illustres magistri militum |
| 105 | CIu.12.35.15 = | 458 | E | A | Aspar | magister militum |
| 106 | CIu.4.65.31 =1 | 458 | E | A | Aspar | magister militum |
| 107 | Nov.Mai. 11 | 460 | W | A | Ricimer | inlustris comes et magister utriusque militiae |
| 108 | CIu.12.59.8 | c. 468 | E | C | - | illustres magistri militum |
| 109 | CIu.12.59.10 | c. 472 | E | C | - | magistri militum utriusque prae[?] orientis et illyrici |
| 110 | CIu.6.61.5 | 473 | E | A | Nepos | magister militum dalmatiae |
| 111 | CIu.12.35.17 | 474? | E | A | Marcianus magister militum <br>  magisteria potestas <br> magistri militum |  |
|  |  |  |  | C |  |  |
| 112 | CIu.12.3.3 | 474-491 | E | C | - | magister militum |
| 113 | CIu.1.29.3 | 476-85 | E | C | - | magisteria per orientem potestas |
| 114 | CIu.10.32.64 | 475-84 | E | C | - | magistri militum |
| 115 | CIu.3.24.3 | 485-6 | E | C | - | magisteria potestas |
| 116 | CIu.12.49.11 | 485-6 | E | A | Cato | magister militum |
| 117 | Clu.12.54.5 | 491-518 | E | A | magistri militum | - |
| 118 | CIu.1.29.4 | 491-518 | E | A | Ioannes | magister militum per illyricum |
| 119 | CIu.12.35.18 | 492 | E | A |  | magister militum praesentalium |
|  |  |  |  | C |  | magisteria per orientem potestas (x3) potestas magisteriae per orientem administrationis magister militum praesentalis alter |
| 120 | CIu.12.37.16 | 491-518 | E | A | Longinus magister equitum ac peditum |  |
|  |  |  |  | C |  | magisteria potestas |
| 121 | CIu.6.21.16 | 496 | E | C | - | magistri militum |
| 122 | CIu.4.65.35 | 527-34 | E | C | - | magistri militum |
| 123 | CIu. Haec quae | 528 | E | C | - | Leontius, magister militum Phocas, magister militum |
| 124 | CIu. Summa rei | 529 | E | C | - | Leontius, magister militum |
| 125 | CIu.10.32.67 | 529 | E | C | - | magister militum |
| 126 | CIu.7.62.38 | 529 | E | C | - | magisteria potestas |
| 127 | CIu.1.29.5 | 528-530 | E | A | Zeta | illustris magister militum per armeniam et pontum polemoniacum et gentes |
|  |  |  |  | C |  | magister militum |
| 128 | CIu.4.21.20 | 530 | E | C | - | magisteria potestas |
| 129 | CIu.8.53.36 | 531 | E | C | - | magistri militum |
| 130 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CIu.9.13.1 } \\ & \text { shorter version } \end{aligned}$ CIu.1.3.53 | 533 | E | C | - | magistri militum per diversas regiones magistri militum per diversas nostri orbis regiones |


| 131 | CIu.1.27.2 | 534 | E | A | Belisarius | magister militum per orientem |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | C |  | magisteria potestas <br> magister militum <br> magisteria militum potestas |  |
| 132 | CIu.7.67.2 | $534 / 362$ | E | C | - | magistri militum <br> amended copy of the law represented by CTh.11.30.30(362) |
| 133 | CIu.12.50.9 | $534 / 382$ | E | C | - | magistri militum <br> amended copy of the law represented by CTh.8.5.40(382) |

Section titles in the books in Cod.Theod. and in Cod.Iust.

| CTh.1.7 | 438 | E | CTh section title | magister militum | $=$ CIu.1.29 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| CTh.6.6 |  |  | CTh section title | magistri militum | $=$ CIu.12.3 |
| CTh.6.7 |  |  | CTh section title | magistri militum | $=$ CIu.12.4 |
| CTh.8.3 |  |  | CTh section title | magisteria potestas | CIu.12.54 = magistri militum |


| CIu.1.29 | 534 | E | CIu section title <br> CIu section title <br> CIu.12.3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CIu.12.4 |  |  | magister militum <br> Magistri militum <br> CIu.12.54 |  |
|  |  | CIu section title title |  |  |$\quad$| magistri militum |
| :--- |
| magistri militum |

Attachment 3: The Latin names for the service position $M$ - in official inscriptions and a text written on papyrus.

A Latin name for the service position that is mostly named magister militum exists in a text written on papyrus and in texts inscribed on objects of various materials, or is reported in copies of such inscriptions from objects no longer available.
The names are arranged in the known, or probable, chronological order in which the document or the inscriptions were created. Where an inscription exists on a stone, the place at which it was discovered is indicated according to the name of the province in which that place was located in the provincial organisation that is known to have existed c.400, except that those identified as having been located in Roma are separately identified.
All inscriptions are copied from the database:
Clauss, M., Slaby, W.A., Kolb, A. \& Woitas, B. (eds.), Epigraphik-Datenbank Clauss / Slaby EDCS at: http://db.edes.eu/
and each is identified by its number (ECDS-\#) in that database.

|  | Papyrus |  | Date | Excerpt naming the position and officer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sallustius |  |  |  |
| 134 | Genève, Bibl.Publ.\& | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iv. Pap.Lat.III } \\ & \text { (Aegyptus) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 344 E | Fl(avio) Sallustio mag(istro) ped(itum) |
|  | Inscriptions |  | Date | Excerpt naming the position and officer |
|  | Iovinus |  |  |  |
| 135 | EDCS-10600004 <br> from a manuscript copy | (Belgica secunda) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { c.362- } \\ & 363 \mathrm{w} \end{aligned}$ | Iovinus [..] equitum peditumque magister |
| Equitius |  |  |  |  |
| 136 | EDCS-14500961 | (Noricum ripense) | 370w | viro clarissimo Equitio comite et utriusquae(!) militiae magistro |
| 137 | EDCS-29000580 | (Valeria ripensis) | c. $371-2 \mathrm{w}$ | Equitio v (iro) c (larissimo) comite mag(istro) equitum peditumque |
| 138 | EDCS-26600573 | (Valeria ripensis) | 371w | inlustris viri utriusque militiae magistri Equitis comitis |
| 139 | EDCS-09900345 | (Valeria ripensis) | 371w | inlustris viri [utriusque mi]litiae magistri Equiti comitis |
| 140 | EDCS-20900013 | (Valeria ripensis) | 372w | inlustris viri utriusque militiae magistri Eouiti(!) comitis |
| Iulius |  |  |  |  |
| 141 | EDCS-21200157 | (Arabia) | 371E | Iuli v(iri) c(larissimi) com(itis) magistri equitum et peditum |
| Theodosius |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 142 \\ ? \end{gathered}$ | EDCS-19800532 | (Roma) | c. 390 w | coniugi divi [Theodosi inlust]ris comitis utrius[que militiae mag(istri) m]atri d(omini) n (ostri) Theodosi referring to c.369-375 |
| Stilicho |  |  |  |  |
| 143 | EDCS-18100541 | (Roma) | 393-408w | Fl (avi) Stilichonis v (iri) c (larissimi) et inl(ustris) com(itis) et mag(istri) utriusque militiae |
| 144 | EDCS-18100542 | (Roma) | 393-408w | Fl (avi) Stilic(honis) co(mitis) magist(ri) utriusq(ue) milit(i)ae |
| 145 | EDCS-14805080 | (Valeria-Ital) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { c.398- } \\ & 399 \mathrm{w} \end{aligned}$ | com]itis et magi[stri utriusque mil]itiae |
| 146 a 146 b | EDCS-17600826 | (Roma) | c.399w | v (iri) c (larissimi) et inlustris comitis et magistri utriusq(ue) militiae Stilichonis v (iri) c (larissimi) et inlustris com(itis) et $\operatorname{mag}$ (istri) utriusq(ue) militiae Stilichonis |


| 147 | EDCS-18100539 (Roma) | c.399w | Flavio Stilichoni inlustrissimo viro magistro equitum peditumque comiti domesticorum tribuno praetoriano |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 148 | EDCS-01000516 (Roma) | 400-404w | Fl (avio) Stilichoni v(iro) c(larissimo) et inlustri magistro utriusque militiae et consuli ordinario |
| 149 | EDCS-18100540 (Roma) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { c.405- } \\ & 406 \mathrm{w} \end{aligned}$ | viro bis consuli ordinario magistro utriusque militiae comiti domesticorum et stabuli sacri |
| $\stackrel{150}{?}$ | EDCS-19900381 (Roma) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { c.405- } \\ & 406 \mathrm{w} \end{aligned}$ | inlustris viri comitis et [[[magistri utriusq(ue) militiae]]] |
| ?? |  |  |  |
| 151 | EDCS-11500058 from a manuscript copy | 409w | Giamnalivistedici $[\mathrm{m}] \mathrm{a}[g]$ ist $[\mathrm{ro}]$ utriusque militiae |
| Constantius |  |  |  |
| 152 | EDCS-10600442 <br> medieval inscription based on a diptych <br> (Belgica prima) | 417-419w | (A)elius C(o)nstancius vir c(on)s(u)laris comes et magist(er) utriusq(ue) militi(a)e atq(ue) patricius et $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{e}) \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{un})$ do $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{on})$ sul ordinarius |
| 153 | EDCS-18100526 (Roma) | 420w | Fl (avio) Constantio v(iro) c(larissimo) et inlustri comiti et magistro utriusq(ue) militiae patricio et tertio cons(uli) ordinario |
| 154 | EDCS-18100527 (Roma) | 420w | .]ntio illustri viro [ $c$ ]omiti et magistro utriusq(ue) $[m]$ ilitiae patricio consuli [ordina]rio [ $t$ ]er |
| Felix |  |  |  |
| 155 | EDCS-52700516 diptych (Paris) | 428w | Fl(avii) Felicis v(iri) c(larissimi) com(itis) ac $\operatorname{mag}($ istri) $\\|$ utr(ius)q(ue) mil(itiae) patr(icii) et co(n)s(ulis) ord(inarii) |
| 156 | EDCS-01000528 <br> from a manuscript copy of mosaic in Basilica S. Giovanni in Laterano | 428-430w | Fl(avius) Felix v(ir) c(larissimus) magister utriusque militiae patricius et cons(ul) ord(inarius) |
| Ardabur Aspar |  |  |  |
| 157 | EDCS-22100967 missorium (Firenze) | 434w | + Fl(avius) Ardabur Aspar vir inlustris com(es) et mag(ister) militum et consul ordinarius |
| Aetius |  |  |  |
| 158 a 158 b | EDCS-01000523 (Roma) | c. 439 w | magistro militum per Gallias magistro utriusq(ue) militiae et secundo / consuli ordinario atq(ue) patricio |
| Asturius (Astyrius) |  |  |  |
| 159 | EDCS-29600312 diptych (Darmstadt) | 449w | Fl(avius) Astyrius v(ir) c(larissimus) et inl(ustris) com(es) ex $\\| \operatorname{mag}$ (istro) utriusq(ue) mil(itiae) cons(ul) ord(inarius) |
| Ricimer |  |  |  |
| 160 | EDCS-26900690 <br> from a manuscript copy of mosaic in Basilica S.Agata dei Goti in suburra <br> (Roma) | 457-472w | Fl(avius) Ricimer v(ir) i(nlustris) magister utriusque militiae patricius et ex cons(ule) ord(inario) |
| Basiliscus |  |  |  |
| 161 | EDCS-15000148 (Thracia) | c. 470 E | equitum / peditumque magister / consul patricius [..] tu Basilisce |

Theodovius Valila

| 162 | Manuscript copy | 471w | Flavius Valila qui et Theodovius vir clarissimus et inlustris comes et magister utriusque militiae |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | from a manuscript copy (Carta Cornutiana in the Liber pontificalis) of a bequest by him dated 471 |  |  |
|  | Inscriptions |  |  |
| 163 | <1>EDCS-19900048 <br> and, in the same place, <br> <2> EDCS-18400319 <br> (Roma) | 471-483w | ```<l> Fl(avius) Theodobius(!) v(ir) c(larissimus) et inl(ustris) com(es) [et mag(ister) utr(iusque) mil(itiae?)] <2> 3] et ma[g(ister)] utriusq(ue) milit(ae)``` |
| Flavius Appalius ILLUS Trocundes |  |  |  |
| 164 | EDCS-09701202 (Syria salutaris) | 478-485E | Fl(avius) Appalius Illus Trocundes vir inl(ustris) com(es) et mag(ister) utr(ius)q(ue) mil(itiae) patr(icius) et cons(ul) o/rd(inarius) |

## Fl. Areobindus Dagalaifus

| 165 | EDCS-10800650 <br> diptych (Zürich) | 506 E | Fl(avius) Areob(indus) Dagal(aifus) Arebindus <br> v(ir) i(nlustris) \\| ex c(omite) sac(ri) sta(buli) et <br> m(agister) m(ilitum) p(er) Or(ientem) ex <br> c(onsule) c(onsul) ord(inarius) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Iustinianus

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline 166 & \begin{array}{l}\text { EDCS-29600317 } \\ \text { diptych (Milano) }\end{array} & 521_{\mathrm{E}} & \begin{array}{l}\text { ¥ Fl(avius) Petr(us) Sabbat(ius) Iustinian(us) } \\ \text { v(ir) i(nlustris) || } \ddagger \text { com(es) mag(ister) } \\ \text { eqq(uitum) et p(editum) }\end{array} \\ \text { praes(entalium/entalis?) et c(onsul) } \\ \text { ord(inarius) }\end{array}\right]$

Soterichus Philoxenus (magister 491/518, consul 525)

| 169 | EDCS-29600318 diptych (Paris) |  | 525 E | Fl(avius) Theodorus Filoxenus Sotericus Filoxenus vir illust(ris) \|| com(es) dom(esticorum) / exmagistr(o) m(ilitum) per Thracia(s/m?) et consul ordinar(ius) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solomon |  |  |  |  |
| 170 | EDCS-14900055 | (Africa) | 534-536E | [per Solomo]nem excellenti[ssimum] magistrum militu[ $m$ pr (a)efectum p]r(a)e[toriorum Afric(a)e ex] consule ac [patricio] |
| 171 | EDCS-13000630 | (Numidia) | 534-536E | per Solomonem gloriosiss(imum) et excell(entissimum) magistro militum ex consul(e) praefect(o) Libiae ac patricio |
| 172 | EDCS-23100477 | (Numidia) | 534-536E | providentia Solomonis excellen/tissimi magistri militum ex consule bis pr(a)efecto praetoriorum Africae hac patricio |
| 173 | EDCS-15700083 | (Numidia) | 534-536e | probidentia biri excellentissimi Solomonis magistri militum ex consule ac patricii |

Attachment 3

| 174 | EDCS-15700081 | (Numidia) | $534-536 \mathrm{E}$ | probidentia b[iri exc]ellentissimi Solomonis <br> magistri militum ex co[nsule ac patricii] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 175 | EDCS-28701408 | (Numidia) | $534-536 \mathrm{E}$ | ]mi Solomonis magistri militum ex con[ |
| 176 | EDCS-09800401 | (Numidia) | $534-536 \mathrm{E}$ | providentia So[l]omonis magistro militum ex <br> cons(ule) praefect $(\mathrm{o}) /$ Africae et pat $[r]$ icius |

Unidentified magistri

| 177 | EDCS-27800687 | (Dalmatia) | 4-5thCW |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 178 | EDCS-10801669 <br> copy of inscription on unavailable object | Higuria) pace iacet Leontius ex optione officio <br> magistri eq(uitum) et peditum |  |

* Delbrueck, R., Die Consulardiptychen und verwandte Denkmäler. (Studien zur spätantiken Kunstgeschichte; im Auftrage des deutschen Archäologischen Instituts. No.2) Berlin \& Leipzig, 1929.

Attachment 4: A comparison of the Latin names for the service position $M$ - that were used in related excerpts in copies of the main compilations of Roman imperial laws.

The names of the service position have been converted to their corresponding singular or plural nominative form if a different form exists in the law.
(1) Names of the service position in the Titles under which the laws are arranged in Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust.

| Th.1.7 Title | 438 | E | magister militum | Iu.1.29 Title | 534 | E | magister militum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Th.6.6 Title | 438 | E | magistri militum | Iu.12.3 Title | 534 | E | magistri militum |
| Th.6.7 Title | 438 | E | magistri militum | Iu.12.4 Title | 534 | E | magistri militum |
| Th.8.3 Title | 438 | E | magisteria potestas | Iu.12.54 Title | 534 | E | magistri militum |

(2) Complete laws represented in excerpts

Nov.Theod.7.4 (441)E of which excerpts exist in Cod.Iust.7.62.33; 12.54.3; 3.23.2.

| N.T.7.4 §2 | magisteria potestas | Iu.12.54.3 | magisteria potestas |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | magister militum |  |  |
| §8 | magisteria potestas |  |  |
|  | magister militum |  |  |$\quad$ Iu.7.62.33 $\quad$| magisteria potestas |
| :--- |

Nov.Theod. 24 (443)E of which excerpts exist in Cod.Iust.1.31.4; 1.46.4.

| N.T.24 | magisteriae potestates <br> magisteria potestas | Iu.1.46.4 | magisteriae potestates <br> magisteria potestas |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

In the following tables, the abbreviations -A and -C indicate whether the name of the service position occurs in the A (ddress) or in the C (ontents) of the law; E and W refer to the eastern and western part of the Roman state (as defined in Attachment 1).
(3) Excerpts of the same part of the same law in the two compilations (Cod.Theod./Cod.Iust.)

| Law | Date | Part | Name of service position | Ref | Name of service position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Th.5.6.1 | 347 | E | magister equitum - ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ( ilitum $H N O E$ ) | Iu.6.62.2 | magister equitum - ${ }^{\text {(militi et equitum } S \text { ) }}$ |
| Th.7.4.12 | 364 | E | magister militum -A | Iu.12.37.3 | magister militum -A |
| Th.8.3.1 | 364 | W | magistri equitum ac peditum - C | Iu.12.54.1 | magistri equitum ac peditum - C |
| Th.9.2.2 | 365 | W | magistri militum -C | Iu.9.3.1 | magister militum -C |
| Th.12.6.6 | 365 | W | magistri equitum et peditum -C | Iu.12.54.2 | magistri equitum et peditum -C |
| Th.8.7.11 | 371 | W | magister militum -A | Iu.12.59.1 | magister militum -A |
| Th.6.7.1 | 372 | W | magistri equitum ac peditum - C | Iu.12.4.1 | magistri equitum ac peditum - C |
| Th.6.7.2 | 380 | E | magisterium equitum peditumque - C | Iu.12.4.2 | magisterium equitum peditumque - C |
| Th.7.1.13 | 391 | E | magister utriusque militiae - A | Iu.12.35.12 | magister utriusque militiae - A |
| Th.12.1.128 | 392 | E | magister utriusque militiae - A | Iu.10.32.42 | magister utriusque militiae - A |
| Th.1.5.10 | 393 | E | magistri utriusque militiae - A | Iu.1.26.4 | magistri utriusque militiae - A |
| Th.1.21.1 | 393 | E | magistri utriusque militiae - A | Iu.1.46.1 | magistri utriusque militiae - A |
| Th.7.4.23 | 396 | W | viri illustres comites (military) - C | Iu.12.37.8 | viri illustres comites (military) - C |
| Th.7.1.17 | 398 | E | viri illustres comites (military) - C | Iu.12.35.13 | viri illustres comites (military) - C |
| Th.7.4.24 | 398 | E | magistri utriusque militiae - C | Iu.12.37.9 | magistri utriusque militiae -C |
| Th.7.5.1 | 399 | W | magister utriusque militiae - A | Iu.12.38.1 | magister utriusque militiae - A |
| Th.7.1.18 | 400 | W | magister militum -A | Iu.12.35.14 | magister militum -A |
| Th.7.11.1 | 406 | E | magistri militum - C | Iu.1.47.1 | magistri militum - C |
| Th.6.14.3 | 413 | E | magistri militum -C | Iu.12.12.2 | magistri militum -C |
| Th.7.18.16 | 413 | W | magister militum -A | Iu.12.42.3 | magister militum -A |
| Th.15.11.1 | 414 | W | magister militum -A | Iu.11.45.1 | magister militum -A |
| Th.7.4.34 | 414 | W | magister militum -A | Iu.12.37.14 | magister militum -A |
| Th.1.7.4 | 414 | E | magister militum per orientem -A | Iu.1.29.2 | magister militum per orientem - A |
| Th.6.8.1 | 422 | E | militaris magisteria potestas -C | Iu.12.5.1 | militaris magisteria potestas -C |
| Th.1.8.3 | 424 | E | magistri militum -C | Iu.1.30.2 | magistri militum -C |

(4) Excerpts of different parts of the same law in the same compilation (Cod.Theod./Cod.Theod., or Cod.Iust./Cod.Iust.)

| Law | Date |  | Name of service position | Ref | Name of service position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Th.7.1.2 | $349 / 52$ | E | magister equitum et peditum -A | Th.8.7.3 | magister militum -A |
| Iu.12.35.15 | 458 | E | magister militum -A | Iu.4.65.31 | magister militum -A |

Attachment 4
? Probably excerpts of different parts of the same law in the same compilation

| Law | Date | Part | Name of service position | Ref | Name of service position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Th.1.5.10 | 393 | E | magister utriusque militiae -A | Th.1.7.2 | magister utriusque militiae -A |
| Th.7.4.18 | 393 | E | magister utriusque militiae -A | Th.7.9.3 | magister utriusque militiae -A |
| Th.7.13.18 | 407 | W | magister militum -A | Th.7.20.13 | magister utriusque militiae -A |
| Iu.1.46.3 | 443 | E | magister militum -A | Iu.12.59.7 | magister militum -A |

(5) Excerpts of different parts of the same law in the two compilations (Cod.Theod./Cod.Iust.)

? Probably excerpts of different parts of the same law divided between the two compilations (Cod.Theod./Cod.Iust.)

| Th.7.1.8 | 365 | E | magister militum -A | Iu.11.68.3 | magister equitum et peditum -A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Th.3.14.1 | $370 / 3$ <br> $?$ | W | magister equitum -A | Iu.4.41.1 | magister militum -A |

Attachment 5: A comparison of the Latin names for the service position $M$ - that were used for the same serving officer named in both copies of the main compilations of Roman imperial laws and in official inscriptions.
The following notations are used:
Ref. Each of these numbers identifies the law or inscription according to the number assigned to it in either Attachment 2 (for laws) or Attachment 4 (for inscriptions).

* Identifies a manuscript copy of the unavailable inscription.
** Identifies a medieval inscription on stone based on a diptych.
*** Identifies a manuscript copy of the unavailable mosaic.
The names of the service position have been converted to their corresponding singular nominative form if a different form exists in the law or in the inscription.

| Serving officer | Name of service position | Laws |  | Name of service position | Inscriptions |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Date | Ref. |  | Date | Ref. |


| Iovi $<n ?>$ us | magister equitum | 365 | 014 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Iovi $<n ?>$ us | magister equitum et peditum | 365 | 015 |  |  |  |
| Iovinus | magister equitum | 367 | 020 | magister equitum peditumque* | c.362-3 | 135 |
| Iovinus | magister militum | 367 | 021 |  |  |  |
| Iovinus | magister militum | $368 ?$ | 022 |  |  |  |


| Equitius | magister militum | 365 | 017 | magister utriusque militiae | 370 | 136 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | magister equitum et peditum | 365 | 018 | magister equitum peditumque magister utriusque militiae magister utriusque militiae magister utriusque militiae | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{c} .371-2 \\ & 371 \\ & 371 \\ & 372 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 137 \\ 138 \\ 139 \\ 140 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |


| Stilicho | magister utriusque militiae comes utriusque militiae magister militum magister utriusque militiae magister utriusque militiae magister utriusque militiae magister militum magister militum magister utriusque militiae | 393 <br> 393 <br> 398 <br> 398 <br> 399 <br> 400 <br> 400 <br> 407 <br> 407 | 048 <br> 049 <br> 059 <br> 060 <br> 062 <br> 063 <br> 064 <br> 068 <br> 069 | magister utriusque militiae magister utriusque militiae magi[..] [..]itiae magister utriusque militiae magister utriusque militiae magister equitum peditumque magister utriusque militiae magister utriusque militiae | $393-408$ $393-408$ c. $398-9$ c. 399 c. 399 c. 399 $400-4$ $405-4$ | 143 144 145 $146 a$ 146 b 147 148 149 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Constantius | magister militum <br> magister militum | 412 <br> 414 | magister utriusque militiae** <br> magister utriusque militiae <br> magister utriusque militiae | $417-19$ <br> 420 <br> 420 | 152 <br> 153 <br> 154 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Aspar Ardabur | magister militum <br> magister militum | 458 <br> 458 | 105 <br> 106 | magister militum | 434 | 157 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Aetius | magister utriusque militiae | 445 | 102 | magister militum per gallias <br> magister utriusque militiae | c. 439 <br> c. 439 | 158 a <br> 158 b |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Ricimer | magister utriusque militiae | 460 | 107 | magister utriusque militiae*** | $457-72$ | 160 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Attachment 6: Military units and soldiers in the main compilations of Roman imperial laws.

| Code | Date | Part | Names listed in the order in which they occur in each law |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Laws pre-344

| Cod.Iust.7.64.9 | 286-305 | legio vel vexillatio - milites |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cod.Iust.10.55.3 | 286-305 | militia in legione vel vexillatione |
| Cod.Theod.7.12.1 | 323 | cohortes - milites Cod.Iust.12.42.1 |
| Cod.Theod.7.20.4 | 325 | comitatenses et ripenses milites atque protectores - milites comitatenses alares et cohortales - militia comitatensis - ripenses |
| Cod.Theod.7.22.2 | 326 | militia equestris - equites - <milita $>$ legionaria - protector |

Laws addressed to praefecti praetorio

| Cod.Theod.7.13.1 | 353 | E | auxiliares cunei |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cod.Theod.12.1.38 | $346 / 357$ | E | consortio domesticorum seu protectorum - scholaris militia - <br> munus comitatense sub armis militiae |
| Cod.Theod.7.4.14 | 365 | E | milites riparienses |
| Cod.Theod.7.20.10 | 369 | W | classis - laeti - legio - cohors - militia armata - militares |
| Cod.Theod.10.23.1 | $369 / 70$ | E | classis seleucena - classici |
| Cod.Theod.7.22.8 | 372 | W | militia armata - militia comitatensis - <militia> ripensis |
| Cod.Theod.7.13.7 | 375 | E | numeri - numeri comitatenses - cunei auxiliaque in ripa |
| Cod.Theod.8.5.43 | $384-5$ | W | militia equestris ac pedestris |
| Cod.Theod.8.4.17 | $389 ?$ | E | milites comitatenses - <milites $>$ limitanei |
| Cod.Theod.7.4.22 | 396 | W | scholae - vexillationes comitatenses - <vexillationes $>$ palatinae - legiones - <br> auxilia |
| Cod.Theod.7.4.23 | 396 | W | numeri - vexillationes - scholae |
| Cod.Theod.7.4.30 | 409 | E | miles limitaneus |
| Cod.Theod.7.15.2 | 423 | E | miles castellanus |
| Nov.Theod.4 | 438 | E | $<$ ctit. $>$ duciani vel limitanei milites <br> limitaneus miles per totum tractum orientis - milites |
| Cod.Iust.2.7.25.27.8 | 519 | E | domestici praesentales - <schola $>$ equitum - schola peditum - <br> comites domesticorum equitum / domesticorum peditum |

Laws addressed to praefecti urbis

| Cod.Theod.7.1.17 | 398 | E | miles - numeri - legiones |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Cod.Iust.12.35.13

Laws addressed to magistri (MEP MVM MM)

| Cod.Theod.5.6.1 | 347 | E | ad Bonosum mag(istrum) equitum \{militum $H N O E\}$ tam legiones quam vexillationes comitatenses seu cunei <br> = Cod.Iust.6.62.2 Bonoso magistro equitum \{militi et equitum $S=$ Summa Perusina $\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cod.Theod.8.1.10 | 365 | W | Iovi $<n ?>0$ magistro equitum <numeri> palatini - numeri comitatenses - pseudocomitatenses - numeri |
| Cod.Theod.7.1.13 | 391 | E | multitudo legionum Richomeri com(iti) et magistro utriusque mil(itiae) <br> Cod.Iust.12.35.12  |
| Cod.Theod.7.20.12 | 400 | W | laetus alamannus - sarmata - legiones Stilichoni magistro utriusque mil(itiae) |
| Cod.Theod.7.1.18 | 400 | W | Stilichoni mag(istro) mil(itum) <br> numeri - milites - <numeri> <br> legiones pseudcomitatenses - castriciani riparienses palatini - <br> Cod.Iust. 12.35.14 |
| Cod.Theod.7.4.36 | 424 | E | numeri per provincias - milites $\quad$ Procopio magistro militiae per orientem |
| Cod.Iust.12.35.17 | 474? | E | $\qquad$ |
| Cod.Iust.1.29.4 | 491-518 | E | milites - numeri $\quad$ Iohanni magistro militum per illyricum |
| Cod.Iust.12.35.18 | 492 | E |  Iohanni magistro militum praesent(alium) <br> numeri praesentales per orientis partes - milites - milites praesentales  |
| Cod.Iust.1.29.5 | 528-530 | E | Zetae v.i magistro militum per armeniam [etc] <br> numeri de praesentalibus et orientalibus et aliis agminibus |
| Cod.Iust.1.27.2 | 534 | E | Belisario magistro militum per orientem <br> $\S 1$ militiae armatae $(p l)-\S 5$ milites sive pedestres sive equites per limitem - <br> $\S 8$ milites comitantenses, milites limitanei, numerus limitaneorum, |

Laws addressed to magister officiorum

| Nov.Theod. 24 | 443 | E | [.] duces limitum $<$ Cod.Iust.1.46.4 militum $>$ <br> praepositi castrorum, milites limitanei, gentes foederati, numerus militum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Laws addressed to comes sacrarum largitionum

| Cod.Theod.10.20.11 | 384 | E | milites - numeri |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Laws addressed to proconsul africae

| Cod.Theod.8.7.12 | 372 May | W | miles - numerus - armata militia - in legionibus vel in numeris |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Laws addressed to praefectus augustalis

| Cod.Theod.1.14.1 | 386 | E | milites castrenses |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Laws addressed to vicarius asiae

| Cod.Theod.7.1.16 | 398 | E | miles in provincia sine suo numero $\quad$ Cod.Iust.12.35.13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

In a law addressed to ?

| Cod.Theod.12.1.56 | 363 | E | militia limitanea |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Ad senatum

| Cod.Iust.4.65.35 | $527-534$ | E | $\S 1:$ milites autem appellamus eos, qui tam sub excelsis magistris militum <br> tolerare noscuntur militiam quam in undecim devotissimis scholis taxati sunt, <br> nec non eos, qui sub diversis optionibus foederatorum nomine sunt decorati |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nov.Iust.117 | 542 | E | $\S 11:$ qui in expeditionibus sunt et in militiis constituti, sive milites sint sive <br> foederati sive scholares sive alii quidam sub alia quacumque militia armata <br> constituti, |

Edictum ad provinciales

| Cod.Theod.7.13.8 | 380 | E | turmae militum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Attachment 7: Comes domesticorum / Comites domesticorum and the scholae domesticorum equitum and peditum

| Source | Text | Date - <br> E(ast) $/ \mathrm{W}($ est $)$ | Person | Service position (nominative form) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | CthC | Law - contents | $357 ?-\mathrm{E}$ |  | comes domesticorum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cod.Theod.6.24.2 | Law - address | $364-\mathrm{W}$ | Severus | comes domesticorum |
| Cod.Theod.6.24.3 | Law - address | $364-\mathrm{W}$ | Severus | comes domesticorum |
| Cod.Theod.6.24.5 | Law - address | $392 / 3-\mathrm{E}$ | Addaeus | comes domesticorum |
| EDCS-01200100 | Inscr. - Stone - Köln | $392 / 4-\mathrm{W}$ | $?$ | comes domesticorum |
| EDCS-18100539 | Inscr. - Stone - Roma | c.399-W | Stilicho | comes domesticorum |

## 5thC

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { EDCS-18100540 } & \text { Inscr. - Stone - Roma } & \text { c.405/406-W } & \text { Stilicho } & \text { comes domesticorum } \\ \hline \text { Cod.Theod.16.5.42 } & \text { Law - address } & 408-\mathrm{W} & \text { Valens } & \text { comes domesticorum } \\ \hline \text { Cod.Theod.11.18.1 } & \text { Law - contents } & 409 / 12-\mathrm{W} & & \text { list }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { praefecti, magistri militum, } \\ \text { comites domesticorum <etc> }\end{array}\right]$

6thC

| EDCS-32400088 | Inscr. - Ivory diptych | $517-\mathrm{E}$ | Anastasius | comes domesticorum equitum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EDCS-25700606 | Inscr. - Ivory diptych | $517-\mathrm{E}$ | Anastasius | comes domesticorum equitum |
| Cod.Iust.2.7.25 | Law - contents | 519 E |  | comes domesticorum equitum <br> praesentales domestici scholae equitum <br> comes domesticorum peditum <br> prasentales domestici scholae peditum |
| EDCS-29600318 | Inscr. - Ivory diptych | $525-\mathrm{E}$ | Philoxenus | comes domesticorum |
| EDCS-32100581 | Inscr. - Ivory diptych | $525-\mathrm{E}$ | Philoxenus | comes domesticorum |
| Cod.Iust.12.17.4 | Law - address | $527-34--\mathrm{E}$ | Vigilantius | comes domesticorum |
| EDCS-05502088 | Inscr. - Ivory diptych | $539-\mathrm{E}$ | Apion | comes domesticorum |
| EDCS-31200896 | Inscr. - Ivory diptych | $540-\mathrm{E}$ | Iustinus | comes domesticorum |
| Nov.Iust.107 | Law - address | $541-\mathrm{E}$ | Bassus | comes domesticorum |
| Nov.Iust.108 | Law - address | $541-\mathrm{E}$ | Bassus | comes domesticorum |
| EDCS-21300841 | Inscr. - Ivory diptych | $541-\mathrm{E}$ ?W? | Basilius | ex-comite domesticorum |

* Chalcedon, Council of - Session 6, 25.Oct. 451 (Mansi, G.D., Sacrorum Conciliorum nova et amplissima collectio. (31 vols. Firenze et Venezia, 1758-1798) vol.7, cols.128-129) see Appendix 11: Dignities and dignitaries in the main compilations of Roman imperial laws, Attachment.8.


## Post-Roman administration in Italia

Under Odovacer (476-490) and Theodericus (490-526) - Cassiodorus, Variae 6.11 has a formula for a comes domesticorum vacans but none for a service position named comes domesticorum.
The following were probably actual positions under Odovacer, but honorary under Theodericus.

| EDCS-18400181 | Roma | c.480-W | Faustus | comes domesticorum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDCS-18400183 | Roma | ? - W | Anastasius | ex-comite domestocurm |
| EDCS-38600233 | " | " | " | " |
| EDCS-00900543 | Roma | 491/518-W | Florianus | ex-comite domesticorum |
| EDCS-19900391 | Roma | 519-W | Valentinianus | comes domesticorum |
| EDCS-25900270 | Roma | 521-W | Valerius | ex-comite domesticorum |
| Anonymous \& undated |  |  |  |  |
| EDCS-18400305 | Roma |  |  | ex-comite domesticorum |
| EDCS-25900293 | Roma |  |  | ex-comite domesticorum |
| EDCS-59100078 | Roma |  |  | ex-comite domesticorum |
| EDCS-19900074 | Roma |  |  | [ 3 co]m(es?) dom(esticorum) |
| EDCS-25900286 | Roma |  |  | [ 3 co]m(es?) do«m»(esticorum) |

Attachment 8: All the names that existed in the Cnd for the service position $M$ -
The names of the service position in (A) have been converted to their corresponding singular or plural nominative form if a different form existed in the Cnd.
(A) All the names for the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in the precedence lists and in headings in agency lists

| Cnd 1-82 (eastern lists) | Cnd.85-164 (western lists) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Precedence list items:1/2.5-8 | Precedence list items: 85/6.5-7 |
| Notitia dignitatum [..] <br> magistri | Notitia dignitatum $[.]$. |
| equitum et peditum in praesenti duo <br> equitum et peditum per orientem <br> equitum et peditum per thracias <br> equitum et peditum per illyricum | magister peditum in praesenti <br> magister equitum in praesenti <br> magister equitum per gallias |


| Picture captions: 7.a, 10.a, 13.a, 16.a, 19.a | Picture captions: $92 . a, 100 . \mathrm{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Insignia [..] | Insignia $[.]$. |
| [..] magister militum praesentalis | [..] magister peditum |
| [..] magister militum praesentalis | [..] magister equitum |
| [..] magister militum per orientem |  |
| [..] magister militum per thracias |  |
| [..] magister militum per illyricum |  |


| Agency list | Agency list |
| :---: | :---: |
| Domain list heading: 9.1, 12.1, 15.1, 18.1, 21.1 <br> Sub dispositione [..] <br> [..] magister militum praesentalis <br> [..] magister militum praesentalis <br> [..] magister militum per orientem <br> [..] magister militum per thracias <br> [..] magister militum per illyricum <br> Officium list heading: $9.42,12.44,15.36,18.32,21.33$ <br> Officium [..] <br> [..] magisteria in praesenti potestas <br> [..] magisteria in praesenti potestas <br> [..] magisteria per orientem potestas <br> [..] magisteria per thracias potestas <br> [..] magisteria per illyricum potestas | Domain list heading: $98 / 9.1,102 / 5.1,102 / 5.114 \& 217$ <br> Sub dispositione [..] <br> [..] magister peditum praesentalis <br> [..] comes et magister equitum praesentalis <br> <infantry>[..] magister equitum galliarum <br> Intra gallias cum [..] <br> <cavalry>[..] comes et magister equitum galliarum <br> Intra gallias cum [..] <br> Officium list heading: $98 / 9.149,102 / 5.45,102 / 5.163$ <br> Officium [..] <br> [..] magister peditum praesentalis <br> [..] magisteria potestas <br> [..] magister equitum per gallias |
| Travel permits: $9.50,12.52,15.45,18.40,21.41$ <br> <evectiones> [..] <br> magister(:) milit(:) in praesenti magister(:) militum in praesenti magistri militum per orientem magister(:) militum per thracias magister(:) militis\{!\} per illyricum | (B) <br> 14 items that referred to the officium of the central $\boldsymbol{M}$ - in 12 regional officium lists and 1 item that referred to the central $\boldsymbol{M}$ in another list |


| List | Cnd | Central | Name of position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 102/5.164 | officia | magistrorum militum praesentalium uno anno a parte peditum alio a parte equitum |
| 2 | 128.20 | officia | magrm(:) militum praesentalium uno anno a parte peditum alio a parte equitum |
|  | 128.23 | officium | magistrum militum praesentalium alternis annis |
|  | 128.24 | officia | magistros militum praesentalium |
| 3 | 130.11 | officium | magistr(:) militum praesentalium uno anno a parte peditum alio anno a parte equitum |
| 4 | 133.4 | officium | magistri militum praesentalium alternis annis |
| 5 | 137.11 | officium | magistri militum praesentalium alternis annis |
| 6 | 139.17 | officia | magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis |
| 7 | 147.24 | officia | magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis |
| 8 | 151.17 | officia | magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis |
| 9 | 154.41 | officia | magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis |
| 10 | 156/8.14 | officia | magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis |
| 11 | 132.12 | officium | magistri praesentalium a parte peditum |
| 12 | 149.4 | officia | magistrorum militum praesentalium a parte peditum |


| $?$ | $156 / 8.22$ | praep. | magistri militum praesentalium a parte peditum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

=> see also Table 24, p. 94 .

Attachment 9: Differences and duplications in the representation of the western comitatenses units in the lists and pictures in the Cnd.

## (1) Differences between the picture captions and list items.

## Infantry units

| Cnd.92-97 pictures | Cnd.98/9 MP list | Cnd. $102 / 5.53-208$ lists |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 123 captioned discs | 125 list items (list headings totals: $12+65+32+18=127$ ) | 142 list items |
| -5 units named in $98 / 9.39 .1 ; 48$, | -2 units captioned in $94 . \mathrm{p}, 95 . \mathrm{g}$ are absent |  |
| $49,50,71$ are absent | - item $98 / 9.39$ combines the names of 2 units | -3 units each duplicated: $89=191,112=122,190=201$ |
|  |  | -6 units named in $98 / 9.58,73,92,126,135,136$ are absent |
| -has 17 units not named in $98 / 9$ |  |  |



## Cavalry units

| Cnd.100-101 pictures | Cnd.102/5.1-44 ME list | Cnd.102/5.209-260 lists |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 39 captioned discs | 41 list items | 47 list items |
| -2 units named in 102/5.43, 44 |  | -3 units each duplicated: $213=219,222=253,232=258$ |
| are absent | -5 units named in 102/5.8,11,34,36,44 are absent |  |
| - together represented 41 units |  | - has 8 units not named in 102/5.1-44 |


| $100 . \mathrm{g}$ | Cornuti iuniores | $8-\mathrm{vp}$ | Equites cornuti iuniores |  | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $100 . \mathrm{k}$ | Valentinianenses | $11-\mathrm{vp}$ | Equites constantes ualentinianenses seniores |  | $a$ |
| $101 . \mathrm{m}$ | Comites iuniores | $34-\mathrm{vc}$ | Comites iuniores |  | a |
| $101 . \mathrm{o}$ | Sagittarii iuniores | $36-\mathrm{vc}$ | Sagittarii iuniores |  | a |
|  | a | $43-\mathrm{vc}$ | Equites sagittarii seniores | $259-\mathrm{Ti}$ | Equites sagittarii seniores comitatenses |
|  | a | $44-\mathrm{vc}$ | Cuneus equitum promotorum |  | a |
|  |  |  | a | $216-\mathrm{It}$ | Equites constantes ualentinianenses iuniores |
|  |  |  | a | $221-\mathrm{Ga}$ | Equites brachiati iuniores |
|  |  |  | $a$ | $246-\mathrm{Af}$ | Equites scutarii iuniores comitatenses |
|  |  |  | a | $251-\mathrm{Br}$ | Equites catafractarii iuniores |
|  |  |  | $a$ | $252-\mathrm{Br}$ | Equites scutarii aureliaci |
|  |  |  | a | $254-\mathrm{Br}$ | Equites stablesiani |
|  |  |  | $a$ | $255-\mathrm{Br}$ | Equites Syri |
|  |  |  |  | $256-\mathrm{Br}$ | Equites taifali |

Abbreviations: $\mathbf{a p}=$ auxilium palatinum, $\mathbf{l c}=$ legio comitatensis, $\mathbf{p s}=$ legio pseudocomitatensis,
$\mathbf{v p}=$ vexillatio palatina, $\mathbf{v c}=$ vexillatio comitatensis
$\mathbf{A f}=$ Africa, $\mathbf{B r}=$ Britanniae, $\mathbf{G a}=$ Galliae, $\mathbf{I I}=$ Illyricum, $\mathbf{T i}=$ Tingitania, $\mathbf{I t}=$ Italia,

Attachment 9
(2) Duplicated names of military units within the western lists of comitatenses units.

Infantry units

| $98 / 9.65$ | Valentinianenses iuniores | ap | $\Rightarrow$ <br> $\Rightarrow$ | $102 / 5.112$ <br> $102 / 5.122$ | Valentinianenses <br> Valentinianenses | Illyricum <br> Galliae |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $98 / 9.116$ | Septimani iuniores | lc | $\Rightarrow$ <br> $\Rightarrow$ | $102 / 5.82$ <br> $102 / 5.191$ | Septimani iuniores <br> Septimani iuniores | Italia <br> Tingitania |
| $98 / 9.27$ | Secundae flaviae constantinianae | lc | $=>$ | $102 / 5.201$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Constantiniani <br> Constantiniani | Africa <br> Tingitania |  |  |


| Cavalry units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102/5.7 | Equites cornuti seniores | vp | $\begin{aligned} & => \\ & => \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 102 / 5.213 \\ & 102 / 5.219 \end{aligned}$ | Equites cornuti seniores Equites cornuti seniores | Italia Galliae |
| 102/5.19 | Equites honoriani seniores | vc | $\begin{aligned} & => \\ & => \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 102 / 5.222 \\ & 102 / 5.253 \end{aligned}$ | Equites honoriani seniores Equites honoriani seniores | Galliae Britanniae |
| 102/5.22 | Equites scutarii | vc | $\begin{aligned} & => \\ & => \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102 / 5.232 \\ & 102 / 5.258 \end{aligned}$ | Equites scutarii seniores <br> Equites scutarii seniores comitatenses | Africa Tingitania |

Abbreviations: ap=auxilium palatinum, $\mathbf{l c}=$ legio comitatensis, $\mathbf{v p}=v e x i l l a t i o ~ p a l a t i n a, ~ v \mathbf{c}=$ vexillatio comitatensis

Attachment 10: Headings to officium lists in the Cnd.

| 3.58 | Officium uiri illustris praefecti praetorio orientis |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6.17 | Officium uirorum illustrium praefectorum praetorio per illyricum |
| 9.42 | Officium autem suprascriptae magisteriae in praesenti potestatis in numeris militat \& in officio deputatur |
| 12.44 | Officium autem suprascriptae magisteriae in praesenti potestatis cardinale habetur |
| 15.36 | Officium autem magisteriae per orientem potestatis cardinale habetur |
| 18.32 | Officium autem magisteriae per thracias potestatis in numeris militat \& in officio deputatur |
| 21.33 | Officium autem magisteriae per illyricum potestatis in numeris militat \& in officio deputatur |
| 23.36-7 | Officium autem suprascripti uiri illustris magistri officiorum de schola agentum in rebus \| Est ita |
| 25.4 | Officium non habet sed adiutores de scriniis quos uoluerit |
| 26.17 | Officium autem suprascripti uiri illustris comitis sacrarum largitionum habet |
| 28.7 | Officium autem suprascripti uiri illustris comitis rerum priuatarum habet |
| 32.5 | Officium autem suprascripti uiri spectabilis castrensis habet |
| 34.4 | Officium autem non habet sed adiutorem de schola notariorum |
| 36.9 | Officium autem de ipsis nemo habet sed adiutores electos de scriniis |
| 38.5 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 40.4 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 42.17 | Officium autem uiri spectabilis comitis orientis habet ita |
| 44.8 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 46.10 | Officium autem uiri spectabilis uicarii dioceseos asianae habet ita |
| 48.13 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 50.8 | Officium autem habet |
| 52.35 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 54.4 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 56/7.47 | Officium autem uiri spectabilis ducis thebaidos habet ita |
| 59.32 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 61.24 | Officium autem habet uiri spectabilis ducis arabiae et praesidis ita |
| 61.31 | Item officium praesidis eiusdem prouinciae |
| 63.29 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 65.22 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 67.21 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 69.18 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 71.31 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 74.26 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 76.28 | Officium autem habet ita = PTLB; Officium habet ita OVM |
| 78.30 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 80.33 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 81.3 | Offitium autem habet ita |
| 82.3 | Offitium autem habet ita |
| 89.38 | Officium uiri illustris praefecti praetorio italiae |
| 89.87 | Officium uiri illustris praefecti praetorio galliarum |
| 91.17 | Officium uiri illustris praefecti urbis |
| 98/9.149 | Officium suprascripti magistri peditum praesentalis |
| 102/5.45 | Officium suprascriptae magisteriae potestatis |
| 102/5.163 | Officium uiri illustris magistri equitum per gallias |
| 107.37 | Officium autem infrascripti uiri illustris magistri officiorum de scola agentum in rebus habetur hoc modo |
| 110/1.76 | Officium autem uiri illustris suprascripti comitis sacrarum largitionum habet |
| 113.28 | Officium autem suprascripti uiri illustris comitis rerum priuatarum habet |
| 115.5 | Officium autem uiri spectabilis castrensis habet |
| 116.3 | Officium autem non habet sed adiutores |
| 118.3 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 119.15 | Officium autem supradictus uir spectabilis uicarius habet ita |
| 120.9 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis uicarius hoc modo |
| 122.11 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis hoc modo |
| 124.20 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis uicarius hoc modo |
| 126.9 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis uicarius hoc modo |
| 128.19 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis comes hoc modo |
| 130.10 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis comes hoc modo |
| 132.11 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis comes hoc modo |
| 133.3 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis comes hoc modo |
| 137.10 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis dux et praeses hoc modo |
| 139.16 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis dux hoc modo |
| 141.40 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis hoc modo |
| 143.44 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis dux hoc modo |
| 145.35 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis dux hoc modo |
| 147.23 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis dux hoc modo |
| 149.3 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis dux hoc modo |
| 151.16 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis dux hoc modo |
| 152.5 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis dux hoc modo |
| 154.40 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis dux hoc modo |
| 156/8.13 | Officium autem habet idem uir spectabilis dux hoc modo |
| 160.3 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 162.3 | Officium autem habet ita |
| 164.3 | Officium autem habet hoc modo |

## Attachment 11: The items in the Cnd related to the name magister militum praesentalium

The following transcripts representing the items in the Cnd incorporate:

- Items \& numbers: All the items numbered ( $102 / 5.164$ to $156 / 8.22$ ) below represent those that existed in the Cnd, but the numbers are interpolated (that is, did not exist in the Cnd).
- Italics letters: the Cnd form of the word is uncertain because the primary copies have equally valid alternatives for the italicised alphabetic symbol or symbols.
- Underline: the Cnd form of the word is probably an inaccurate representation, in the underlined alphabetic symbol or symbols, of the word in a pre-Cnd item.
- (:): This symbol indicates that the letters between this sign and the preceding word gap comprised an abbreviated word in the Cnd and that the expansion of the abbreviation is either unknown or uncertain.
magister equitum per gallias / galliarum.

| 102/5.164 | Princeps ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium, Vno anno a parte peditum, Alio a parte equitum |
| :--- | :--- |
| 102.5.165 | Commentariensis |
| 102/5.166 | Numerarii ex uiris \& officiis singularis |
| Böcking 1849 edn p.38 | Numerarii ex viris et Officiis Singulares |
| Seeck 1876 edn. p. 137 | Numerarii ex utrisque officiis singulis annis |

comes africae

comes tingitaniae

| 130.11 | Principem ex officio magistr(:) militum praesentalium uno anno a parte peditum alio anno a parte equitum |
| :--- | :--- |
| 130.12 | Commentariensem ut supra |
| 130.13 | Numerarios duos singulos ex officio supradicto |

130.11: [magistr̄ OL magistre T magistrum PAVM magistri B]

| 132.12 |  | Principem ex officio magistri praesentalium a parte peditum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 132.13 |  | Numerarios duos ut supra ex officio supra |
| 132.14 | Comm | ntariensem ex officio supra |
| Böcking 1849 edn p. 81 |  | Numerarios duos ex Officio supra dicto Commentariensem ex Officio ut supra |
|  | Seeck 1876 edn. p. 181 | Principem ex officio magistri militum praesentalis a parte peditum Numerarios duos ut supra ex officio supradicto Commentariensem ex officio supradicto |

132.13: [ut supra. ex officio supra. O, ut supra ex officio supradicto TLAB, ut supra VM, ex officio supra $\mathbf{P}$
132.14: [ex officio supra OP, supradicto TLAB, ut supra VM]


| 137.11 | Principem ex officio magistri militum praesentalium alternis annis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 137.12 | Numerarios duos singulos ex officiis supra singulis |  |
| 137.13 | Comme | ntariensem ex officiis supra singulis alternis annis |
| Böcking 1849 edn p. 87 Numerarios duos, singulos ex Officiis supra scriptis |  |  |
| Seeck 1876 edn. p. 185 |  | Principem ex officis magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis Numerarios duos, singulos ex officiis suprascriptis Commentariensem ex officiis suprascriptis alternis annis |

dux limitis tripolitani / dux provinciae tripolitanae

| 139.17 | Principem ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium praes(:) alternis annis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 139.18 | Numer | os utrosque |
| 139.19 | Commentariensem utrumque |  |
| edn p.89 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ Principem ex Officicis Magistrorum Militum Praesentalium alternis annis |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| 147.24 Princ | Principem ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis |
| :---: | :---: |
| 147.25 Nume | Numerarios duos ex utrisque officiis praesentalibus singulos |
| 147.26 Comr | Commentariensem ex utrisque officiis alternis annis |
| Böcking 1849 edn p. 103 | - |
| Seeck 1876 edn. p. 201 | - |
| dux sequanicae / dux provinciae sequanici |  |
| 149.4 Princ | Principem ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium a parte peditum |
| 149.5 Nume | Numerarium utr(:) |
| 149.6 Commentariensem ut supra |  |
| Böcking 1849 edn p. 105 Numerarium utrumque |  |
| Seeck 1876 edn. p. 20 | Principem ex officio magistri militum praesentalis a parte peditum Numerarium ut supra |


| dux tractus armoricani et nervicani / dux tractus armoricani |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 151.17 | Principem ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis Numerarium a parte peditum Vno anno |  |
| 151.18 |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Borkin }}{\text { Böking } 1849 \text { edn p.107 }}$ - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeck 1876 edn. p.205-6 ${ }^{\text {Commentariensem de officiis suprascriptis alternis annis }}$ |  |  |
| dux britanni(ae /arum, britanniorum <!>) |  |  |
| 154.41 | Princip | m ex officiis magistrorum m |
| 154.42 | Comm | ntariensem utr(:) |
| 154.43 | Numer | rios ex utrisque officiis omn |
| Böcking 1849 edn p. 115 Commentariensem utrumque |  |  |
| Seeck 1876 edn. p. 212 Commentariensem ut supra |  |  |

dux mogontiacensis

| 156/8.14 | Principem ex officiis magistrorum militum praesentalium alternis annis |
| :--- | :--- |
| 156/8.15 | Numerarium a parte peditum semper |
| 156/8.16 | Commentariensem a parte peditum semper |
| Böcking 1849 edn p.117 | Principem ex Officio Magistrorum Militum Praesentalium alternis annis |
| Seeck 1876 edn. p.214 | - |

Item praepositurae

| $156 / 8.22$ | Item praepositurae magistri militum praesentalium a parte peditum in Italia |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Böcking 1849 edn p. 118 | ITEM PRAEPOSITURAE MAGISTRI MILITUM PRAESENTALIUM A PARTE PEDITUM \| IN ITALIA |  |
| Seeck 1876 edn. p. 215 | Item praepositurae magistri militum praesentalis a parte peditum \| In Italia |  |
|  |  |  |

## Attachment 12: Ammianus Marcellinus

The transmitted text derived from the unofficial, literary composition (c.390) attributed to Ammianus Marcellinus has been represented in several editions, including that produced by J.C. Rolfe, (ed.\& transl.), Ammiani Marcellini rerum gestarum libri qui supersunt (with an English translation). (3 vols, Loeb classical library) (London, William Heinemann, 1935-1940), whose representation of the text, based substantially on the edition by C.U. Clark (Berlin, 1910-1915), is hereafter referred to as AM.
§1 Names and descriptive terms for the service position $M$ - in the AM text

## culmen magnum militiae

16.6.1<referring to an event in the year 356-7>.
magister armorum
$15.5 .24<355>, 15.5 .36<355>, 16.7 .3<356-7>, 20.1 .2<360>, 20.9 .5<360>, 27.12 .5 *<368-70>, 31.12 .1<378>$, 31.13.18<378>,
magister armorum per gallias 25.8.11<363>, 26.5.2<364>,
magister armorum per illyricum 29.6.3<373>
magisterium armorum 21.8.1<361>,
magisterium 25.10.6<363> <armorum per gallias 25.8.11>
magister equitum
$14.2 .20<354>, 14.9 .3<354>, 14.11 .3<354>, 15.4 .1<354-5>, 15.13 .3<356>, 16.4 .3<356>, 16.8 .13<356-7>$,
$17.2 .1<357-8>, 17.10 .1<358>, 18.4 .3<359>, 19.9 .2<359>, 20.4 .6<360>, 21.8 .3<361>, 21.9 .7<361>$,
21.10.5, <361>, 21.12.2<361>, 21.16.2<361>, 23.6.14<363>*, 26.1.6<364>, 26.5.2<364>, $27.2 .1<365>$,
$27.5 .1<366>, 28.5 .15<370>, 29.3 .6<372>, 29.3 .7<365-71>, 29.5 .4<373>, 30.2 .4<377>, 31.7 .1<377>$,
31.12.6<378>,
magister equitum per illyricum 22.3.1<361>,
ex-magistro equitum 14.10.2<342>,
agens pro magistro equitum 15.13.3<354-5>.
magister equitum et peditum
magisterium equitum et peditum 25.8.9<363>,
ex-magistro equitum et peditum 22.11.2<363>.
magister militiae
31.16.8<378>,
magister militiae equestris $25.1 .11<363>*$,
magistri militiae pedestris equestrisque 21.13.3. $<361$ : Arbitio, Agilo>
magister peditum
$15.5 .8<355>, 16.2 .4<356>, 16.11 .7<357>, 17.6 .2<357-8>, 20.2 .1<360>, 21.12 .16<361>, 27.5 .4<367>$,
27.6.3<367>, 28.5.2<370>,
magisterium peditum $14.11 .24<354>, 16.11 .2<357>, 15.5 .17<355>$.
magisterii dignitas
18.5.5<359>, 26.5.11<365>.
magisterii potestas
$16.10 .21<357>$.
magistri rei castrensis
27.10.6<368: Iovinus, Severus>.
rector <equitatus>
29.4.5<372>.
rector militiae
26.5.2<364>,
rector militiae equestris $26.4 .1<364>$,
rector militiae pedestris $15.5 .2<355>, 18.3 .1<359>$.
rector militum
26.7.11<365>.
curare militiam pedestrem $18.5 .5<359>$.
curare militiam equestrem et pedestrem 27.5.9<367Victor, Arintheus $>$.
curare exercitum pedestrem 29.4.3<372>.

$$
\text { * see } 4.2 \text { below }
$$

§2 Summary of the names and descriptive terms for the service position $M$ - in the AM text

| Service position or Description | Retired or Honorary officer | Position domain \& jurisdiction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| culmen magnum militiae 1 | X |  |
| magister armorum 8 <br> magister armorum per gallias 2 <br> magister armorum per illyricum 1 | X | magisterium armorum 1 <br> magisterium <armorum ger gallias $>$ 1 |
| magister equitum 29 <br> magister equitum per illyricum 1 <br> agens pro magistri equitum [.] 1 | ex-magistro equitum 1 | X |
| X | ex-magistro equitum et peditum | magisterium equitum et peditum 1 |
| magister militiae 1 <br> magister militiae equestris 1 <br> magister militiae pedestris equestrisque  <br>  1 <br> curare militiam pedestrem 1 <br> either  <br> curare militiam equestrem et pedestrem 1 <br> or  <br> curare militiam equestrem  <br> curare militiam pedestrem 1  | x | x |
| magister peditum 9 | X | magisterium peditum $\quad 3$ |
| curare exercitum pedestrem 1 |  |  |
| magistri rei castrensis | X | X |
| x | X | magisterii dignitas $\quad 2$ |
| x | X | magisterii potestas $\quad 1$ |
| rector (equitatus) 1 <br> rector militiae 1 <br> rector militiae equestris 1 <br> rector militiae pedestris 2 <br> rector militum 1 |  |  |

§3 Comparison of the names in AM with those in laws and inscriptions.
The positions of named officers in AM can sometimes be compared with the names in laws and in inscriptions.
In the following table, officers are arranged in the approximate chronological order in which a name is mentioned in AM. The Item number beside each law or inscription refers to the corresponding numbers in Attachment 2 (laws) and Attachment 3 (Inscriptions) and the abbreviations $T h$. and $I u$. denote, respectively, Cod.Theod. and Cod.Iust.

| AM |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Position names in AM | Ref | Date |
| Hermogenes (E) |  |  |
| ex-magistro equitum | 14.10 .2 | 342 |
| Ursicinus (E) |  |  |
| magister equitum | 14.2 .20 | 354 |
| magister equitum | 14.9 .3 | 354 |
| magister equitum | 14.11 .3 | 354 |
| magister armorum | 15.5 .24 | 355 |
| magister armorum | 15.13 .36 | 355 |
| magister equitum | 16.10 .21 | 356 |
| magisterii potestas | 18.4 .3 | 357 |
| magister equitum | 18.5 .5 | 359 |
| militiam pedestrem curare | 20.2 .1 | 360 |
| magister peditum | 31.13 .18 | 378 |
| magister armorum |  |  |


| Laws \& Inscriptions |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Position names | Ref | Date | Item |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| AM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barbatio (E) <br> magisterium peditum magisterium peditum magister peditum magister peditum rector militiae pedestris | $\begin{aligned} & 14.11 .24 \\ & 16.11 .2 \\ & 16.11 .7 \\ & 17.6 .2 \\ & 18.3 .1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 354 \\ & 357 \\ & 357 \\ & 357-8 \\ & 359 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Arbetio (E) <br> magister equitum magnum culmen militiae magister equitum magister militiae pedestris equestrisque | $\begin{aligned} & 15.4 .1 \\ & 16.6 .1 \\ & 16.8 .13 \\ & 21.13 .3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 354-5 \\ & 356-7 \\ & 356-7 \\ & 361 \end{aligned}$ |
| Silvanus (E) <br> rector militiae pedestris magister peditum magisterium peditum magister peditum | $\begin{aligned} & 15.5 .2 \\ & 15.5 .8 \\ & 15.5 .17 \\ & 16.2 .4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 355 \\ & 355 \\ & 355 \\ & 356 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Marcellus (E) =>Gallia <br> magister equitum <br> magister armorum <br> ex-magistro equitum et peditum | 16.4.3 16.7.3 22.11.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 356 \\ & 356-7 \\ & 363 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Severus (W) =>Gallia <br> magister equitum <br> magister equitum | $\begin{aligned} & 17.2 .1 \\ & 17.10 .1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 357-8 \\ & 358 \end{aligned}$ |
| Sabinianus (E) <br> magisterii dignitas <br> magister equitum | $\begin{aligned} & 18.5 .5 \\ & 19.9 .2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 359 \\ 359 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Lupicinus (E) =>Gallia <br> magister armorum <br> magister equitum <br> magister equitum | $\begin{aligned} & 20.1 .2 \\ & 20.4 .6 \\ & 26.5 .2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 360 \\ & 360 \\ & 364 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Gomoarius (W) <br> magister armorum | 20.9.5 | 360 |
| Nevitta (W) <br> magisterium armorum <br> magister equitum <br> magister equitum |  | $\begin{aligned} & 361 \\ & 361 \\ & 361 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Lucillianus (E) <br> magister equitum magisterium equitum et peditum | $\begin{aligned} & 21.9 .7 \\ & 25.8 .9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 361 \\ & 363 \end{aligned}$ |
| Iovinus (W) <br> magister equitum magister equitum per illyricum magister armorum per gallias magister armorum per gallias magister equitum magister rei castrensis |  | $\begin{aligned} & 361 \\ & 361 \\ & 363 \\ & 364 \\ & 365 \\ & 368 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Agilo (E) <br> magister peditum <br> magister militiae pedestris equestrisque | $\begin{aligned} & 21.12 .16 \\ & 21.13 .3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 361 \\ & 361 \end{aligned}$ |
| Dagalaifus (W) <br> magister equitum rector militiae equestris rector militiae | $\begin{aligned} & 26.1 .6 \\ & 26.4 .1 \\ & 26.5 .2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 364 \\ & 364 \\ & 364 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |


| Laws \& Inscriptions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| magister equitum et peditum magister militum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Th.7.1.2 } \\ & \text { Th.8.7.3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 349 / 52 ? \\ & 349 / 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| magister equitum peditumque magister equitum magister equitum et peditum magister equitum magister militum magister militum | EDCS-10600004 <br> ?Th.8.1.10 <br> ?Th.7.1.7 <br> Th.7.1.9 <br> Th.7.1.10 <br> Th.7.20.11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c.362-3 } \\ & 365 \\ & 365 \\ & 367 \\ & 367 \\ & 368 \text { ? } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \\ & 14 \\ & 15 \\ & 20 \\ & 21 \\ & 22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| magister militum | Th.7.20.9 | 366 | 19 |


| AM |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Equitius (W) <br> magisterii dignitas <br> rector militum <br> magister armorum per illyricum | 26.5 .11 | 365 |
|  | 26.7 .11 | 365 |
|  |  | 373 |
| Victor (E) <br> magister equitum <br> militiam equestrem et pedestrem <br> curare <br> magister equitum | 27.5 .9 | 367 |
| magister equitum |  |  |
| magister equitum | 30.2 .4 | 377 |
| Arintheus (E) | 31.7 .1 | 377 |
| magister peditum |  |  |
| militiam equestrem et pedestrem | 31.12 .6 | 378 |
| curare | 27.5 .4 | 367 |
| Severus (W) | 367 |  |
| magister peditum | 27.6 .3 | 367 |
| magister rei castrensis | 27.10 .6 | 368 |
| magister peditum |  |  |
| exercitum pedestrem curare | 28.5 .2 | 370 |
| Theodosius (W) | 29.4 .3 | 372 |
| magister equitum | 28.5 .15 | 370 |
| magister equitum | 29.3 .6 | 372 |
| rector (equitatus) |  |  |
| magister equitum | 29.4 .5 | 372 |
| Traianus (E) | 29.5 .4 | 373 |
| magister armorum | 31.12 .1 | 378 |
| Iulius (E) |  |  |
| magister militiae | 31.16 .8 | 378 |
| Malarichus (W) refused the | 25.8 .11 | 363 |
| magisterium armorum per gallias | 25.10 .6 | 363 |
| Prosper (E) |  |  |
| agens pro magistro equitum | 15.13 .3 | $354-5$ |
| Posion name not assci |  |  |


| Laws \& Inscriptions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| magister militum magister equitum et peditum magister utriusque militiae magister equitum peditumque magister utriusque militiae magister [utriusque mi]litiae magister utriusque militiae | Th.7.1.8 <br> Iu.11.68.3 EDCS-14500961 EDCS-29000580 EDCS-26600573 EDCS-09900345 EDCS-20900013 | $\begin{aligned} & 365 \\ & 365 ? \\ & 370 \\ & \text { c. } 371-2 \\ & 371 \\ & 371 \\ & 372 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 17 18 137 138 139 140 141 |
| magister militum | Th.7.4.12 $=\text { Iu.12.37.3 }$ | 364 | 11 |
| magister militum magister militum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Th.8.7.11 } \\ & =\text { Iu.12.59.1 } \\ & \text { Th.7.1.11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 371 \\ & 372 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ |
| [ magister utriusque militiae]? | EDCS-19800532 |  | 143 |
| magister equitum et peditum | EDCS-21200157 | 371 | 142 |
|  |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |

Position names not associated with named officers

| magister equitum | 21.16 .2 | 361 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| magistri equitum | 29.3 .7 | $365-7$ |

Positions within the Persian state

| magistri equitum | 23.6 .14 | 363 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| magister militiae equestris | 25.1 .11 | 363 |
| magister armorum | 27.12 .5 | $368-70$ |

## §4 Notes

### 4.1 Magister equitum

In AM, this name is also used to refer to the director of the military service in a region or province:
AM.21.16.2<361>: Nec sub eo <Constantius 2> dux quisquam cum clarissimatu provectus est. [.] nec occurrebat magistro equitum provinciae rector nec contingi ab eo civile negotium permittebat.
It is similarly used when referring to a military governor (bidaxs) in the Persian state - see 4.2
4.2 Three names for Roman positions are used for positions in the Persian state magister armorum: 27.12.5<368-70>,

Sapor [.] Cyclaci [.] et Arrabani [.] commisit Armeniam - horum alter ante gentis praefectus, alter magister fuisse dicebatur armorum
magistri equitum: 23.6.14<363>,
Sunt autem in omni Perside hae regiones maximae, quas Vitaxae id est magistri equitum curant, et reges et satrapae [.]
magister militiae equestris: $25.1 .11<363>$, inmensa Persarum apparuit multitudo cum Merena equestris magistro militiae
These three references are underlined in $\S 1$.

### 4.3 Rector

The word rector is used in AM to refer to: army officers other than magister (14.2.15; 20.5.7; 21.4.5; $22.7 .7 ; 30.4 .2$ ), a tribunus (31.8.9), rectores provinciarum militiaeque (25.8.12) and even the emperor Valentinianus 1 (27.7.1 ex sententia rectoris et militum).

### 4.4 Editorial issues

An example occurs at AM 21.13.3<361> where the edited text printed by John Rolfe has: Arbitionem et Agilonem, equestris pedestrisque militiae magistros [..] properare coegit, to which Rolfe adds (vol.2, 1940 p.156, note 3):
"pedestris, added by Val(esius = Valois) before equestris; after eq., Momm(sen), Clark."
That is: either equestris pedestrisque or pedestris equestrisque. The sequence preferred by Mommsen, Clark and Rolfe is apparently based on the observation that elsewhere Arbitio is referred to as magister equitum (15.4.1, 16.8.13) and Agilo as magister peditum (21.12.16), and the assumption that, therefore, the sequence Arbitio-Agilo must be followed by the sequence equestris-pedestris. It is not explained why both cannot have been magistri equestris pedestrisque militiae. A similar issue exists at AM 27.5.9.

### 4.5 Chapter summaries

Gavin Kelly, (Adrien de Valois and the chapter headings in Ammianus Marcellinus, Classical Philology 1042009 pp.233-242) states that the chapter headings that are printed in the Loeb edition produced by John Rolfe are copies of the chapter summaries created by Adrien de Valois (Hadrianus Valesius) in his edition printed in 1681. Kelly writes (p.234): "the familiar chapter headings of modern texts [.] were composed as tables of contents for individual books, which is how the editions of Clark and Seyfarth print them, rather than to be placed at the head of individual chapters, as in the Loeb and Budé editions."
It should be noted that in producing these summaries, Valois incorporated service position names that do not exist in the transmitted text AM, such as magister militum (18.6, 19.3), contained in laws, inscriptions and the Cnd, and also the term magister peditum praesentalis (20.2) which exists only in texts derived from the Cnd.


[^0]:    1 A list item is a separate or distinct part of a list and these items in the Cnd were identified, and separated from each other, either by the use of rubrication (writing an item in red ink rather than brown or black), or by spacing (beginning a new or separate line space - generally ruled in the primary copies), or by initial capital letter, or by punctuation or by any combination of these.

[^1]:    2 If this term is used, it must be emphasised that original compilation refers to 'the first or original compilation of lists and pictures', not to 'a compilation of original lists and pictures' because it is not known how much of such an original compilation comprised original lists and pictures rather than copies of some or all of them.

    3 Unless otherwise indicated, the word officer is used, throughout this appendix, to refer to any statutory member of the Roman imperial service, irrespective of any rank.
    4 See Index 4: Military units at: https://www.notitiadignitatum.org/
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[^2]:    19 See Attachment 4 §3.
    20 See Attachment 4 §2.
    21 See Attachment 5.
    22 See §[4d].
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[^3]:    23 In Cod.Theod. three of the four tituli under which laws relating to the position $\boldsymbol{M}$ - are arranged use the term $\boldsymbol{M M}$ while the fourth uses $\boldsymbol{M a P}$ : Cod.Theod.1.7: de officio magistri militum; Cod.Theod.6.6: de consulibus, praefectis, magistris militum et patriciis; Cod.Theod.6.7: de praefectis praetorio sive urbis et magistris militum; Cod.Theod.8.3: de privilegiis apparitorum magisteriae potestatis.
    24 In Cod.Iust. all the four tituli corresponding to the above-mentioned ones in Cod.Theod. use only the name MM: Cod.Iust.1.29 de officio magistri militum; Cod.Iust.12.3 de consulibus et non spargendis ab his pecuniis et de praefectis et magistris militum et patriciis; Cod.Iust. 12.4 de praefectis praetorio sive urbis et magistris militum in dignitatibus exaequandis; Cod.Iust.12.54: de apparitoribus magistrorum militum et privilegiis eorum.
    25 Cod.Theod. 6 and Cod.Iust. 12 (de dignitatibus).
    26 There are many laws about the jurisdiction of an $\boldsymbol{M}$ - over soldiers, which varied over time. Some of the more important laws include: Cod.Theod.2.1.2(355), Cod.Theod.8.3.12(364), Cod.Theod.9.2.2(365), Cod.Iust.3.13.6(413), Cod.Iust.1.46.2(416), Nov.Theod.4(438), Nov.Theod.7.4(441), Cod.Iust.12.59.8(c.468), Cod. Iust.1.29.3(476-85), Cod.Iust.12.35.186(492), Cod.Iust.12.54.56(491-518), Cod.Iust.7.62.38(529).
    27 From comes (companion - in this case, of the emperor), forming the comitatus (imperial companions, court) and comitatenses (imperial escort units). The word comitatus is used throughout the laws to refer to the companions - that is, the court - of the emperor. See also $\S[4 h]$.

[^4]:    38 Cod.Theod.6.5.2(384): Idem AAA. ad Praetextatum praefectum praetorio. || Caelestis recordantis Valentinianus, genitor nominis nostri, singulis quibusque dignitatibus certum locum meritumque praescribsit. [.].
    39 Cod.Theod.6.7.1 + Cod.Theod.6.9.1 + Cod.Theod.6.11.1 + Cod.Theod.6.14.1 + Cod.Theod.6.22.4
    40 Cod.Iust.12.4.1=Cod.Theod.6.7.1(372): Imppp. Valentinianus, Valens et Gratianus AA. ad Ampelium p(raefectum) u(rbi). || Praefectum urbis praefectum praetorio magistros equitum ac peditum indiscretae ducimus dignitatis, usque adeo videlicet, ut, cum ad privatam secesserint vitam, eum loco velimus esse potiorem, qui alios promotionis tempore et codicillorum adeptione praecesserit. [.].
    41 Cod.Theod.6.7.1(372), Cod.Theod.6.9.2(380), Cod.Theod.6.7.2(380), Cod.Theod.6.6.1(382), Cod.Theod. 11.16.15 (382), Cod.Theod.7.8.3(384), Cod.Iust.2.12.25(392), Cod.Theod.11.18.1(409/12), Cod.Iust.12.16.1(415), Cod.Theod.
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[^5]:    52 Cod.Iust.2.7.25(519): Imp Iustinus A. Marino p(raefecto) p(raetorio). || [.] §3: Licentiam eis praeterea facimus binos homines annis singulis itidem offerendi nostram adoraturos purpuram, statutis inserendos praesentalibus domesticis, unum equitum, alterum scholae peditum, in vacantem eorum locum qui defuncti sunt, [.] ita tamen, ut idem viri facundissimi, cum offerendos eosdem crediderint homines, bina solidorum pro singulis millia nihilque amplius noverint dependenda viris magnificis comitibus dicatissimorum domesticorum, id est equitum quidem pro eo, qui inter equites meriturus est, peditum autem pro eo, qui inter pedites inserendus est: [.].
    53 See $\S[5 a]$.

[^6]:    97 See Attachment 5.
    See Attachment 3 \#157.
    As, for example, in inscriptions such as: EDCS-11600069 (Italia - Pesaro / Pisaurum): magistro(!) militum; EDCS-09700769 (Africa - Sbeitla/Sufetula): magister militu(m); EDCS-41300206 (Africa - Carthago): magistro militu( $m$ ); EDCS-16400557 (Africa - Carthago): magist(e)u(!) militum; EDCS-46200102 (Africa - Carthago): [m]agist(er) mil(itum) p[er] Numi[d(iam)]; EDCS-05502803 (Hispania - Cartagena / Carthago Nova): magister mil(itum) Spaniae; EDCS-19900435 (Roma): mag(ister) m(i)l(itum); EDCS-24501914 (Africa - Sbeitla/Sufetula): $m(a) g($ ister $) m(i) l($ itum $)$; EDCS-16900024 (Mauritania - Matifou / Rusguniae): mag(ister) m(i)l(itum).

    100 See Attachment 3 \#165.
    101 See Attachment 3 \#169.
    102 See Attachment 3 \#158a-b = EDCS-01000523 (Roma, c.439): ]R[1]O[3] [n]ec non et magistro militum per Gallias quas dudum [o]b iuratas bello pace victorias Romano imperio reddidit magistro utriusq(ue) militiae et secundo consuli ordinario atq(ue) patricio semper rei publicae [i]npenso omnibusq(ue) donis militarib(us) ornato huic [s]enatus populusq(ue) Romanus ob Italiae securitatem quam procul domitis gentib(us) peremptisque [B]urgundionib(us) et Gotis oppressis vincendo praestit[it] iussu principum dd(ominorum) nn(ostrorum) Theodosi et Placidi [Valenti][n]iani pp(iissimorum) Augg(ustorum) in atrio libertatis quam [ingenio? suo?] [pa]rens erigit dilatat et tuetur aeque st[atuam aere]am(?) conlocavit morum probo opum refugo delatorum ut hostium inimicissimo vindici libertatis pudoris ultor(i)
    103 EDCS-29600318: Fl(avius) Theodorus Filoxenus Sotericus Filoxenus vir illust(ris) || com(es) domest(icorum) ex magistro m(ilitum) per Thracia(-m? -s?) et consul ordinar(ius)

[^7]:    168 Krueger, 1877, p. 1097 <see note 14>. Iacobii Cuiacii, iurisconsulti, Ad tres postremos lib(ros) cod(icis) d(omi)n(i) Iustiniani commentarii. [.] (Lyon, 1562), p. 309 wrote that, while many were wanting to read: Officii virorum magistrorum militum vtriusque praefecturae Orientis \& Illyrici, he proposed Officii virorum illustrium magistrorum militum utriusque militiae in praesenti, Orientis \& Illyrici.

    169 Codicis DN Iustiniani [..] libri XII ex fide antiq. exemplarium [..] à Greg. Haloandro diligentissime purgati recognitique. (Nürnberg, Petreius, 1530), p. 587.
    170 Nov.Val.2.2(442)§2: [..] sive utriusque praetorianae sive urbanae praefecturae.

[^8]:    171 Genève, Bibliothèque Publique et Universitaire, Papyrus Lat.III (previously Genève Papyrus 45): facsimile, transcript and bibliography in Bruckner, A., Marichal, R., Dorandi T. (eds.), Chartae latinae antiquiores. (49 vols., Lausanne, UrsGraf, 1954-1998), vol. 1 (1954) No. 8 pp.20-21

    172 Bell, H.I., Martin, V., Turner, E.G., Van Berchem, D., The Abinnaeus archive. Papers of a Roman officer in the reign of Constantius II. (Oxford, Clarendon Press,1962), pp.37-38.
    173 Attested in this position in laws addressed to him between 11.Oct. 340 (Cod.Theod.7.9.2) and 6.Jul. 344 (Cod.Theod.13.4.3).

[^9]:    203 Rolfe, J.C. (ed.\& transl.), Ammiani Marcellini rerum gestarum libri qui supersunt (with an English translation). (3 vols, Loeb classical library) (London, William Heinemann, 1935-1940), based substantially on the edition by C.U. Clark (Berlin, 1910-1915).

[^10]:    212 The phrase magisteria potestas appears to have be used only once in the plural form: Nov.Theod.24(443)§1 [.] Eos <duces> igitur, [.] in ipsis plerumque limitibus commorari et milites ad antiquum redigere numerum, inminentibus magisteriis potestatibus [.] praecipimus. [.].
    213 Cod.Iust.1.29.3(476-85): representing magisteria per orientem potestas; Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492): representing magisteria per orientem potestas and potestas magisteriae per orientem administrationis.
    214 For example: Cnd.1/2.5 <magister> in praesenti $=10 . \mathrm{a}<$ magister> praesentalis $=12.1<$ magister> praesentalis $=12.44<$ magisteria $>$ in praesenti $=12.52<$ magister(:)> in praesenti. Similarly, Cnd.23.47 $<$ Curiosus cursus publici> praesentalis $=107.41<$ Curiosus cursus publici> in praesenti.
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[^11]:    218 In the eastern law Cod.Theod.4.17.5(386), addressed: Iidem AAA. Timasio comiti et magistro equitum; and in the western law Cod.Theod.2.23.1(423), addressed: Impp. Honorius et Theodosius AA. Crispino comiti et magistro equitum.
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[^12]:    227 Cod.Iust.12.59.8(c.468): [.] magistris militum consuetudine ac potestate, si qua ad limites aliquos orientis thraciarum et illyrici ex longo tempore hactenus obtinuit, reservata. - see note 285.

    Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492) - see note 236.
    229 Nov.Theod.24(443) adds the limites of Pontica, Aegyptus, Thebais and Libya to the limites of oriens, thraciae and illyricum (see note 284), while Cod.Iust.12.59.10(c.472), which actually names positions M-, mentions oriens and illyricum but not thraciae. - see note 167 .

    230 Cod.Theod.8.7.11(371): Imppp. Valentinianus, Valens et Gratianus aaa. Severo magistro militum. || Si quando praefectus praetorio vel vicarius aut rector provinciae significaverit eum, qui chartis ac ratiociniis publicis invenitur obnoxius, ad praeposituram castri ac militum transisse, retractus illi adsignetur officio, a quo ad necessitatem praestandi ratiocinii devocatur; tamen in reiecti vero locum is potissimum destinetur, cui meritorum adstipulentur insignia. Dat. X kal. ian. Gratiano a. II et Probo conss., emissa ad magistros militum et comites et duces omnes. The words emissa [.] omnes are absent from the copy in Cod.Iust.12.59.1.
    231 Cod.Theod.1.7.3(398): prescribes appointments to the secretariats viris comitibus et ducibus diversarum provinciarum et limitum; and Cod.Theod.7.1.18(400): orders that comites vel duces quibus regendae militiae cura commissa est are not to transfer soldiers between various categories of military units.

[^13]:    2.36(444): Ius castrensis peculii tam scriniarios quam exceptores ceterosque qui in officio tui culminis merendi licentiam habere noscuntur, ac si in legione prima adiutrice nostra militent, inviolatum habere praecipimus. See also Cod.Iust.12.52.3(444). The actual legio I adiutrix was mentioned in the Cnd as stationed in Valeria (Cnd.143.29).

    Cod.Theod.8.5.66(407): cohortales or Cod.Theod.6.35.14(423): cohortalini or Cod.Theod.8.4.30(436): cohortales apparitores whose service was identified as a militia: Cod.Theod.8.4.1(315/24): cohortalis militia; Cod.Theod.16.5.48 (410): cohortalis militia, cohortalina militia. Their status was low and their service was compulsory (mostly hereditary). They were prohibited, almost continually, from transferring to other agencies within the service, and they were also prohibited from legitimately obtaining dignities: for example, Cod.Theod.8.4.30(436): Si cohortalis apparitor aut obnoxius cohorti ad ullam posthac adspiraverit dignitatem, spoliatus omnibus impetrati honoris insignibus ad statum pristinum revocetur, liberis etiam in tali eius condicione susceptis fortunae patriae mancipandis. See also Cod.Iust.12.57.14(471)

    Cod.Theod.7.20.4(325): Comitatenses et ripenses milites atque protectores [.] §3: Alares autem et cohortales dum militant, propria capita excusent, veteranis quoque eadem excusationis solacia habituris. [.].

    248 Cod.Theod.12.6.6(365): Idem AA. ad Mamertinum praefectum praetorio. || [.] susceptores [.] qui in officio magistrorum equitum et peditum militarunt, [.] ordinis sint militaris [.] = Cod.Iust.12.54.2 Qui in officio magistrorum equitum ac peditum militiam sortiti sunt, ordinis sint militaris.
    249 praescriptio fori ("limitation of the forum") that is, restriction of the number of courts that had the authority to adjudicate legal actions by or against an officer, other than the court of the director of the agency in which the the officer served and under whose jurisdiction the officer was considered to be.

[^14]:    268 Cod.Theod.7.1.6(368/373): Idem AA. Mauris Sitifensibus. || pr. Si quis armatae sacramenta militiae impigro quinquennii labore pertulerit, avo licet ac patre sit decurione progenitus, ab omni nexu curiali personam dumtaxat propriam vindicaverit. §1. Diversis autem officiis servientes quinque et viginti annis in militia decursis pari securitate donamus. Et cetera.

[^15]:    vel cuiusquam alterius loci quemquam officialium in aliquod munus principe nesciente direxerit, trium librarum auri condemnatione plectetur. The person responsible for any contravention is fined 3 £ gold.
    278 Cod.Theod.6.28.3(386).
    279 Cod.Theod.6.28.6(399).
    280 Cod.Iust.12.59.10(c.472) - see note 167 .
    281 Nov.Val.28(449) - see note 281.
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[^16]:    282 Nov.Val.28(449): Impp. Theodosius et Valentinianus aa. Opilioni magistro officiorum. || [.] §1. Igitur [.] hac edictali lege consulimus, [.] ut inconsulto principe, qui ex eadem schola <agentum in rebus> ad obsequia praefecturae praetorianae post infinita discrimina et consumpta aetatis parte meliore pervenit, primores designati officii nil usurpent, neque praefectianus aliquis summae sumat militiae sacramenta neque matriculis eximatur praeter eius conscientiam atque consensum, ita ut eadem matricula officii praetoriani in principis potestate consistat. [.].
    283 Cod.Iust.1.46.3(443): Theodosius et Valentinianus. AA. Anatolio magistro militum. || Nemo de his, qui in virorum spectabilium ducum officiis militaverint, scholae agentum in rebus quacumque subreptione post completam militiam societur, ne agendi vices viri clarissimi principis accipiat facultatem. [.].
    284 Nov.Theod.24(443) adapted partly into Cod.Iust.1.31.4(443). Nov.Theod.24: Impp. Theodosius et Valentinianus AA. Nomo magistri officiorum. || [.] §5. Id autem curae perpetuae tui culminis $\{=$ magister officiorum\} credimus iniungendum, ut tam Thracici quam Inlyrici nec non etiam Orientalis ac Pontici limitis, Aegyptiaci insuper Thebaici Libyci \{=Cod.Iust.1.31.4: ut super omni limite sub tua iurisdictione constituto\} quemadmodum se militum numerus habeat castrorumque ac lusoriarum cura procedat, quotannis mense Ianuario in sacro consistorio significare nobis propria suggestione procures, [.].

    Cod.Iust.12.59.8(c.468): Leo A. Iohanni magistro officiorum || Viros spectabiles duces eorumque apparitores nec non limitaneos castrorumque praepositos tantummodo ex sublimis tui iudicii sententia conveniri nec aliis subiacere iudicibus praecipimus: illustribus scilicet ac magnificis viris magistris militum consuetudine ac potestate, si qua ad limites aliquos orientis thraciarum et illyrici ex longo tempore hactenus obtinuit, reservata.
    Appeals against decisions taken by duces, and even $\boldsymbol{M}$-, were later transferred entirely to the joint jurisdiction of the magister officiorum and quaestor sacri palatii: Cod.Iust.7.62.38(529): Idem <Imp.Iustinianus> A. Demostheni praefecto praetorio || Si quando duciano iudicio appellatio fuerit oblata, [.] sive inter spectabiles idem dux connummeretur sive illustri dignitate decoratur sive etiam maiore, cum etiam magisteriae potestatis homines nec non consulares saepe utilitate publica poscente ad huiusmodi curam perveniunt, [.] appellationem ex quocumque duce venientem non ut antea erat dispositum, sed apud virum sublimissimum magistrum officiorum nec non virum excellentissimum nostri palatii quaestorem communi audientia praeposita in sacro auditorio more consultationem, viris devotis epistularibus excipientibus, ventilari: [.].
    286 Cod.Iust.7.62.38(529) - see note 285.
    287 Cod.Theod.7.17.1(412) - see note 235.
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[^17]:    326 Hoffmann, D., Das spätrömische Bewegungsheer und die Notitia dignitatum. (Epigraphische Sudien 7/I, 7/II) (Düsseldorf, Rheinland, 1969-1970), pp.10-15, referring to the two lists on pp.492-493.
    327 Hoffmann, 1969-70, p.10.
    © Ingo G. Maier (Melbourne, Australia) || Draft posted: 14.Nov.2019, revised: 14.Dec. 2021 || https://www.notitiadignitatum.org/ 70

[^18]:    328 For the pictures, see page 90 . (copy $\mathbf{W}$ omits both quadrupeds in drawing " B " in row $1=$ Cnd.10\#3)
    329 Grigg, R., Inconsistency and lassitude: the shield emblems of the Notitia dignitatum: Journal of Roman Studies $731983 \mathrm{pp} .132-142$ \& pl. 3-10, which developed observations that he made earlier in Portrait-bearing codicils in the illustrations of the Notitia dignitatum: Journal of Roman Studies $691979 \mathrm{pp} .107-124$ in pp.110-112.
    330 Grigg, 1983, p.140: 'I find it easier to believe that the unexplained co-ordination of emblems was fictional and perhaps motivated by a desire to create a visual analogy for the very real parallelism between the two praesental lists.'
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[^19]:    336 See Attachment 9.
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[^20]:    341 See §[3b].
    342 Cnd.102/5.217: [.] viro illustri comite et magistro equitum galliarum, compared with the shorter title [.] viro illustri magister equitum galliarum in the heading to the preceding infantry list Cnd.102/5.114.
    343 As it did in the agency list associated with the position of the central $\boldsymbol{M E}$, whose title in the opening heading also referred to the dignity comes: Cnd.102/5.1: [.] viri illustris comitis et magistri equitum praesentalis.
    © Ingo G. Maier (Melbourne, Australia) || Draft posted: 14.Nov.2019, revised: 14.Dec.2021 || htps://www.notitiadignitatum.org/ 77

[^21]:    346 Cnd.102/5.258=102/5.22; 102/5.259=102/5.43; 102/5.260=102/5.42.
    347 Cnd.102/5.246: Equites scutarii iuniores comitatenses. The word comitatenses may have been used to distinguish that unit from the Equites scutarii iuniores scolae secundae (Cnd.102/5.248) that were named in the same list. But it may also be significant that this unit, Cnd.102/5.246, with the comes africae was the only one of his cavalry units whose name was not mentioned in the central cavalry list Cnd.102/5.1-44.
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[^22]:    356 Similar provincial headings existed in the agency lists of four eastern regional directors of soldiers: Cnd.52.25: Provinciae augustamnicae; Cnd.65.10: In augusto eufratensi; Cnd.71.6: In ponto; Cnd.52.25: In provincia thracia, and there are indications that additional provincial headings existed in pre-Cnd lists from which other such agency lists in the Cnd were derived.
    357 See §[12d]\#2.
    358 Cod.Theod.11.14.3(397): ex praedictis (species horreaticae) aliquid usurpare; Cod.Theod.5.14.36(399): ex praedicto iure fundorum; Cod.Theod.5.6.3(409): ex praedicto genere hominum; Cod.Theod.16.5.50(410): ex praedictis bonis; Cod.Theod.14.16.3(434): quidquid ex praedicta ratione adcreverit; Cod.Iust. 8.53.30(459): apud quemlibet (rector, magistratus, defensor) ex praedictis; Cod.Iust.2.58.2(531): ex praedicta dissimulatione.
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[^23]:    359 See Attachment 9 (2).

[^24]:    363 See Attachment 10.

[^25]:    367 See $\S[3 \mathrm{~b}]$ and Appendix 14：Dignities and dignitaries in the main compilations of Roman imperial laws．
    368 See Appendix 8：The rectangular emblems in the Compilation＇notitia dignitatum＇（Cnd）at： https：／／www．notitiadignitatum．org／
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[^26]:    369 A comparison of the forms in the new edition and those produced by Böcking, 1839-1853 and Seeck, 1876, are indicated in Attachment 11.
    370 Böcking, 1839-1853 pp.118-122 used the page-header: Praepositurae mag. mil. praes. ped.
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[^27]:    371 The identification was not based on, nor assured by, the reference to milites praesentales since, as mentioned in $\S[4 \mathrm{~h} 1]$, milites praesentales were also stationed in regions as, for example, those with the magister militum per orientem - Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492) - whereas Italia identified the location of the court which, during most of the 5 thC was at Ravenna and, therefore, referred to the central $\boldsymbol{M}$ -

    Cod.Iust.12.54.4(c.443), Cod.Iust.12.35.18(492) - see notes 129-130.
    Also see §[11d].
    See $\S[4 h 1]$.
    See §[11d].
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[^28]:    377 Cod.Theod.1.7.3(398): Impp. Arcadius et Honorius Stilichoni magistro militum. || Sicut clarissimis viris comitibus et ducibus diversarum provinciarum et limitum, ita et viro spectabili comiti per africam principes et numerarii ex officio magisteriae potestatis mittantur, sub ea tamen condicione, ut emenso unius anni spatio singuli qui designati sunt intra africam officio functi et actuum suorum et fidei, quam exhibuerint rei publicae, reddendam sibi non ambigant rationem.
    Dat. id. sept. Mediolano Honorio a. IIII et Eutychiano consul. <398 Sept. 13>
    378 See Attachment 11.
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[^29]:    380 Nov.Theod.24(443) - see note 33 and $\S[4 \mathrm{e}]$.

[^30]:    382 See §[7b9].
    383 See §[14a].
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